

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Adult Prisons & Jails

Interim Final

Date of Report July 12, 2019

Auditor Information

Name: Mable P Wheeler	Email: wheeler5p@hotmail.com
Company Name: Diversified Correctional Services, LLC	
Mailing Address: PO Box 5736	City, State, Zip: Macon, Ga 31208
Telephone: 478-737-2171	Date of Facility Visit: May 28-30, 2019, Certified Auditor and Assistants

Agency Information

Name of Agency: Georgia Department of Corrections		Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): State of Georgia	
Physical Address: 300 Patrol Rd.		City, State, Zip: Forsyth, GA 31029	
Mailing Address: PO BOX 1529		City, State, Zip: Forsyth, GA 31029	
Telephone: 478-992-2999		Is Agency accredited by any organization? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
The Agency Is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for Profit	<input type="checkbox"/> Private not for Profit
<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal
Agency mission: The Georgia Department of Corrections protects the public by operating safe and secure facilities through the development of professional staff and effective offender management.			
Agency Website with PREA Information: dcor.state.ga.us			

Agency Chief Executive Officer

Name: Timothy C. Ward	Title: Commissioner
Email: Timothy.Ward@gdc.ga.gov	Telephone: 478-992-5253

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

Name: Grace Atchison	Title: Statewide PREA Coordinator
Email: grace.atchison@gdc.ga.gov	Telephone: 478-992-5374

PREA Coordinator Reports to: Sharon Shaver (Director of Compliance) Office of Professional Standards, Director of Compliance	Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator 82
---	---

Facility Information

Name of Facility: Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison (GDCP)

2978 Hwy 36 West, Jackson, GA30233

PO Box 3877, Jackson, GA 30233

Telephone Number 770-504-2001

The Facility Is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for profit	<input type="checkbox"/> Private not for profit
<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal

Facility Type:	<input type="checkbox"/> Jail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prison
----------------	-------------------------------	--

Ensure public safety and effectively house offenders while operating a safe and secure facility. Conduct diagnostic processing for offenders, house offenders Under Death Sentence (UDS) and carry out state ordered executions. Houses a Special Management Unit (SMU) consisting of 192 beds. Sex-Offender Release Site.

Facility Website with PREA Information: www.dcor.state.ga.us

Warden/Superintendent

Name: Benjamin Ford	Warden
Email: Benjamin.ford@gdc.ga.gov	770-504-2011

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Name: LaChesha Smith	Unit Manager
Email: lachesha.smth@gdc.ga.gov	Telephone: 770-504-2034

Facility Health Service Administrator

Name: David Butts	Title: Health Service Administrator
Email: david.butts@gdc.ga.gov	Telephone: 770-504-2428

Facility Characteristics

Designated Facility Capacity: 2708	Current Population of Facility: 2359
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months	19,702
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:	13,808

Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:		15,648
Number of inmates on date of audit who were admitted to facility prior to August 20, 2012:		181
Age Range of Population:	Youthful Inmates Under 18: N/A	Adults: 18 plus
Are youthful inmates housed separately from the adult population?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of youthful inmates housed at this facility during the past 12 months:		0
Average length of stay or time under supervision: 24 hours		0
Facility security level/inmate custody levels:		Minimum, Medium, and Close. (UDS inmates are MAXIMUM)
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:		431
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:		86
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have with inmates:		24
Physical Plant		
Number of Buildings: 4		Number of Single Cell Housing Units: 20
Number of Multiple Occupancy Cell Housing Units:		5
Number of Open Bay/Dorm Housing Units:		12
Number of Segregation Cells (Administrative and Disciplinary):		409
Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.):		
Medical		
Type of Medical Facility: 24 hour		
Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at:		GDCP Treatment Room/Medical Section
Other		
Number of volunteers and individual contractors, who may have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:		142
Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse:		2

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, and observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

Pre-Audit Activities

Notice of PREA Audit: The Notice of PREA Audit for Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison located in Jackson, Georgia, was forwarded to the facility's PREA Compliance Manager on April 13, 2019, more than six weeks prior to the on-site audit, for posting in the facility. The PREA Compliance Manager posted the Notice in areas accessible to staff, inmates, contractors, volunteers and visitors. Confirmation of the posting was provided. The purpose of the posting of the Notice is to allow anyone with a PREA issue or concern, or an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to correspond, confidentially, with the Certified PREA Auditor. No correspondences were received by auditor before the onsite visit. The auditor observed the postings during the site review but did not receive any correspondence from an offender, staff, contractor, volunteer or visitor. During the site-review the auditor observed the Notices of PREA Audit posted in common areas, living units and other places enabling staff, inmates, contractors, volunteers and visitors to communicate with the auditor if they wanted to.

This audit was attained and assigned to the auditor by Diversified Correctional Services, LLC. The facility was last accredited on April 6, 2016.

Pre-Audit Questionnaire/ Flash Drive Review: The Facility's PREA Compliance Manager forwarded a flash drive to the auditor 30 days prior to the on-site audit. The reviewed flash drive contained the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, policies and procedures, local operating procedures, certificates of training, training rosters and other documentation specific to facility operations and PREA as implemented in that facility.

The information provided enabled the auditor to get a clear and comprehensive view of the policies and procedures governing operations as well as enabling the auditor to understand the local procedures as well as the state operating procedures (policies) governing the facility. As a result, the auditor communicated frequently with the PREA Compliance Manager during the pre-onsite audit period.

When clarification was needed, the auditor communicated with the PREA Compliance Manager, who was always responsive and provided information as requested and when the auditor arrived on site, the PREA Compliance Manager had put together a binder containing information that was requested, investigation packages for the allegations made during the past 12 months and other documents to demonstrate "practice". The auditor requested and received all the investigations completed during the past twelve months.

The PREA Compliance Manager is a Unit Manager who has direct access to the Warden of the facility.

Prior to the onsite portion of the audit, the Auditor and PREA Compliance Manager discussed a tentative agenda and logistics for the on-site audit. This facility is a medium/minimum security institution, housing male adult felon inmates who, for the most part, go out on details or work on details within the prison.

The Georgia Department of Corrections collects data from numerous sources. By requesting those reports prior to the PREA Audit, the auditor can identify certain targeted groups of inmates. Prior to the on-site audit the auditor requested and received the following reports for the facility, provided by the Department's PREA Unit:

- Perception Report (Inmate's perception of vulnerability)
- Special Needs Report
- Hotline Calls Report (for last 12 months)

Outreach Prior to On-Site Audit: The auditor reached out to the following advocacy organizations, one nationally, and one locally, to determine whether the organizations have had any communications or information regarding the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison.

- Just Detention International
- Southern Crescent Sexual Assault & Child Advocacy Center

Just Detention International (JDI) provided documentation confirming that JDI examined their database to determine if they had ever had any complaints or reported issues regarding Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison and that they have not had any complaints or issues regarding Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison documented in their database.

The auditor also checked with the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault & Child Advocacy Center regarding any issues or complaints they had received regarding Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison. The Executive Director confirmed they have a Memorandum of Understanding with the facility however they have not had any communications regarding the prison from anyone.

On-Site Audit Activities

This audit was conducted by a four (4) Certified PREA Auditors, certified in both adults and juvenile standards. The Associate Auditors were responsible for selecting both random and targeted offenders for interviews.

The auditors arrived at the facility early morning on May 28, 2019 and concluded the audit on May 30, 2019 at around 6:30PM. The auditors, arriving with the agency's Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator, was met by the Warden of the facility and escorted into the administrative area for the entrance briefing with the following staff:

- Benjamin Ford, Warden
- Theresa Thornton, Director of Care and Treatment
- Bennett Kight, Department of Corrections PREA Unit
- LaChesha Smith, PREA Compliance Manager
- Edwin Blansit, PREA Auditor
- Rob Lanier, PREA Auditor
- Shirley Turner, PREA Auditor
- Mable P. Wheeler, PREA Auditor

Following a meet and greet with staff, and after explaining the on-site and post audit activities, the auditor and one associate auditor was escorted on a complete tour of the facility by the Warden, Deputy Warden of Security and the PREA Compliance Manager,

Selection of Staff and Inmates: Two associate auditors selected the inmates to be interviewed from an alpha roster and from a list of targeted inmates. Inmates who were selected included a cross section of inmates representing every living unit and program.

Staff was selected from the facility staffing rosters. A cross section of staff were selected to be interviewed and included day shift security staff, overnight security staff, split shift security staff, general population counselors, administrative support staff, food service and educational support staff.

(28) Randomly Selected Staff:

- 19 Correctional Officer/Security Staff
- 01 Teachers
- 02 Lieutenants
- 04 Sergeant
- 02 Shift Supervisors

(36) Specialized Staff included the following:

- (1) Previous Interview with the GDC Commissioner
- (1) Previous Interview with the Agency's Contract Manager's designee
- (1) Previous Interview with the Agency PREA Coordinator
- (1) Previous Interview with the Agency Assistant PREA Coordinator
- (1) Warden of Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison
- (1) Deputy Warden of Security
- (1) Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment
- (2) Intake and Orientation Staff
- (2) Staff Conducting Victim/Aggressor PREA Assessments
- (1) Volunteer Coordinator/Chaplain
- (1) Health Services Administrator
- (1) Director of Nurses
- (1) Director of Mental Health Services
- (1) Assistant Director of Mental Health
- (1) GED Teacher
- (1) Human Resources Staff/Personnel Manager
- (1) PREA Compliance Manager
- (1) Previous Interview with Special Agent from Southwest Region
- (2) Office of Professional Standards Investigators
- (2) Facility Based Investigator
- (1) Staff Supervising Segregation
- (2) Incident Review Team Member
- (2) Previous Interviews with Office of Professional Standards Investigators
- (1) Executive Director, Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center
- (2) Previous Interviews with Contracted Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners
- (4) Volunteers
- (2) Contractor

(40) Total Inmate Interviews

Randomly Selected Inmates: 27 Inmates

(13) Targeted randomly selected

- (04) Transgender Inmates
- (02) Inmates Reporting Prior Victimization
- (01) Inmates Identifying as Gay or Bi-Sexual
- (03) Disabled Inmate
- (01) Cognitively Disabled Inmate
- (02) Limited English Proficient Inmate

There were no youthful offenders at the facility.

(32) Informally interviewed inmates during the site review

The auditor informally interviewed/interacted with inmates (32) during the site review. The auditor was provided privacy while talking with the inmates. After explaining the auditor's role, offenders were asked about receiving

Zero Tolerance and PREA related information at intake and then if they were advised of their rights during orientation and how they would choose to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment if it happened to them or someone else. 100% of the interviewed offenders affirmed they were told about Zero Tolerance. They indicated they have received PREA Information in all GDC Facilities they have been in. All inmates were able to verbalization the intake process at current facility and receipt of PREA information. They indicated ways they could report and said they can report it on their tablets by emailing the GDC PREA Unit, call the PREA Hotline number or tell someone.

The auditor and associates reviewed forty (40) inmate files, randomly selected from each letter of the alphabet, to assess whether inmates were given information about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report upon admission and to determine if PREA Education was provided within 14 days. (See 115.33 for discussion)

Documents and Files Reviewed:

- (01) Facility Diagram
- (01) Demographics Document
- (1) Facility Stratification Plan
- (40) Training Rosters with Signatures documenting Day I Annual In-Service Training
- (40) Staff PREA Acknowledgment Statements
- (40) Offender PREA Acknowledgment Statements
- (40) Orientation Checklists (Inmates)
- (40) Victim/Aggressor Assessments
- (40) Victim/Aggressor Reassessments
- (40) Classification Summaries to Test Victim/Aggressor Assessments
- (40) PREA/Suicide Counseling Forms (Offering Follow-Up with Mental Health)
- (12) Monthly PREA Reports
- (20) Contractor/Volunteer PREA Acknowledgment Statements
- (01) Contract with Language Line for Interpretive Services
- (01) Plan for LEP and Disabled Inmates
- (01) Emergency Room List for past 12 months
- (40) Inmates Files Reviewed
- (35) NIC Certificates for Communicating Effectively with LGBTI Offenders
- (20) Newly Hired Employees Personnel Files
- (7) Promoted Staff Personnel Files
- (5) Volunteer Files
- (15) Contractor Files
- (20) Regular Employees Personnel Files
- (41) Reviewed Investigation Files (out of a total of 140 total Investigations)
- (54) Grievances (out of 539 grievances)
- (52) Incident Reports representing 10% of the total incident reports
- (01) Facility Classification and Stratification Plan
- (01) Transgender Care Program
- (02) Minutes of two Transgender Care Meetings

- **PREA Unit Reports from the GDC PREA Unit Analyst**
 - 1) LBGTI Report
 - 2) Prior Victimization Report
 - 3) Disabilities Report

4) Hot Line calls for the Past 12 months (zero)

Investigations: The auditor reviewed 22 investigation packages of the 22 investigations conducted in the past 12 months.

The following allegations were made during that time period:

- **The auditor reviewed 22 of 22 Allegations/Investigation packages. The 22 investigations included the following:**
- Allegations of Staff on Inmate Sexual Harassment: 2
- Allegations of Inmate on Inmate Sexual Harassment: 7
- Allegations of Staff on Inmate Sexual Abuse: 9
- Allegations of Inmate on Inmate Sexual Abuse: 4
- Unsubstantiated: 13
- Substantiated: 0
- Unfounded: 8
- Pending with the Office of Professional Standards 0
- Not PREA Related 1

Thirteen (13) of the investigations were determined to be unsubstantiated; Eight (8) determined to be unfounded; and one (1) Allegation was found not to be PREA related.

Investigations are discussed in Standard 115.71, Criminal and Administrative Investigation.

Post Audit Activities: The auditor communicated with the facility requesting additional information and clarifying issues. Any need for Corrective Actions information was supplied in a timely manner. These are documented in the section below entitled: Follow-Up Required.

Facility Characteristics

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison is the largest in the state. The prison conducts diagnostic processing for the state correctional system, houses male offenders under death sentence and carries out state ordered executions by lethal injections. The prison complex also contains a Special Management Unit that houses some of the most aggressive and dangerous prisoners in the correctional system

Security Levels are as follows:

GEORGIA DIAGNOSTIC & CLASSIFICATION PRISON	
MINIMUM	211
MEDIUM	2059
CLOSED	323

Demographically the following is a breakdown of the population:

GEORGIA DIAGNOSTIC & CLASSIFICATION PRISON	
BLACK	56%
OTHER	42%
WHITE	3%

The mission of Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison; Ensure public safety and effectively house offenders while operating a safe and secure facility. Conduct diagnostic processing for offenders, house offenders Under Death Sentence (UDS) and carry out state ordered executions.

Houses a Special Management Unit (SMU) consisting of 192 beds and is also a Sex-Offender Release Site.

Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison is located in unincorporated Butts County, Georgia near Jackson. The prison holds the state execution chamber. The execution equipment was moved to the prison in June 1980. The prison was constructed in 1968 and renovated in 1998. The prison houses the male death row (UDs "under death sentence").

The prison is the largest in the state; consist of 8 cellblocks containing both double-bunked and single- bunked cells. The prison complex contains a special management unit that houses some of the most aggressive and dangerous prisoners in the correctional system.

Living Unit/Dorms	Capacity	Offenders	Open Dorms	Double Bunked	Single Bunk	Triple Bunked
A House	252	Diagnostic		X		
B House	252	Diagnostic		X		
C House-SAFE House	112	Diagnostic/Perm/Transient		X		
D House Mental Health	82	Diagnostic/Perm		X	X	
E House	251	Diagnostic		X		
F House-UDS	251	Diagnostic				
G House-UDS	118	Perm			X	
H House – Lockdown, Tier II Phase 3+ Admin Segregation	96	Diagnostic/Perm		X	X	
J Building	464	Diagnostic	X			X
K Building	256	Perm	X	X		
Dorms 1, 2, 3	345	X	X			X
SMU (Locked Down)	192	Perm			X	
MALU	29	Diagnostic/Perm		X (Double Beds)	X	
Fire Station	8	Perm		X		

The facility has a total of 646 allotted positions which includes Security and non-security with 129 overall vacancies. There are 488 allotted positions for security with 107 vacancies. The facility is staffed according to the staffing analysis to cover priority one posts.

- (9) Administration
- (8) Business
- (16) Care and Treatment
- (2) Dental
- (17) Diagnostic Processing
- (20) Food Services
- (7) Education
- (20) Food Services
- (6) Human Resources
- (17) Inmate Services
- (6) Inmate Store
- (13) Maintenance/Engineering
- (9) Mental Health
- (1) Library
- (2) Chaplain
- (3) Recreation

- (2) Training
- (488) Security

The following programs are offered:

- GED, Literacy, Adult Basic Education
- Counseling
- Moral Reconciliation Therapy
- Motivation for Change
- Thinking for a Change
- Career Center
- Re-Entry
- Long Term Lifers Program
- Orientation
- Re Skill
- MRI
- OUTS (SMU)
- Re Skills/Career Center
- Matrix RP
- Classification
- Basic Computer
- Moral
- Career Center
- Chapel Services
- AA
- Religious Activities: non-denomination, Islamic and Catholic Services
- Recreation: General Recreation

Vocational/OJT programs offered include:

- Supervisor Aide
- Warehouse
- Waste Water Treatment Plant Operator
- Welder
- Kitchen Helper
- Laundry Worker
- Library Clerk
- Maintenance Repair
- Painter I
- Plumber
- Printing Machine Operator/Hand Printer
- Small Engine Mechanic
- Diesel Mechanic
- Electrician
- Firefighter
- Food Preparation

- Forklift Operator
- General Clerk
- Groundskeeper
- Heavy Equipment Operator
- HVAC
- Auto Body Repair
- Auto Mechanic Helper
- Automotive Maintenance
- Baker
- Career Guidance Technician
- Cook
- Apprentice
- Custodial Maintenance
- OSHA
- Serv Safe

Health care is provided through a contract with Correctional Health Care Service. The medical area is open 24/7. Mental Health services are offered through a contract with Centurion. These include staffs who are licensed counselors.

Site Review: The auditor was escorted by the Warden, Deputy Warden of Security, Mental Health Director, PREA Compliance Manager, and the Assistant Agency Statewide PREA Coordinator. Areas visited included the following:

- Administration
- G-House (Death Row)
- E-House
- Chapel
- C-House
- A-House
- D-House
- B-Housed
- K-Building
- J-Building
- Intake/Diagnostics Area
- Offender Kitchen
- Dorms 1, 2, & 3
- Warehouse
- Laundry
- Maintenance
- H-House
- F-House
- Medical Unit
- Education

1. MED I/Treatment Room: Staffing varies. Monday -Sunday: 24 hours. There are approximately 25 medical professionals, with 3 officers, and 2 inmate workers at any given time. Officers are staffed in this area Monday-Friday for 8 hours conducting frequent and random checks. This area consists of (1) Treatment Room, (19) offices, (1) mechanical room, (1) classroom, (2) inmate restrooms, (1) closet.
2. There is currently no video surveillance in this area to comply with HIPPA regulations. 6 additional mirrors have been installed to ensure coverage of those blind spots. (4) offices have solid doors installed preventing viewing.
3. Law Library/Educational Hall: Staffed Monday-Friday, time varies. There are approximately 14 staff members with the inmate attendance varying due to programs and educational classes scheduled throughout the day. This area consists of (9) offices, (2) classrooms, (1) mechanical room, and (2) staff restrooms. Rounds are conducted frequently and with random checks by the officer assigned to Medical.
 There is currently no video surveillance in this area. 4 additional mirrors have been installed to ensure coverage of those blind spots.
4. Inmate Store/Door 21: Staffed Monday-Thursday from 7: 30am-6pm consisting of 5 civilian staff and 3 inmate workers. No camera coverage is installed in the vestibule of the store. Rounds are made frequently and randomly from staff assigned to B-Corridor. 2 mirrors have been installed to ensure coverage of those blind spots.

1. Identify the total number of positions and vacancies at your facility.

1. Support Services/Common Areas

- a. Administrative Area: Consists of the offices of the Warden, Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment, and Deputy Warden of Administration. To include the Business offices, Personnel Department, Mailroom, Inmate Records, Staff Dining and secretarial offices. There are approximately 26 staff members and 6 inmate workers. Hours of operation are Monday-Friday 6am-6pm.
- b. Medical Unit: Consists of (1) Treatment Room, Infirmary with 29 beds, Pharmacy, (1) Conference room, (1) breakroom, (1) Nursing Station, Offices for the Providers/Services. There are approximately 60 medical personnel to include the Medical Director, Health Service Provider, Director of Nursing, Dentist, along with RN's, LPN's, CNA' s and medical staff with (2) officers assigned to this area and (1) Unit Manager. (4) offenders are currently assigned to this area. To provide 24-hour medical care to all offenders currently assigned to GD&CP. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. Duty Officers will make unannounced rounds daily. 9 cameras are installed but are currently not working.
- c. Maintenance Department: Consists of the maintenance shop, auto shop, and maintenance warehouse. Hours of operation are Monday-Friday 6am-4:30pm. There are (1) Maintenance Engineer, (1) Maintenance Supervisor, (9) Craftsmen, (2) officers, and (27) inmate workers. There are currently no cameras placed in this area. Mirrors were installed to prevent blind spots.
- d. Laundry/Chemical Room: (1) officer and (8) inmate workers. Hours of operation are Monday-Friday from 6am-2pm. To conduct/maintain a daily issuance of chemicals thru out the institution and to adhere to the laundry schedule thru out the facility. Currently there are no cameras in this area.
- e. Warehouse: (1) office and (1) warehouse with (1) civilian staff and (6) inmate workers. Hours

of operation are Monday-Friday from 6:00am-4:30pm. This area is used to accept, store, and distribute goods to its designated area within the institution. Currently there are no cameras in this area.

- f. Kitchen/Food Warehouse: Consists of the bakery, vegan kitchen, (2) prep rooms, storage room, cooler, (2) freezers, (1) warehouse, (2) offices, dining hall, and serving line. (1) Food Service Director, (16) Food Service Personnel, (1) officer, approximately (40) inmate workers. Hours of operation are from 2am-6pm. To provide daily meals to offenders at both GD&CP main unit and GD&CP SMU. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. There is currently only (1) camera located on the back dock to allow views of all incoming deliveries and activity in that area.
- g. Inmate Store: Staffed Monday-Thursday from 7: 30am-6pm consisting of (5) civilian staff and
- h. (3) inmate workers. (4) cameras are installed and monitored during hours of operation. To provide commissary to all offenders weekly by adhering to the designated schedule.
- i. Diagnostic/Gym (Intake/Out-take Processing): Consists of (1) Processing Office, (37) offices, (1) classroom, (1) gym, (1) barbershop, (1) intake area, and (1) out take area. (1) Deputy Warden of Diagnostic, (18) civilian staff, (6) officers. Hours of operation are Monday-Thursday from 6am- 6pm. (6) cameras are installed but currently none are working. The Diagnostic Unit of the Georgia Diagnostic & Classification Prison is responsible for orienting and processing all male offenders entering the prison system through various assessments as effectively and efficiently as possible to ready them for assignment to GDC facilities throughout the state.
- j. Mental Health: Consists of (12) offices, (1) conference room, and (1) secretarial office/filing room. (1) Mental Health Unit Manager, (35) civilian staff including contract staff, and (2) Mental Health Correctional Officers. Hours of operation are from 6am-6pm, Monday-Friday. Currently no cameras are installed in this area. To provide mental health services for those identified and those in crisis situations.
- k. Program Area/Law Library: Consists of (2) classrooms, (4) offices, and (1) library. There are (1)
- l. Chief Counselor, (10) general population counselors, (1) librarian, and (3) GED teachers, (6) inmate workers. Currently no cameras are installed in this area. Hours are operations are from 7am-7pm, Monday-Friday. To provide offenders with the opportunity to become productive citizens by providing risk reduction services, On the Job training, and a Career Center.
- m. Chapel: Consist of (5) offices and (1) chapel. There are (2) chaplains, (25) volunteers, (1) officer, and (2) inmate workers. Hours of operation are from 8am-5pm, Monday-Friday. To provide services of all denominations to offenders while housed at GD&CP. Currently there are no cameras in this area.

2: Housing Units

- a. A- House: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 252 beds with (1) gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (4) cameras are installed with 24 hours monitoring with (3) camera currently not working. Due to new construction, camera viewing is obstructed.
- b. B-House: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 252 beds with (1) gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (4) cameras are installed with 24 hours monitoring with (2) camera currently not working.

Due to new construction, camera viewing is obstructed.

- c. C-House: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 112 beds with (1) post manned 24 hours a day. Transient Inmate s as well as certain ADA offenders are also housed in this unit. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (2) cameras are installed with 24 hours monitoring with (1) camera currently not working. Due to new construction, camera viewing is obstructed.
- d. D-House: A mental health diagnostic housing unit comprised of 82 beds with (1) post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (2) cameras are installed with 24 hours monitoring with (2) camera currently not working.
- e. E-House: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 251 beds with (1) gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (4) cameras are installed with 24 hours monitoring with all camera currently working. Due to new construction, camera viewing is obstructed.
- f. F-House: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 251 beds with (1) gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (4) cameras are installed with 24 hours monitoring with (1) camera currently not working. Due to new construction, camera viewing is obstructed.
- g. G-House: A permanent unit housing the Under-Death Sentence offenders comprised of 118 beds with (1) gender specific post and (4) non gender specific post, manned 24 hours a day. (1) Sergeant per shift, (1) Lieutenant, (1) Unit Manager are assigned to this area.
- h. H-House: A housing unit comprised of 96 beds. This unit houses administrative segregation, Tier II Phase 3+, and protective custody of both diagnostic and permanent inmates with (2) gender specific and (3) non gender specific post, manned 24 hours a day.
(1) Sergeant per shift, (1) Lieutenant, (1) Unit Manager are assigned to this area (6) cameras are installed in this unit with 24 hours monitoring with (5) cameras currently not working.
- i. I-Building: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 464 beds with (2) non gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift.
(1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. No cameras are installed in this unit.
- j. K-Building: A permanent housing unit comprised of 256 beds with (2) non gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (6) cameras are installed with currently no cameras working.
- k. Dorm 1: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 93 beds with (1) non gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. No cameras are installed in this area.
- l. Dorm 2: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 130 beds with (1) non gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift.
(1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. No cameras are installed in this area.
- m. Dorm 3: A diagnostic housing unit comprised of 122 beds with (1) non gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. Shift supervisors will conduct rounds at least twice per shift.
(1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. No cameras are installed in this area.
- n. MALU: A medical unit comprised of 29 beds for both diagnostic and permanent inmates with (2) non

gender specific post manned 24 hours a day. (1) Unit Manager is assigned to this area. (4) cameras are installed in this unit with 24 hours monitoring with (4) cameras currently not working.

PREA Posters were observed in each of the living areas and work areas. Streaming Video with PREA information, including Notice of PREA Audit, how to contact the PREA Auditor, Advisement that Rape is Not a Part of Your Sentence, ways to report and how to access the outside advocacy organization was observed. Phones with instructions for contacting the PREA Unit were observed in each living unit. A Kiosk, enabling inmates to report allegations of sexual abuse via email to the PREA Unit and to family, as well as to access video chat was observed in each living unit. Shower curtains covered all shows affording inmates privacy.

*The summary should include the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number of standards not met, **along with a list of each of the standards in each category.** If relevant, provide a summarized description of the corrective action plan, including deficiencies observed, recommendations made, actions taken by the agency, relevant timelines, and methods used by the auditor to reassess compliance.*

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be “Not Applicable” or “NA”. A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Number of Standards Exceeded: 5

115.11; 115.33; 115.34; 115.51; 115.87

Number of Standards Met: 40

115.12; 115.13; 115.14; 115.15; 115.16; 115.17; 115.18; 115.21; 115.22; 115.31; 115.32; 115.35; 115.41; 115.42; 115.43; 115.52 115.53; 115.54; 115.61; 115.62; 115.63; 115.64; 115.65; 115.66; 115.67; 115.68; 115.71; 115.72; 115.73; 115.76; 115.77; 115.78; 115.81; 115.82; 115.83; 115.86; 115.88; 115.89; 114.401; 115.403

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

N/A

Summary of Corrective Action (if any)

Corrective Action:

Issue # 1: Staff generally understood they would not rely on another inmate to interpret for another inmate in making an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment but were not sure who would interpret or how to access them.

Corrective Action: The PREA Compliance Manager will train the Shift Supervisors in how to access Language Line for interpretive services for limited English proficient inmates. Supervisors will cover this information in shift briefings and routine refresher trainings. Documentation of that training will be provided. Completed: The facility has refreshed all supervisors in how to access Language Line.

Issue # 2: Inmates are provided information regarding sexual assault advocacy services, this information is posted. Most inmates interviewed were not aware of outside services.

Corrective Action: Counselors/staff will place more emphases on the delivery of this information. . Inmates need to be aware of the services advocacy agencies provide and the limitations of confidentiality when using the resource and contacting them. Auditor observed information posted throughout the facility regarding outside support services.

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.11 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? Yes No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? Yes No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? Yes No

115.11 (c)

- If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) Yes No NA
- Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the +6standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This standard is rated exceeds for multiple reasons that are discussed below. The Agency appears to have been proactive in instilling a zero-tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation for reporting or for cooperating with an investigation. Specific to this facility, the Warden has designated the Unit Manager as the PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager's comprehensive approach to implementing a program for prevention, detection, responding and reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment at this facility was impressive.

The agency recently implemented a Transgender Care Team whose purpose is to monitor and address the needs and/or concerns of an offender who identifies as Transgender/Intersex. The team consists of the PREA Compliance Manager, Mental Health Director, Retaliation Monitor, Classification Supervisor and Victim Advocate Alternate. The team is designed to meet twice a month to address any concerns of the Transgender/Intersex population. When an offender has identified as Transgender/Intersex during the PREA Victim/Aggressor Classification, the Mental Health Director and PREA Compliance Manager are to be notified immediately. When making housing and programming assignments the facility will consider appropriate actions on a case by case basis. Administrative Segregation will not be, used except as a last resort and is to be used only if no other housing plan is available. The Team reportedly has an open-door policy and will address Transgender/Intersex problems as they are brought forth by any member of the Transgender/Intersex population. Separate showering in the gym is provided for. Reassessments of each Transgender/Intersex Inmate are to be conducted by the Re-Entry Counselor every 6 months.

The agency has policies mandating a zero-tolerance policy and the comprehensive PREA policy (SOP 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program) addresses the agency's comprehensive approach to prevention of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as well as its approach to detection, responding and reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency's policy begins with a statement of policy and applicable procedures, followed by extensive sections on Prevention Planning, Responsive Planning, and Reporting with multiple subsections addressing the GDC Procedures and the PREA Standards. The policy prohibits retaliation for reporting or participating in an investigation and mandates a zero tolerance for retaliation as well.

The GDC has developed the Office of Professional Standards Compliance Unit, with a full time Director overseeing compliance with PREA, American Correctional Association (ACA) Standards, and Americans with Disabilities Act Compliance. In addition, the Director of the Compliance Unit supervises the Policy Administrator and the agency's Auditing Component. The Auditing Component audits GDC facilities for compliance with policies and procedures.

The PREA Unit consists of the Statewide PREA Coordinator. The Statewide PREA Coordinator oversees all PREA related functions and has an Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator. Additionally, the PREA Unit has a PREA Analyst who collects and analyzes data that is input into the GDC Database, called SCRIBE. The PREA Unit oversees the implementation of the PREA Standards and helps maintain compliance by periodically monitoring facilities and programs, by providing technical assistance, and by providing training. The Statewide PREA Coordinator is a certified Peace Officer Standards Training instructor enabling her to provide certified training to staff. The PREA Unit also collects PREA related data, review Sexual Assault Response Team Investigations (The Sexual Assault Response Team, SART, conduct the initial facility-based investigations).

The Statewide PREA Coordinator reports to the Deputy Director of Compliance however she has unimpeded access to the Commissioner of the Georgia Department of Corrections with issues related to PREA. A recent interview with the Commissioner of the Georgia Department of Corrections confirmed his support for PREA, the PREA Coordinator and Compliance Director. The Commissioner receives message notifications of all sexual assaults in his facilities.

The agency has a Statewide Americans with Disabilities Act/Limited English Proficiency Coordinator who serves as a resource person for accessing interpretive services for disabled or limited English proficient detainees and inmates. The Statewide Coordinator has required each facility to designate an ADA Coordinator in each facility. This is relevant to PREA in that when any issue arises regarding the need for any kind of interpretive services, the facility ADA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manager have access to the Statewide Coordinator who can expedite interpretive services beyond those offered by Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions, and these services, provided through multiple statewide contracts, include telephone, video, and on-site interpretive services. For example, on a previous audit, the auditor needed to interview a deaf inmate to determine his awareness and knowledge of PREA including zero tolerance, his rights related to sexual assault, sexual harassment and retaliation. One call to the Statewide ADA Coordinator resulted in access to an interpreter, who used American Sign Language via video. The ADA Coordinator has provided access to multiple statewide contracts for interpretive services for hearing impaired, visually impaired, or limited English proficient.

The Warden of the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison has designated a Facility Compliance Officer/PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager is a Unit Manager. The Facility's Organizational Chart identifies her as the PREA Compliance Manager. She has direct and unimpeded access to the Warden. This relationship was also confirmed in an interview with the Warden, the PREA Compliance Manager and through observations of interactions throughout the audit. The Warden confirmed his complete support of PREA and the PREA Compliance Manager.

Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison is required to comply with the Georgia Department of Corrections Policies, including PREA. The agency has also determined its facilities will comply with the Standards promulgated by the American Correctional Association and will undergo auditing by the American Correctional Association. The Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Policy addresses and integrates the elements of the PREA Program, and includes the agency's approach to prevention, detection, responding and reports. The agency has identified sanctions for staff, contractor, or inmates for violating any agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policy and the presumptive sanction for employees is dismissal/termination and banning contractors and volunteers from further contact with inmates and the facility, until the conclusion of an investigation. The ban is statewide, preventing the contractor or volunteer from entering any GDC facility until an investigation is completed.

GDC Standard Operating Procedures; 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention Program affirms that the agency/facility has a zero-tolerance policy towards all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation for reporting or for cooperating with an investigation.

Zero Tolerance is referenced in multiple documents and publications including the Inmate Handbook, in PREA Acknowledgment Statements for staff, inmates, contractors and volunteer, on issued PREA brochures, in the PREA Video, and continuously through multiple PREA related posters that were observed in virtually every area of this facility, including disciplinary segregation.

Policies and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; Georgia Department of Corrections Organizational Chart; Statewide PREA Structure (Organizational Chart depicting lines of authority and responsibility for the PREA Unit); Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison Organizational Chart; Previously reviewed Job Description Statewide PREA Coordinator; Prison Staffing Plan; PREA Brochures for Inmates and for Staff; Training Rosters documenting 2018 Day 1 Annual In-Service Training and Specialized Training documenting staff completing the NIC Course entitled: Communicating Effectively and

Professionally with LGBTI Offenders. Zero Tolerance Posters located throughout the facility; Inmate TV Channel.

Interviews: GDC Commissioner; Warden; Deputy Wardens of Care and Treatment; Deputy Warden of Security and Security; PREA Compliance Manager; PREA Coordinator-Previous Interview; Assistant PREA Coordinator – Previous Interview; Twenty-eight (28) Randomly Selected Staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff, Twenty-seven (27) Randomly Selected Inmates; Thirteen (13) Targeted Inmates Thirty-two (32) Inmates Informally Interviewed.

Other: Observed though out the facility: PREA related posters; phones with PREA Hotline dialing instructions; Kiosks. Inmate Tablets for Reporting and Inmate TV video streaming PREA Information.

Policy and Documents Review: Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, is a comprehensive PREA Policy that not only details the agency's approach to prevention, detection, reporting and responding to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment but also integrates this information in a manner that flows logically and is easily understood. The policy affirms that the Department will not tolerate any form of sexual abuse or sexual harassment of any offender. Policy also states that the Department has a zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and sexual activity among inmates. It further indicates the purpose of the policy is to strengthen the Department's efforts to prevent occurrences of this nature by implementing key provisions of the PREA Standards to help prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse in confinement facilities. The PREA Policy addresses the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, responding and reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

It is obvious that the Georgia Department of Corrections and Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison takes inmate sexual safety seriously. This is based on a number of factors. An interview with the GDC Commissioner indicated he believes he has put together a team (the Director of Compliance and the PREA Unit, led by the Statewide PREA Coordinator, who has effectively implemented PREA. He affirmed his support for PREA and the efforts of the PREA Unit. During the interview, he showed the auditor how he is notified of every sexual assault in the state via phone message and that he also receives follow-up on those via phone message as well.

The GDC appointed a Director of the Office of Professional Standards Compliance Unit, who is ultimately responsible for the Department's compliance with the PREA Standards, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the American Correctional Association Standards. This staff person was previously the agency's PREA Coordinator and is a Certified PREA Auditor. She also supervises the agency's audit team consisting of a Statewide Senior Auditor and 8 security auditors and three physical plant auditors. Additionally, the facility (Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison) must comply with the ACA Standards and has a staff dedicated to overseeing the implementation of the ACA Standards in the facility.

Additionally, the Department has appointed a Statewide PREA Coordinator and an Assistant Agency Statewide PREA Coordinator with sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee the Department's efforts to comply with the PREA Standards in the Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) facilities.

The Statewide PREA Coordinator has responsibility for the entire state. Both the PREA Coordinator and Assistant PREA Coordinator are experienced in adult corrections. They are heavily involved in training staff; whether it is training for the PREA Compliance Managers, Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) Members, or staff first responders to mention a few. PREA Compliance Manager training and SART training is held consistently at least twice a year. The PREA Coordinator is training to be a POST Certified Instructor (Peace Officer Standards Training) which means she has met all the requirements to instruct corrections staff, and especially Peace Officer Standards Certified Correctional Staff, enabling them to receive credit toward their ongoing certification and recertification requirements. The Peace Officer Standards Training and certification

process are independent of corrections and law enforcement agencies and promulgates the standards for certification for all types of law enforcement and corrections agencies.

The reviewed Statewide PREA Structure, as depicted on the Agency's Organizational Chart, documented that the Statewide PREA Coordinator reports now to the Compliance Unit's Deputy Director. Interviews confirmed she has direct access to the Commissioner of the Department with regard to any PREA issues if needed. A recent interview with the GDC Commissioner confirmed he is very familiar with the Director of Compliance and the Statewide PREA Coordinator. He asserted his confidence in them and the work they do and assured the auditor of his full and complete support. An interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated that the Director of Facilities is also actively supporting the PREA Coordinator and PREA in all facilities.

The PREA Coordinator is an exceptionally knowledgeable staff. She is not just knowledgeable of PREA, but also is experienced working in adult facilities prior to her appointment. She has been responsible for ensuring that the prisons and facilities comply with the PREA Standards and that they maintain compliance. To that end she and the Assistant PREA Coordinator serve as resource staff for the GDC facilities and programs. Too, she is a Peace Officer Standards Training Certified Trainer and provides training related to PREA and PREA topics, for which the staff get credit by virtue of having a POST Certified Instructor presenting.

The PREA Unit now can review investigations that are uploaded into the agency's database prior to closing them out. This serves as a quality assurance function to provide some oversight to the facility-based investigation process.

The Assistant PREA Coordinator is also experienced in corrections, having worked in both the state and private sector. He is knowledgeable of PREA and provides technical assistance when needed to the GDC Facilities. A previous interview with the PREA Coordinator and the Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator confirmed that they have sufficient time to perform their PREA related duties.

The PREA Unit is heavily involved as well in capturing data for planning, corrective action and other purposes. To that end, the agency and PREA Unit has a PREA Analyst assigned to the PREA Unit. His job, among other things, is to collect and analyze the data that is submitted to the PREA Unit on a monthly basis, by each facility. In working with the PREA Auditor, the PREA Analyst assists by retrieving information on all calls to the PREA Hotline from each facility prior to the on-site audit. He also assists the auditor by securing from the Georgia Department of Corrections Technical Section, rosters of disabled inmates, identifying the inmate and his/her disability, enabling the auditor to select disabled inmates to interview during on-site visits. He also provides a report of inmates or probationers who identify as LGBTI and who have reported prior victimization. He keeps statistics for each facility and cumulatively for the agency. Statistics are used by the Department to analyze issues related to PREA and are used to compile the Agency's Annual Report. The analyst also has a system that populates information from reports onto the SSV Form. He also provides a check and balance in collecting accurate information about sexual assault. Facilities are required to report allegations to the PREA Unit.

The agency has a designated staff responsible for coordinating activities related to compliance with the American Disabilities Act. She has asked each facility to designate a facility-based ADA Coordinator, and has arranged for the GDC to utilize multiple statewide contracts for inmates with disabilities. These contracts provide for interpretive services via phone, video, and in person. This state level position, ADA Coordinator, also under the umbrella of the Office of Professional Standards, Compliance section, has been actively involved in trying get all GDC staff trained in ADA. The ADA Director has also assisted facilities in securing interpretive services when needed. On one specific occasion at another facility she expedited, for the auditor, the interview of a deaf inmate by arranging within minutes, a video interview with an interpreter who used American Sign Language.

The PREA Unit has reached out to nationally recognized organizations to assist in implementing PREA. These included Just Detention International and the Moss Group. They contracted with Just Detention in the past to

assist in implementing PREA and are now under contract with the Moss Group to help the Department assist in developing the agency's Transgender Policy. The DRAFT Policy has been completed and is being reviewed.

The Moss Group is also working with the Department to assess and recommend additional female programming (gender specific programming).

The Moss Group has provided Train the Trainer Classes to train trainers to go back into the facilities to train selected staff to serve as victim advocates. The Statewide PREA Coordinator and Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator have been trained by the Moss Group to conduct this training.

The PREA Unit, realizing the quality of the Facility-Based investigations needed to be monitored, has implemented a computer-based program to enable the PREA Coordinator, Assistant PREA Coordinator and PREA Analyst to monitor investigations. This enables them to review the investigation and to require additional action, including instructing the facility-based investigators to look at other areas if warranted, prior to closure, for the investigation to be approved by the PREA Unit. This provides a quality assurance component to evaluate investigations. Plans, according to the PREA Coordinator, are underway for the PREA Coordinator, Assistant PREA Coordinator and PREA Analyst to use video to go into each facility to review, with them, their investigations.

The Warden/Superintendent at each institution is charged with ensuring that all aspects of the agency's PREA Policy are implemented. The Warden has, as required, developed a Local Procedure Directive for response to sexual allegations. The Directive reflects the institution's unique characteristics and specifies how each institution will respond to sexual allegations and the notification procedures followed for reports of sexual allegations. (Local Procedure Directive discussed in a later standard).

Wardens/Superintendents are also required to assign an Institutional PREA Compliance Manager, who also has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee the facility efforts to comply with the PREA Standards. He has developed numerous initiatives to ensure that PREA is a priority in this facility. These initiatives include, PREA POSTING are printed signs keeping sexual safety in view of staff and inmates continuously, streaming PREA information continuously throughout the day on the inmate Channel 60, implementing the Suicide/PREA Form to offer mental health follow-up for inmates who may want to disclose prior victimization (on intake), and others. These and other initiatives indicate a proactive approach to prevention, detection, responding and reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. An interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated she makes time to perform all of her PREA related responsibilities. She also indicated that she has the complete support of the Warden and he has given her the authority and responsibility for implementing the standards and for maintaining compliance with them. The Facility Organizational Chart depicts the PREA Compliance Manager as having direct access, when needed to the Warden.

The agency is proactive in working towards preventing, detecting, responding and reporting PREA incidents. This was described by the PREA Coordinator and included the fact that they have been working with Just Detention International on a variety of initiatives and projects. The agency, in the past, provided documentation of their JDI PREA Demonstration Grant, including the Final Close-Out Report dated March 2, 2018. The grant included nine (9) GDC project pilot facilities. The initiatives included: 1) Promote broad-based culture shift within GDC through new staff training programs that comply with the PREA Standards and address each employee's role in preventing and responding to sexual abuse. This included assessing the cultures in the pilot facilities and then developing and providing training. 2) Develop a trauma-informed response to sexual assault; ensuring incarcerated survivors have access to the same quality of care that is available in the community. During this part of the project the JDI worked with the Georgia Network to End Sexual Assault (GNESA in providing training to staff in providing trauma-informed response to inmates reporting sexual abuse, in building partnerships with community-based rape crisis centers and to provide training to the facility-based sexual assault response team members, ensuring a coordinated response to inmates reporting sexual abuse. This

goal included objectives related to more training for staff and SARTs as well as securing written MOUs with rape crisis centers. 3) Develop PREA inmate education programs that address the needs of inmates with GDC's facilities. This included an assessment of existing inmate education curricula and materials, identifying inmate education delivery methods best suited for each of GDC's facility types and revising or developing new inmate education curricula and materials tailored to the needs of each facility type, and establishing a plan for delivering that education to new inmates and on an ongoing basis. 4) Enhance GDC's procedures regarding PREA standards and audit compliance.

Zero Tolerance is reinforced in the GDC prisons, Probation Detention Centers, Transitional Centers and contracted County Prisons, this auditor has audited. This observation is made based on the fact that inmates consistently tell the auditor they have received this information in every facility they have been in and most have been transferred multiple times throughout the years. Offenders frequently tell the auditor they have seen the PREA Video multiple times in multiple GDC facilities. One inmate during a recent audit thanked the PREA Auditor for PREA and said that he has seen serious sexual assaults during his years in prison but that since PREA he has not seen that much and said that at his present facility, he has not been aware of any sexual assaults.

Zero Tolerance is also reflected in multiple documents, including PREA Acknowledgment Statements for staff, contractors, volunteers and inmates. Posters were observed in every area of the building, and in every living unit.

Inmates, staff, contractors and volunteers are trained on the zero-tolerance policy. They acknowledge and sign PREA Acknowledgment Statements. The auditor reviewed Training Rosters documenting completion of Day 1, Annual In-Service Training that includes PREA Training. Acknowledgement Statements for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Volunteers affirms that they have received training on the Department's Zero Tolerance Policy on Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment and that they have read to GDC Standard Operating Procedure 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. They also acknowledge that violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination or being banned from entering any correctional institution.

The auditor reviewed over 40 PREA Acknowledgment Statements for employees and 20 contractors that were in personnel files selected for review. These affirm zero tolerance.

GDC also requires staff to complete the NIC on-line training, "Communicating Effectively and Professionally" with LGBTI Offenders. The auditor reviewed 26 Certificates documenting that training.

The agency appears to value training to assist in the agency's prevention efforts. The agency plans and provides additional training for Sexual Assault Response Team Members, as well as ongoing training for PREA Compliance Managers. Sexual Assault Team Members (SART) attend training at least semi-annually. This training was documented in training rosters (previously provided and reviewed) and through interviews with SART members, the PREA Coordinator and Assistant PREA Coordinator. Designated staff completed the NIC on-line Specialized Training for Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings, in addition to the specialized training for their respective fields; i.e., Medical and Mental Health. Healthcare staff attended training in Nursing Protocols and complete the NIC Training entitled, Medical Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting. A qualified staff in most or all the GDC facilities is trained to serve as an advocate for victims of sexual abuse and advocates are generally a part of the Sexual Assault Response Team.

Offenders are provided PREA related information upon admission to the facility during the intake process. During Intake and according to staff, inmates are provided information about zero tolerance and are provided the PREA Brochure. If not completed on the day of admission, inmates also receive an orientation in which they watch the PREA video, going over the PREA pamphlet, explaining zero tolerance and how to report. Following the PREA education during orientation, the inmate signs the PREA Acknowledgment Statements acknowledging understanding zero tolerance and the consequences for being involved in an incident of sexual

assault or sexual harassment. Additionally, the orientation checklist is initiated by the inmate confirming having received the information. These were confirmed through reviewing 40 inmate files randomly selected by the auditor.

Interviews: An interview with the Commissioner of the Georgia Department of Corrections confirmed he is knowledgeable of PREA, including some of the nuances of facility operation related to PREA. He also showed the auditor how he receives messages anytime there is a sexual assault in any of his facilities. He was very familiar with the Statewide PREA Coordinator and the Director of the Compliance Unit and indicated he was very aware of the good PREA Team he has.

The Warden indicated he supports PREA and has appointed a staff, whose duties are implementing and maintaining compliance with the PREA Standards and ACA Standards. He indicated he fully supports her and that he has a zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The PREA Compliance Manager is knowledgeable of PREA. He articulated his efforts and the facility's efforts to prevent, detect report and respond to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Her diligence and approach are comprehensive and impressive.

One-hundred percent (100%) of the 28 interviewed random staff and 36 specialized staff was aware of the zero-tolerance policy and agency's zero tolerance for any form of sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual harassment or retaliation. They are trained to and required to report all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including suspicions and staff actions that may have contributed to an incident or allegation.

The twenty-seven (27) randomly selected inmates and thirteen (13) targeted inmates affirmed they know there is a zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation.

Allegations and reports, regardless of the source, are required to be documented and investigated. Staff stated they would report the allegation immediately to their immediate supervisor and follow up with a written statement prior to the end of their shift. They said they would report "everything" regardless of how they received the information or regardless of whether it involved a staff, inmate, contractor or visitor.

Interviewed staff affirmed that they have been trained in each of the topics required by the PREA Standards and that those topics were covered in Pre-Service Training and each year in annual in-service training. Staff is also required by the PREA Unit to complete the National Institute of Corrections on-line training entitled: "Communicating Effectively and Professionally" with LGBTI Offenders". Interviews confirmed that each of the interviewed staff completed that training as well.

Inmates, staff, contractors and volunteers are trained on the zero-tolerance policy. This was confirmed through reviewed acknowledgment statements, reviewed training rosters, certificates of training and interviews with them. All formally interviewed offenders as well as 32 informally interviewed offenders, during the site review, were aware the facility and GDC has a zero tolerance for all forms of sexual activity and how to report. Most of the informally interviewed inmates acknowledged they received information on admission and that they viewed the PREA Video. They also indicated they have received that information in every facility they have been assigned to. They also pointed out that the information is available all over the facility through posters.

Other: Zero Tolerance is reflected in multiple documents, including PREA Acknowledgment Statements for staff, contractors, volunteers and inmates. Posters were observed in every building, every living unit and throughout the facility.

Inmates at this facility have access to a KIOSK in each dorm from which they can report directly to the GDC PREA Unit via email. They may also email anyone on their approved visitors list. They may also conduct video visitation if they have enough funds on their account. Additionally, inmates have GOAL Devices (tablets) from which they can report to the PREA Unit, anytime day or night, with privacy.

This standard is rated “exceeds” because of the agency’s and the facility’s commitment to zero tolerance and to PREA. This was evidenced in an interview with the GDC Commissioner, Warden, staff and inmates. The Department has designated a Statewide Compliance Director with overall responsibility for implementing PREA, the American Correctional Association Standards and ADA compliance.

Additionally, the Department has designated a Statewide PREA Coordinator and Assistant PREA Coordinator to oversee the implementation of PREA in the GDC facilities. Interviews with the Commissioner and Coordinators confirmed they have direct access to the Commissioner, if needed, with regard to PREA related issues. Observations of the work of the Statewide PREA Coordinator and the Assistant PREA Coordinator seemed to indicate that they are “hands on” and work with their facilities by monitoring and providing technical assistance. They are very knowledgeable of what was going on in their facilities. Either the PREA Coordinator or Assistant PREA Coordinator makes themselves available throughout the on-site audits to provide additional information and/or clarification when needed. An interview with the Assistant PREA Coordinator confirmed he too is knowledgeable of PREA and with his institutional experience, is resourceful in helping the facilities with compliance issues. GDC has also provided the PREA Unit the position of “analyst” who collects data from monthly reports sent to the PREA Unit. He is also a valuable resource to auditors in that he can pull PREA reports from facilities; identify inmates who have called the PREA Hotline in the past twelve months; and can provide a roster identifying the disabled inmates in the prisons. The Agency has an Americans with Disabilities Coordinator who facilitates getting interpreters/translators for inmates. The state has multiple statewide contracts for interpretive services in addition to Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions, a telephonic interpretive service.

PREA related posters are posted throughout the facility for viewing and keeping zero-tolerance in the forefront.

Staff and inmates are aware of the zero-tolerance policy and of the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, responding and reporting all suspicions, allegations, knowledge, or reports of sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation.

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.12 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity’s obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) Yes No NA

115.12 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates OR the response to 115.12(a)-1 is "NO".) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Document Review: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 2; Two (2) Agency Contracts (Previously Reviewed); Reviewed Intergovernmental Agreement County Capacity, July 2018 (for the confinement of offenders); Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Commissioner of the Georgia Department of Corrections; PREA Coordinator (Agency Director Designee) prior interview; Assistant PREA Coordinator previous interview, PREA Compliance Manager; Warden; Previous interview with Contracts Manager's Designee.

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 2, requires the Department to ensure that contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities, including governmental agencies, includes in any new contract or contract renewal the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the Any new contract or contract renewal shall provide for Department contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA Standards.

An example of contract language was provided to the auditor previously and since then, the auditor reviewed contracts for housing inmates at the Harris County Prison, Coweta County Prison, Carroll County Prison, and the Columbus Consolidated Government.

An example of the language in the Intergovernmental agreement between the Georgia Department of Corrections and the Columbus Consolidated Government for the confinement of offenders includes the following language in Paragraph 8, Prison Rape Elimination Act, that states, "County agrees it will adopt and comply with 28 CFR 115, entitled Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) as required in 28 CFR 155-12. The Columbus Consolidated Government also agrees to cooperate with Department (GDC) in any audit, inspection, or investigation by Department or other entity relating to County's compliance with PREA. It also agrees the Department will monitor the County's compliance with PREA and shall have the right to inspect any documents or records relating to such audit, inspection, or investigation and County will provide such documents or records at Department's request. Counties acknowledge that failure to comply with PREA is a material breach of this Agreement and is a cause for termination of this Agreement."

Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison does not contract for the confinement of offenders. This was confirmed through interviews with the PREA Coordinator (previous interview), Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and the reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

The Agency PREA Coordinator previously provided the auditor two additional contracts the agency promulgated for the confinement of inmates by a county prison and a private vendor. Both contracts contained

requirements for the contractor to comply with PREA and to acknowledge that the Georgia GDC has the right to monitor for compliance.

The auditor has reviewed contracts (known as intergovernmental agreements) for 5-6 county prisons. The agreements are between the Georgia Department of Corrections and the Governmental Entity responsible for operation of the county prison. Each of the reviewed contracts contained the same verbiage requiring the County adopt the PREA Standards and comply with them. They also acknowledged that the Department will monitor the facilities for compliance.

Discussion of Interviews: The Commissioner informed the auditor that GDC does not have any union employees and he is not involved in any form of collective bargaining. He asserted he can remove from contact, any staff, alleged to have violated an agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policy.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.13 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the generally accepted detention and correctional practices in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any judicial findings of inadequacy in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration all components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated) in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the inmate population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the number and

placement of supervisory staff in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the institution programs occurring on a particular shift in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No

115.13 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) Yes No
 NA

115.13 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? Yes No

115.13 (d)

- Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? Yes No
- Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections Policy requires each facility to develop a staffing plan addressing adequate staffing and deployment of video monitoring in an effort to protect offenders from sexual abuse. Additionally, the Georgia Department of Corrections facilities develop a stratification plan that essentially provides a brief overview of the facility and the plan for housing the population served by this facility. Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison has developed and documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing to attempt to keep inmates safe. The staffing plan is documented in Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison, Facility Staffing Plan, dated 3/18/2019.

The Staffing Plans and reviews of the staffing plan are guided by a template developed by the agency PREA Team. The template is designed to ensure each facility addresses each of the required items in the PREA Standards. These are developed with input from the agency PREA Coordinator and approved by the Warden and PREA Coordinator or Assistant Coordinator.

Plans are required to be documented and take into account and consider each of the items required by the PREA Standards. The Department (GDC) sends teams to facilities to assess the staffing needs and, after identifying Priority One Posts and other lessor priority posts, applies formulas with consideration of relief factors and recommends allocating the numbers of staff to be adequate for the mission of that facility. This is known as a staffing analysis.

Staffing levels then are essentially based on the mission of the facility, population served, security levels of offenders, special needs of offenders, programs, work details and the numbers of identified priority one posts. Priority one posts are those that are so critical they must be manned 24/7. The facility may also have other posts that need to be staffed for optimum operational conditions but are a lessor priority than the posts that must be manned 24/7. Priority two and three posts are needed for the optimal operation of the facility but lower priority posts and may be closed or "pulled" in order to staff higher priority level posts. Some posts may be closed because their function has ceased at a given time of day or night.

The population analysis just prior to the on-site audit consisted of the following:

Capacity

Physical Capacity: 2708

Operational Capacity: 2359

Security Level

Georgia Diagnostic
Classification Prison

MINIMUM	211
MEDIUM	2059
CLOSED	323

Demographics

Georgia Diagnostic Classification Prison	
BLACK	56%
WHITE	42%
OTHER	3%

The staffing plan considers the programs that the facility offers:

Programing at this facility mirrors most of the GDC facilities and includes the following:

- GED, Literacy, Adult Basic Education
- Counseling
- Moral Reconciliation Therapy
- Motivation for Change
- Thinking for a Change
- Career Center
- Re-Entry
- Long Term Lifers Program
- Orientation
- Re Skill
- MRI
- OUTS (SMU)
- Re Skills/Career Center
- Matrix RP
- Classification
- Basic Computer
- Moral
- Career Center
- Chapel Services
- AA
- Religious Activities: non-denomination, Islamic and Catholic Services
- Recreation: General Recreation

Vocational/OJT Including:

- Supervisor Aide
- Warehouse
- Waste Water Treatment Plant Operator
- Welder
- Kitchen Helper
- Laundry Worker

- Library Clerk
- Maintenance Repair
- Painter I
- Plumber
- Printing Machine Operator/Hand Printer
- Small Engine Mechanic
- Diesel Mechanic
- Electrician
- Firefighter
- Food Preparation
- Forklift Operator
- General Clerk
- Groundskeeper
- Heavy Equipment Operator
- HVAC
- Auto Body Repair
- Auto Mechanic Helper
- Automotive Maintenance
- Baker
- Career Guidance Technician
- Cook
- Apprentice
- Custodial Maintenance
- OSHA
- Serv Safe

Work Details: Inmates are assigned to details inside the facility. These include the following and these are considered in developing the staffing plan.

- Fire Station (Outside Detail)
- Optics
- Inside Grounds
- Laundry
- Warehouse
- Food Service
- Warehouse
- Maintenance
- Education
- Barbershop
- Gym
- Sanitation
- ID
- Medical
- Dorm Orderlies
- Library

To provide for the missions of the facility, the following staffing was determined to be the staffing needed:

Total Staffing: 646

Security Staff: 488 including the following:

- (9) Administration
- (8) Business
- (16) Care and Treatment
- (2) Dental
- (17) Diagnostic Processing
- (20) Food Services
- (7) Education
- (20) Food Services
- (6) Human Resources
- (17) Inmate Services
- (6) Inmate Store
- (13) Maintenance/Engineering
- (9) Mental Health
- (1) Library
- (2) Chaplain
- (3) Recreation
- (2) Training
- (488) Security

In considering the layout of the facility, the staffing plan describes in detail staffing and video deployment. The plan requires intermediate level or higher -level staff to conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Rounds are to be documented in the area log books. Documentation verifying random unannounced rounds for a period for twelve months were being conducted, as per policy requires.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison Staffing Plan, Facility Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 3.

Interviews: Commissioner; Warden, Deputy Warden of Security; Chief of Security; PREA Compliance Manager; Shift Supervisor; Agency PREA Coordinator (previous interview); Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator (previous interview), Leader of Sexual Assault Response Team, 28 Randomly selected staff; 36 Specialized Staff; 27 Randomly selected offenders that included 13 Targeted Offenders; 32 Inmates, Informally Interviewed

Policy and Document Review: The reviewed Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 3, requires each facility to develop, document and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with the established staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring to protect inmates against sexual abuse. Facilities are also required to document and justify all deviations on the Daily Post Roster. Annually, the facility, in consultation with the Department's PREA Coordinator, assesses, determines and documents whether adjustments are needed to the established staffing plan and deployment of video monitoring systems.

Additionally, policy requires unannounced rounds by supervisory staff with the intent of identifying and deterring sexual abuse and sexual harassment every week, including all shifts and of all areas. These rounds are documented in area logbooks and staffs are prohibited from alerting other staff of the rounds. Duty

Officers are required to conduct unannounced rounds and these rounds are required to be documented in the Duty Officer Log book. Shift rosters confirmed the minimum staffing required. All priority one posts were staffed as required without deviations. Logbook reviews were documented above.

The staffing plan documented consideration of the inmate population and programs that are going on different shifts, the presence of video monitoring, and priority one (24/7) posts.

The staffing plan and review is conducted by the Warden and PREA Compliance Manager and then, by either the Statewide PREA Coordinator, or Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator.

Discussion of Interviews: The Warden described the staffing levels at his facility and identified the priority 1 post that are covered 24/7, as well as priority 2 and 3 posts that can be pulled to cover a priority 1 post if needed. He described the actions that would be taken to ensure a priority one post is never deviated from. Interviews with staff indicated the minimum staffing is almost always maintained and there are enough staff to supervise the inmates. Any deviation from mandated staffing levels is documented.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.14 (a)

- Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

115.14 (b)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

115.14 (c)

- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison does not house youthful offenders. Male youthful offenders are housed by the Georgia Department of Corrections at the Burrus Training Center in Forsyth, GA. This was confirmed by reviewing the Burrus facility's website (GDC), interviewing the Warden, Deputy Warden for Care and Treatment, and the Deputy Warden of Security.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Policy 208.06, Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Burrus Training Center webpage.

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; 16 randomly selected staff; 36 specialized staff; previous interviews with the Agency PREA Coordinator and Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator.

Policy Review: The Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Policy requires that youthful offenders are sight and sound separated from adults and that where youthful offenders are maintains they must be housed in a separate unit and have access to programs and exercise. When outside the unit, they must be sight and sound separate unless they are accompanied by and supervised by a correctional officer.

There are no youthful offenders assigned to this program. This was confirmed through the reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire, site review, reviewed inmate rosters, and interviews with staff and the Warden/Division Director. During the on-site audit the auditors did not observe any youthful offenders. Reviewed inmate files did not identify any youthful offenders.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Warden; Deputy Wardens; Shift Supervisors; Medical Staff; and randomly and specialized staff confirmed there are no youthful offenders housed at this facility.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.15 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? Yes No

115.15 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates in non-exigent circumstances? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) Yes No NA

- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) Yes No NA

115.15 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? Yes No
- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates?
Yes No

115.15 (d)

- Does the facility implement a policy and practice that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No
- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? Yes No

115.15 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? Yes No
- If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? Yes No

115.15 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No
- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) prohibits cross gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and cross gender pat searches of females except in exigent circumstances that are approved and documented or when performed by medical practitioners. If this should occur, documentation is required via a GDC Incident Report. This is confirmed through the reviewed policies, annual in-service training lesson plan, and interviews with both staff and inmates. In practice, interviews with staff and inmates confirmed that female staffs do not conduct cross gender strip searches however both male and female officers conduct pat searches.

GDC Policy does allow female staffs, who have been trained in conducting cross-gender searches, to conduct pat searches of male inmates. The facility's practices are consistent with GDC Standard Operating Procedure, 226.01, Searches, Security Inspections, and Use of Permanent Logs, 1.2; however practice is that if a male staff is available to conduct the pat search, the male conducts it. The practice at this facility, as confirmed through interviews with staff and with inmates, confirmed that female staff can and do conduct pat searches however if a male staff is available, the male staff conducts it. Reviewed investigations indicated there were no allegations regarding cross-gender pat searches and inmates and staff confirmed females do not conduct strip searches. Forty (40) of forty (40) interviewed inmates confirmed female staff have never performed a strip search on any of them.

The GDC Search Policy in 1.d requires that a strip search of females shall be conducted by female correctional officers while males shall be strip searched by male correctional officers, however in an emergency such as an escape, riot etc., the provision may be waived.

This is an all-male facility however GDC Policy requires that the requirement for prohibiting cross gender pat searches of females will not restrict female offender's access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with those provisions. This provision in the Standards is not applicable to this male facility.

GDC policy and practice requires that inmates can shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Showers in this facility are equipped with shower curtains providing privacy while inmates shower. 100% of the interviewed inmates confirmed they have privacy while showering and that they are never naked in full view of staff while showering, using the restroom or changing clothing.

In open bay dorms, toilets are separated by ½ walls affording a degree of privacy while using the restroom and ensuring they are not in full view of staff while using the restroom. Female officers may conduct headcounts periodically however inmates are reportedly not in the shower/restroom area when the officers conduct the headcounts and the female officer is required to announce her presence when entering the shower/restroom area.

GDC policy requires staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering the housing units. Female staff working on the unit will announce once after taking the shift over however other female's coming into the unit must announce. The facility also requires the inmates to announce anytime the Warden, Deputy, or other administrative level staff entered the dorms as well. Signs are also posted in each dorm, explaining

that female staffs typically work in the dorms. The sign does not negate the requirement to announce their presence and they indicated they do announce their presence. Forty (40) of Forty (40) interviewed offenders stated female officers do announce their presence when entering the housing area. One hundred 100% of the interviewed staff said female staffs announce their presence when entering the housing areas.

Policy requires that the facility refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status and If an inmate's genital status is unknown, the facility may determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. The policy does not limit searches of offenders to ensure the safe and orderly running of the institution.

100% of the interviewed staff affirmed they would not be allowed to search a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status. They indicated essentially that they would ask them or have medical make that determination. None of the interviewed transgender offenders reported that they were searched for the sole purpose of determining their genital status.

Agency policy requires and the facility trains staff to conduct cross gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner. Staff related they receive this training at Basic Correctional Officers Training (BCOT). BCOT is the training that results in successful candidates becoming certified as a Correctional Officer by the Peace Officers Standards Training Committee. Staff indicated they also get the training in annual in-service training and, at times, during shift briefings. GDC Policy 208.6 and Standard Operating Procedure, 226.01, Searches requires this as well. Those same policies require the Department to train security staff to conduct cross-gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. The reviewed in-service PREA training curriculum informs staff about searching transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner. GDC staffs are required to take the National Institute of Corrections on-line training, Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders. The auditor reviewed 40 sampled certificates documenting the National Institute of Corrections On-Line Training, Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offender and observed certificates documenting that training in personnel files while reviewing background checks. All the interviewed staff stated they took the on-line National Institute of Corrections Training, "Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders".

Policies and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; GDC Policy 226.01, Searches, 1.d; Training Module for In-Service Training for 2017; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reports from the PREA Analyst; SOP 11B-01-0013, Searches; Memo from the PREA Compliance Manager instructing shift supervisors to train staff; Training Rosters documenting the training.

Interviews: Twenty-eight (28) Randomly selected staff, Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff; Forty (40) inmates; Thirty-two (32) Informally interviewed offenders during the site review.

Observations: Showers in the dorms with bedrooms were separated by full wall stalls and each shower has a curtain. The showers in the open bay dorms are group showers however inmates indicated they shower one or two inmates at a time out of respect. Each of these showers has a large shower curtain enabling inmates to shower with privacy. Showers in the segregation area are single occupancy showers with expanded metal doors however at the entrance into that shower area is a large curtain. Toilet areas provided some privacy by separate stalls with ½ walls. The auditor did not observe any searches during the on-site audit.

Policy and Documents Review: Department of Corrections (DOC) Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program and GDC Policy 226.01, Searches, prohibits cross-gender strip or visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners; the PREA Compliance Manager requires shift supervisors to conduct cross-gender pat search training; Training Rosters documenting cross-gender pat search training.

GDC Policy 226.01, Searches, 1.d., requires that strip search of females will be conducted by female correctional officers and that males will be strip searched by male correctional officers absent exigent circumstances (escapes, riot, etc.) and only if a same gender officer is not available. Cross gender searches in exigent circumstances are required to be conducted with dignity and professionalism. Search policy requires in the event of exigent circumstances searches of the opposite gender conducted under exigent circumstances must be documented on an incident report.

The reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire and interviews with staff confirmed that there has been 13 cross-gender strip or body cavity searches during the past twelve months. All the interviewed staff confirmed that female staffs are prohibited from conducting cross-gender strip or body cavity searches unless there were exigent circumstances that are documented.

Paragraph 2. Frisk or Pat Search requires the pat search will be conducted, when possible, by an officer of the same sex. However, male offenders may be frisk or pat searched by both male and female security staff. Instructions for conducting pat searches, including using the back of the hand and edge of the hand are provided during search training. Although there are no females at this facility, policy prohibits male staff from conducting pat searches of female inmates absent exigent circumstances that are documented

The auditor reviewed the training module for in-service training. That training reaffirmed the verbiage in policy. Multiple pages of training rosters documenting Day 1 In-Service were provided for review. Staff also affirmed, in their interviews, that they have been trained in how to conduct a proper pat search of offenders, to include transgender and intersex offenders. Staffs were asked to demonstrate the technique they were taught, and staff demonstrated how they would use the back of their hands to avoid an allegation of groping the inmate. They referred to the back of their hands as the "blade: which is the term used in the training.

Policy prohibits staff from searching a transgender inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. Staffs are also required by policy to search transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner. 100% of the interviewed staff confirmed they would not, and would not be allowed to search a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status. Interviewed transgender inmates had no issues regarding their searches.

SOP, 11B01-0013, Searches, again reiterates that males strip search males except in exigent circumstances and even then, only if same sex officers aren't available. It also affirms the expectation that pat searches, when possible, are conducted by same sex staff. Cross gender strip searches, should they be done in an exigent circumstance, are required to be documented on an incident report.

GDC requires facilities to implement procedures enabling inmates to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Policy requires that inmates should shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing in designated areas. Observations of the showers in every dormitory confirmed that offenders have privacy while showering. Toilets are in the cells except in the open bay dorms. Showers there have curtains and toilets are separated by half wall stalls.

Interviews with staff and Forty (40) offenders confirmed inmates can shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without being viewed by staff. Interview with Thirty-two (32) inmates, informally, also confirmed privacy while showering, using the restroom, and changing clothes. They also affirmed that only on rare occasions females participate in strip searches males do the strip searches and both male and female staffs conduct pat search training.

An additional measure required by policy is for staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. Signs are prominently posted in each dorm informing inmates that female staff

typically work in the dorm. Interviewed staff, randomly selected as well as specialized staff, affirmed that staff consistently announces their presence before entering the housing area.

One hundred - 100% of the interviewed inmates asserted that female staff announces their presence when entering the housing units. They also said the inmates announce it as well.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviewed staff affirmed they are prohibited from conducting cross-gender strip searches except in dire emergencies and then only if a male staff is not available. They also stated they have been trained to conduct cross-gender pat searches and that female officers do conduct pat searches of male offenders when a male staff is not available. They indicated they are trained to conduct cross-gender pat searches and searches of offenders in professional and respectful manner. They confirmed that search training, including cross gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner is taught during Basic Correctional Officers Training, during in-service training and demonstrated during some shift briefings periodically. Staff also stated they have been trained to search a transgender and intersex inmate in a professional and respectful manner.

The reviewed training module for Annual In-Service, reminds staff that security staff must conduct searches in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. Staffs are instructed that female staff may conduct strip and body cavity searches of male inmates only in exigent circumstances that are documented on an incident report.

Staff indicated, in their interviews, that staff of the opposite gender consistently announces their presence saying things like “female on deck”. Most of the interviewed inmates affirmed female staff not working in the unit announces their presence when entering the dormitory. Observation indicated that an inmate is assigned to announce to the unit anytime any visitor enters the unit. Female staffs were also observed making their announcement.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.16 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?
 Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?
 Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?
 Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?
 Yes No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision? Yes No

115.16 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No

115.16 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency and the prison appear to be committed to ensuring inmates with disabilities, including inmates who are deaf/hard of hearing, blind or low vision, intellectually disabled psychiatrically disabled or speech disabled have access to interpretive services that are provided expeditiously through professional interpretive services. They also appear to be committed to ensuring inmates with limited English proficiency have access to interpretive services. These interpretive services may be accessible through a variety of statewide contracts that can be accessed by each GDC facility. Interpreters Unlimited, GDC Approved Bi-Lingual Staff, PREA Brochures in Spanish, Mental Health Counselors, GED and Literacy Remedial Instructors at the facility, and closed caption PREA Video are provided in an effort to ensure all inmates have access to and the ability to participate in the agency's efforts at prevention, detection, responding and reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. GDC Standard Operating Procedure, 103.63, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II Provisions, in a 20-page policy, addresses how the agency makes available interpretive services to disabled, challenged, and limited English proficient inmates.

The agency (GDC) has an Americans with Disabilities Coordinator who is responsible for overseeing and coordinating the agency's efforts to comply with the ADA requirements. The Coordinator works in direct collaboration with the State ADA Coordinator's Office and serves as an invaluable resource when a facility needs any type of interpretive service to ensure an inmate can fully participate in the agency and facility's prevention, detection, response and reporting program for sexual assault, sexual harassment and retaliation. Her position on the organizational chart is described as ADA/LEP (Limited English Proficiency) Coordinator. In addition to making staff aware of the statewide contracts for interpretive services, the ADA Coordinator is available to facilitate, for facilities, access to interpretive services. During a recent audit, a deaf inmate was selected to be interviewed. Requiring an interpreter who could "sign" the facility contacted the ADA Coordinator, who quickly arranged for a video interpreter and through the interpreter using American Sign Language, the inmate responded to all the questions asked by the auditor.

During the audit of the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison, the auditor learned of two inmates who reportedly were limited English proficient. Each inmate interviewed stated the counselor who conducted orientation was very helpful in assuring that all information presented was understood. The inmates understood PREA and knew how to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment if it happens. Staff completed a test call to Interpreters Unlimited for auditor. It was accomplished expeditiously.

During the onsite audit of the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison the associate Certified PREA Auditor used a bi-lingual staff to interpret for a limited English proficient offender. With the interpreter, the auditor was able to question the offender and receive information that confirmed he was aware of zero tolerance and PREA and how to report. He indicated the facility also has signs around the facility written in Spanish. Interpreters on state contract must meet the professional qualifications required by the contract.

The ADA Coordinator has required each facility to designate an ADA Coordinator who can facilitate and expedite contact with the Statewide ADA Coordinator in securing interpretive services and work with her on any issues related to disabled inmate accommodations.

GDC Standard Operating Procedure 103.63, American's with Disabilities Act, B.2, indicates that inmates entering a Diagnostic Facility (Georgia Diagnostic State Prison and Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison), will have an initial medical screening to determine any needs for immediate intervention. Efforts are made at the diagnostic facility to identify offenders who may be qualified individuals under the ADA. Additionally, a mental health screening and evaluation is conducted at a GDC Diagnostic Facility to determine the level of care needs. Policy requires that during the intake and diagnostic process, staff, including security, education, medical, mental health, parole and classification will ask offenders with hearing/visual disabilities their preferred way of communication during the first interaction in the intake/diagnostic process. That determination will prompt the intake/diagnostic staff to secure a Qualified Interpreter or use the Video Remote Interpreting for those with hearing impairments, a reader or other assistive technology, for those with visual impairments, or other specified preferred ways of effective communication. The preferred way of communication will be use throughout the intake/diagnostic process and this information will be documented in the Department's Database.

When required, the ADA Coordinator will order live American Sign Language interpreting services. Policy requires the sending diagnostic facility to contact the receiving facility to ensure that necessary equipment or auxiliary aids are available, including "qualified interpreters". Qualified interpreters are defined as someone who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively (understanding what the person with the disability is saying) and expressively (having the skill to convey the information back to the person) using any necessary specialized vocabulary.

In that same SOP, F. Effective Communication, paragraph a, requires that offenders with hearing and/or speech disabilities and offenders who wish to communicate with others who have disabilities will be provided access to a Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) or comparable equipment on the housing units. Public phones are required to have volume control for inmates with hearing impairments.

Auxiliary aids that include the following will be provided as a reasonable accommodation to offenders who qualify under ADA: Qualified Interpreters on site or through video remote interpreting services, note takers, real-time computer aided transcription services, written materials, exchange of written notes, telephone handset amplifiers, assistive listening devices, assistive listening systems, telephones compatible with hearing aids, closed caption decoders, voice, text, and video-based telecommunications, including text telephones (TTY), video phones, and closed caption phones or equally effective telecommunication devices.

The Prison also has an agreement with Interpreters Unlimited to provide interpretation services. Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions can provide interpretation services over the phone, video remote and through on-site interpreting. Contract services, it affirms, also includes American Sign Language. The facility also has PREA documentation available for inmates and is in English and Spanish format. If interpretation is needed for any other language, the contracted translation service provided by Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions includes documentation translation.

The prison has a limited English proficiency procedure affirming the facility will provide all necessary means to provide LEP offenders with all of the PREA Information. This can be implemented through the staff interpreter, PREA Vide and PREA Pamphlet in Spanish, Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions, and PREA Posters in Spanish and English. In the past twelve months interpreter services had been utilized 86 times by the facility.

A GED Teacher/Literacy Remedial Teacher and staff are available to ensure that inmates with limited educational skills receive and understand how to access all the aspects of PREA, including prevention,

detection, responding and reporting. Staff would read the PREA information to the inmate upon admission and additionally, PREA Education is provided through the PREA Video and orally to clarify any issues.

Offenders with mental issues can receive services of the mental health counselors at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison.

Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions is available for telephone interpretive services, video interpretive services and on-site services and for translation services.

American Sign Language is available through Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions.

Policies and Documents Reviewed:

Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 6; GDC Standard Operating Procedures, 101.63, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II Provisions; Contract with Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions; and PREA Brochures in English and Spanish; Instructions for Accessing Language Line; Georgia Department of Administrative Services Statewide Contracts for Provision of American Sign Language for Hearing; Agency Disability Report provided by the PREA Analyst; Limited English Proficient Plan (10/28/2018).

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Georgia Department of Corrections ADA Coordinator in a previous interview; Education Staff; Intake and Orientation Staff; Randomly selected staff (28); Specialized Staff (36); Randomly Selected and Targeted Inmates (30); and 32 informally interviewed inmates.

Observations: Posting of PREA Brochures in English and Spanish; Previous Reviews of Statewide Contracts for Interpretive Services.

Policy and Document Review: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 6, Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient, requires the local PREA Compliance Manager ensure that appropriate resources are made available to ensure the facility is providing effective communication accommodations when a need for such an accommodation is known. It also prohibits the facility from relying on inmate interpreters, readers or other types of inmate assistants except in exigent circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first response duties or the investigation of the inmate's allegation.

The facility has access to Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions via a contract/agreement to provide interpretive services for disabled and limited English proficient inmates in making an allegation of sexual abuse.

The GDC provided Statewide Contracts (Georgia Department of Administrative Services) that provide access to interpreters for American Sign Language. Instructions for accessing these services are included.

The auditor reviewed the PREA Brochures in both Spanish and English. The PREA Video is also available in Spanish and in closed caption.

Georgia Department of Corrections facilities have a valuable resource when needing to access interpretive services. The agency ADA Coordinator has communicated information on how to access interpretive services via statewide contracts and when there is a need to secure an interpreter expeditiously; staff contact the ADA Coordinator who can expedite those services. While the ADA Coordinator is not responsible for county facilities, she would be available to suggest how the facilities might access any services not available to them through the statewide contracts. Each facility has an ADA Compliance Staff who can facilitate contact with the Statewide Coordinator in securing interpretive services.

The facility has GED teachers/Literacy Remedial teachers who can assist any literacy or cognitively challenged inmates in understanding the PREA information and how to report.

Counselors, including highly qualified mental health counselors, can assist inmates with mental health issues. Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions is available to staff working with limited English proficient offenders. American Sign Language is available on-site through a contract with Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions including via video with Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions.

The Prison Rape Elimination Act pamphlet will be provided to the offender in Spanish.

The ADA Coordinator is ensuring that a local ADA Coordinator is being designated in each facility to be responsible for assisting with any ADA issue, including an inmate who is challenged by a disability that might interfere with his/her ability to participate in the agency's sexual abuse prevention efforts.

Discussion of Interviews: The auditor conducted a previous telephone interview with the Agency ADA Coordinator. According to the Coordinator if the facility had a limited English proficient inmate needing translation services the facility has access to Language Line and if on-site interpreters were needed, she would arrange that. She also affirmed the availability of translators or interpreters for the hearing impaired via statewide contracts and indicated she would, if called, make the contacts to provide signing and any other translation services needed. The agency does have the PREA Video with closed caption.

Interviews with twenty-eight (28) random staff indicated that staff would not rely on an inmate to translate for another inmate in making a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment absent and emergency or exigent circumstance. Interpretive services would be accessed through Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions, the resource for interpretive services.

More than adequate services are available to accommodate inmates needing interpretative services. Staff interviews revealed that some staff needs a review of how these services are accessed. Supervisors will cover this information during shift briefing and in Service Training.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.17 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No

115.17 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates? Yes No

115.17 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: perform a criminal background records check? Yes No
- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? Yes No

115.17 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? Yes No

115.17 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? Yes No

115.17 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? Yes No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? Yes No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? Yes No

115.17 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? Yes No

115.17 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) Yes No
 NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections, as required in policy, prohibits the hiring or promotion of anyone and enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997; who has who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; and the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the same activity. The Department considers any incidents of Sexual Harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with offenders.

Policy requires every employee, as a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct.

Georgia Department of Corrections requires the following regarding the hiring and promotion process: 1) Applicants responding to the PREA related questions asked of all applicants and documented on the Employment Verification Form; 2) Correctional applicants must pass a background check consisting of fingerprint checks, a check of the Georgia Crime Information Center and the National Crime Information Center; 3) Correctional Staff must pass an annual background check prior to going to the firing range annually to maintain their Peace Officers Standards Training Certification (POST); all other staff must pass a background check consisting of the GCIC and NCIC annually.

Material omissions regarding misconduct or providing materially false information will not be grounds for termination.

Policy also requires before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, the agency performs a thorough criminal background records check. These checks include a check of the Georgia Crime Information Center and the National Crime Information Center, as well as an initial fingerprint check for all security positions.

Additionally, unless prohibited by law, the Department will provide information on Substantiated Allegations of sexual abuse or Sexual Harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. GDC Complies with the Federal Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, and all other applicable laws, rules and regulations.

The Human Resource (HR) Manager is well informed on Department of Corrections hiring processes and the requirements for background checks for newly hired staff, promoted staff, security staff, non-uniform staff, contractors, and volunteers. The described processes were consistent with GDC Policy and the PREA Standard and included the following”

Newly Hired Staff require the following:

- Applicant Verification Form asking the PREA questions (Prohibitions)
- Take the Integrity Test (a test designed to determine an applicant’s responses to ethical situations) – security staff only
- Professional Reference Checks as applicable
- Background Check including the Georgia Crime Information Center and the National Crime Information Center
- Finger Prints

Promotions – Prior to promotions staff must have the following:

- Applicant Verification Form asking the three PREA related questions
- Job Reference
- Criminal Background Check of the Georgia Crime Information Center and the National Crime Information Center

Uniform Staff –

- Annual background checks and driver’s license check, prior to going to the firing range; a requirement to maintain the officer’s Peace Officer Standards Training Certification

Non-Uniformed Staff-

- Backgrounds are completed every five years, per Department of Corrections policy.

Contractors –

- Backgrounds are completed every five years, per Department of Corrections policy.

Volunteers –

- Training for volunteers is controlled by the State Office Volunteer Coordinator’s Office
- Background checks are conducted at the State Office, prior to a volunteer being admitted to training
- Once a successful background check and the required PREA and other training provided, the State Office or the Regional Office issue a badge for the volunteer. The badge, according to the State Volunteer Coordinator confirms the volunteer has completed training and passed his/her background

check and may be authorized entry into the facility. If the badge has expired, the Coordinator, advised the volunteer must undergo the training again.

The auditor reviewed the following files to determine if background checks were conducted as required:

(20) Newly Hired Staff – 100% of the reviewed files contained the required background checks, including the applicant verification form documenting the PREA related questions being asked prior to hire.

(10) Promoted Staff – 100% of the (10) reviewed files contained the applicant verification forms and completed background checks.

(15) Contractor Files – 100% of the (15) reviewed files contained the required background checks and 15 of the 15 files contained the applicant verification forms.

(20) Regular Employees – 100% of the (20) files contained the required background checks (with multiple checks) and the required applicant verification forms.

(10) Volunteer Files – 100% of the (10) reviewed files contained background checks.

GDC Policy requires background checks every year for uniform staff. Annual background checks are required for uniform staff to go to the firing range to maintain firearms qualification, as required for maintaining the officer's Peace Officer Standards Certification. All other employees and contractors with contact with inmates must have a background check every five years.

Policy and Documents Review: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 7, Hiring and Promotion Decisions; GDC Standard Operating Procedures 104.09, Filling a Vacancy; GDC Applicant Verification form; Form SOP IV00312, Attachment 1), to a Criminal Background Check and a Driver History Consent; "Georgia Department of Corrections, Professional Reference Check, IV003-0001, Attachment 5; Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, Reviewed Applicant Verification Forms; Reviewed Background checks for Twenty (20) newly hired employees; Fifteen (15) Contractors; Twenty (20) Regular Employees; Ten (10) Volunteers, Ten (10) Promoted Employees.

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Human Resources/Personnel Manager; (28) Randomly Selected Staff; Three (4) Contractors; Two (2) Volunteers

Policy Review: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 7, Hiring and Promotion Decisions, complies with the PREA Standards. GDC does not hire or promote anyone or contract for services with anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility or other institution defined in 42USC 1997; who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent; of who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the above. Too, policy requires the Department to consider incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contactor who may have contact with inmates. Prior to hiring someone, the PREA Questions, asking prospective applicants the three PREA Questions, is required. GDC Policy 104.09, Filling a Vacancy, Paragraph I. Hiring and Promotion, 3. Requires that before hiring anyone who may have contact with offenders, GDC will perform a criminal background check and consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of any allegation of sexual abuse. Verification of that check must be documented on the GDC Professional Reference Check.

Criminal History Record Checks are conducted on all employees prior to hire and every 5 years. Security staff must qualify with their weapons annually and prior to that annual qualification another background check is conducted. Criminal History Record Checks are conducted prior to enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates. Staff have an affirmative duty to report and disclose any such misconduct. GDC Policy 208.06 requires in Paragraph e. that material omissions regarding misconduct or the provision of materially false information will be grounds for termination.

As part of the interview process potential employees and employees being promoted are asked about any prior histories that may have involved PREA related issues prior to hire and approval to provide services. Human Resources staff related that the PREA Questions are given to applicants and required to be completed.

GDC requires applicants to disclose any disciplinary history involving substantiated allegations of sexual abuse and goes on to tell the applicant that GDC requires supporting documentation must be obtained prior to the applicant being hired. Failure to disclose (omissions) that are material will result in the applicant not being considered.

The GDC requires that all corrections staff have an annual background check prior to going to the firing range, which is a requirement for corrections staff to maintain their certification as Correctional Officers through the Peace Officer's Standards Training council. Non-Uniformed staff are required to have a background check every five (5) years.

GDC policy requires applicants to disclose any disciplinary history involving substantiated allegations of sexual abuse

GDC Policy 208.06, Paragraph d, requires that unless prohibited by law, the Department will provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. The Department complies with the Federal Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, and all other applicable laws, rules and regulations.

If the employee violates an agency policy related to PREA, the employee will be subject to termination and prosecution.

Discussion of Interviews: The HR (personnel manager) described the hiring process. She indicated that prospective security candidates for employment take the Integrity Test at the facility, complete the Applicant Verification Form affirming they have not been involved in those PREA related issues on the form, and consent for a background check through the GCIC and NCIC. If the applicant worked in another facility or institution, a professional reference check is required. Contractors background checked are every 5 years. If a staff resigned during an investigation the investigation would continue but the staff would be coded as a "no-rehire".

Interviews with the Human Resource Staff indicated that all persons selected for employment or to provide services at the prison must consent in writing (Form SOP IV00312, Attachment 1), to a Criminal Background Check and a Driver History Consent to be conducted prior to officially hiring someone. The manager also stated that all newly hired staff background checks include live scan Fingerprints.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.18 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities)

since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)

Yes No

NA

115.18 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Due to construction being conducted throughout GDCP for the modification project of main control, the camera systems are inoperable at this time. Interviews with the Warden confirmed that he and his staff have been involved in developing plans to ensure sexual safety is taken into consideration in the planning and construction process.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prisons Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A, Prevention Planning, Paragraph 8;

Interviews: Warden, Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager

Observations: Actual construction process was observed.

Policy Review: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prisons Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A, Prevention Planning, Paragraph 8, requires all new or existing facility designs and modifications and upgrades of technology will include consideration of how it could enhance the Department's ability to protect inmates against sexual abuse. The PREA Coordinator must be consulted in the planning process.

Discussion of Interviews: An interview with the Warden, Deputy Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager confirmed that there are currently expansions/modifications in progress. These modifications include upgrades and/or additions to the control room and video monitoring systems. PREA related concerns were taken into consideration when requesting upgrades.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21 (a)

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes
 No NA

115.21 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes
 No NA
- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.21 (c)

- Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate? Yes
 No
- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? Yes No
- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? Yes No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? Yes No

115.21 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? Yes No

- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? Yes No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? Yes No

115.21 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? Yes No
- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? Yes No

115.21 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.21 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? [N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.21(d) above.] Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections, Office of Professional Standards Investigators (Special Agents) conduct investigations of allegations that appear to be criminal in nature for the Department. These investigators undergo extensive training in conducting investigations and are empowered to arrest staff or inmates. Office of Professional Standards Investigators and Office of Professional Standards Special Agents attend a police academy in addition to any departmental training they receive. In addition to the eleven (11) weeks of police academy training, Special Agents attend another 13 weeks or more investigation training at the Georgia Bureau of Investigations Academy. An interview a Special Agent, confirmed that they attend 11 weeks of Basic Mandate Training at a Police Academy. Training includes basic law enforcement. They also attend 11-13 weeks at the Georgia Bureau of Investigations Academy where they are trained in the investigation process, crime scene preservation, interviewing victims of sexual abuse, intelligence technology, and other investigative courses. He also related Special Agents attend a three-day class related to PREA Investigations.

Special Agents are dispatched out of their Regional Office and cover a specific area with specific facilities however they may go elsewhere upon direction or assignment by the Special Agent in Charge. There are three regions: North, Southeast and Southwest. In the Southwest a special agent has been essentially designated as a PREA Investigator for that region, although he may be assigned elsewhere too. The PREA Coordinator indicated that a part of her strategic planning is to have a PREA investigator in each region.

Investigators are trained to follow a uniform process. Georgia Department of Corrections Standard Operating Procedures, 103.10, Evidence Handling and Crime Scene Processing (thirteen pages), provides extensive guidance in evaluating a crime scene, examining a crime scene, still/video photography, crime scene sketches, handling and collecting evidence (and storage of evidence), digital evidence, latent prints, collection of known samples, crime scene documentation, submission of evidence, equipment requirements and record retention.

An interview with the PREA Special Agent from the Southwest Region confirmed a specific and thorough process for conducting the investigation and in collecting evidence. He indicated that once notified, if the area has been secured, he will come to the facility and process the cell or crime scene while waiting on the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner to arrive. Processing, he indicated, includes taking photos, using the alternative light source, review video, listen to phone calls, ask permission for swabs and secure search warrants if they don't consent, He related he will interview the victim but not right away, in an effort to not re-victimize them. Additional potential evidence may be clothing to be processed by the Georgia Bureau of Investigation Crime Lab. The SANE conducts the forensic exam and turns the Rape Kit over to the Special Agent or to security in the absence of the Special Agent. The chain of custody begins, and the evidence may be secured in an evidence locker until it is turned over to the Special Agent who gets it to the crime lab for examination. He indicated as well that the GBI crime lab does not have a backlog of rape kits anymore so the turnaround time should be improved, enabling the investigation to proceed and conclude. (See 115.71 for more details about the investigation process)

Sexual Assault Response Team members are facility-based staff, composed generally of a facility-based investigator who has completed the National Institute of Corrections on-line course, "PREA: Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in Confinement Setting", a medical staff, counseling or mental health staff (one of whom may serve as a staff advocate), and often the retaliation monitor. Their role, in the event of an allegation that appeared to be criminal, is limited to ensuring the protection of the evidence and if an assault is alleged, getting the inmate medical attention immediately, all the while protecting evidence insofar as possible.

All inmate victims of sexual abuse are offered a forensic exam at no cost to the inmate/resident.

The Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners are contracted with the Georgia Department of Corrections to provide "on-site" forensic examinations. Satilla Advocacy Center (headquarters for the SANEs) documented that they follow the National Protocol for Conducting Forensic Exams. Additionally, they provided a document entitled: "National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, 2nd Editions, Major Updates" summarizing the major categories of revisions made in the second edition of the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations. The revised protocol has the same emphasis and values as the

original but are updated to reflect current technology and practice. The protocol offers recommendations to help standardize the quality of care for sexual assault victims and is based on the latest scientific evidence.

The Agency's "Procedure for SANE Nurse Evaluation/Forensic Collection provides a six-page document of instructions to follow in preparing for the forensic exam and for collecting evidence.

Upon learning of a sexual assault, the facility nurse is required to complete the Nursing Assessment Form for Alleged Sexual Assault. If the determination is that a possible sexual assault occurred, the Nurse completes the Plan portion of the form. This information documents notification of the Officer in Charge, SANE Nurse, and other notifications.

The facility has a SANE Call Roster providing contact information for Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners. Contact information is provided for three SANEs. There were no forensic exams required during the last twelve month.

The facility nurse documents the following information on the Medical PREA Log:

- Inmate Name and GDC Number
- Date of Incident
- Reported within 72 hours
- Transported to ER?
- Date of Transport
- Method of Transport
- Inmate Consent Form Signed
- SANE Nurse Notified
- Date/Time
- Date Exam Scheduled
- Date Exam Completed
- Time Nurse Arrived
- SANE Nurse Conducting Exam
- Company of SANE Nurse
- Inmate Refusal/Recant?
- GDC Chain of Command for Rape Kit
- Date Accepted by Security

If an inmate refuses an exam or recants, the nurse completes another log, entitled, "Refusal/Recantment Medical PREA Log" documenting the refusal, recanting, and notifications to the SANEs.

Additionally, the SANEs follow Georgia Department of Corrections, 208.06, Procedure for SANE Evaluation/Forensic Collection covering the following:

- Initial Report of Sexual Abuse/Assault
- Collection of evidence by SANE Nurse on-site
- SANE Assessment/Forensic Collection
- Referrals for Mental Health Evaluation and Counseling
- Medical PREA Log and SANE Invoice

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, B. Responsive Planning; GDC Standard Operating Procedure 508.22, Mental Health Management of Suspected Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment; Attachment 1, Medical Evaluation of Suspected Sexual Assault (Contract) with Attachment 1, Medical Evaluation of Suspected Sexual

Assault; Memo from Health Service Administrator. Medical Co-Pay for Sexual Assault; Standard Operating Procedure 103.10 Evidence Handling and Crime Scene Processing and SOP 103.06, Investigations of Allegations of Sexual Contract, Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment of Offenders; GDC Policy VH07-001 Health Services, E., Medical Services Deemed Necessary Exempt from Fee; SANE Nurse Call Roster; Medical PREA Log; Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner's; IK01-0005; MOU with the Sexual Assault Support Center; National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, 2nd Edition, Major Updates"; Email from Satilla Advocacy confirming Following the National Protocol for Evidence Collection; Procedure for SANE Nurse Evaluation/Forensic Collection

Interviews: Commissioner; Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Health Service Administrator; Director of Nursing; Sexual Assault Response Team Members; Facility Based Investigator, Previous Interviews with two (2) SANEs from Satilla Advocacy; Rape Crisis Center Staff ; Twenty-eight (28) Randomly selected staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff; Interviews with Forty (40) Inmates; Two (2) Office of Professional Standards Investigators assigned to the prison; Previous Interview with one Office of Professional Standards Investigator; One (1) Special Agent; (Previous interview); One (1) Executive Director, Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center.

Discussion of Policy and Document Review: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, B. Responsive Planning, describes the agency's expectations regarding the evidence protocols and forensic examinations. Facilities are required to follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. GDCs response to sexual assault follows the US Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents" dated April 2013, or the most current version. The Department requires that upon receiving a report of a recent incident of sexual abuse, or a strong suspicion that a recent serious assault may have been sexual in nature, a physical exam of the alleged victim is performed, and the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner's protocol initiated. The Satilla Advocacy Center documented they follow a National Protocol for the Collection of Forensic Evidence and the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, 2nd Edition, Major Updates.

The GDC Policy, IK-005, Crime Scene Preservation, establishes the agency's policy on evidence collections and protecting the crime scene. Policy requires that one of the first responsibilities at a crime scene is to prevent the destruction or contamination of evidence. Staffs are required to initiate security measures to prevent unauthorized persons from entering the crime scene and not to touch anything or disturb anything. Instructions for maintaining the chain of possession of evidence is discussed

GDC Policy VH07-001 Health Services, E., Medical Services Deemed Necessary Exempt from Fee, requires that medical care initiated by the facility is exempt from health care fees.

The Department has promulgated a Local Procedure Directive encompassing the procedures related to responding to victims of sexual assault and the victim is provided the opportunity for a forensic exam as soon as possible. Forensic exams are provided at no cost to the victim. The facility provided a Memo from the Health Services Administrator confirming inmates are not charged for forensic exams. The facility has also issued a local operating procedure essentially documenting the facility's coordinated response to an allegation of sexual abuse.

Investigations are initiated when the Sexual Assault Response Team Leader is notified of an actual or allegation of sexual assault/abuse or sexual harassment. The SART initially investigates to determine if the allegation is PREA related. If there is a sexual assault, the SART leader informs the Warden and Duty Officer and the Warden contacts the Regional Office who will assign an Office of Professional Standards (OPS) Investigator (Special Agent) who will respond to conduct the criminal investigation. OPS is the office with the legal authority and responsibility to conduct investigations of incidents the victim and requiring the alleged

perpetrator not to take any actions that would degrade or eliminate potential evidence and securing the area or room where the alleged assault took place and maintaining the integrity of evidence until the OPS investigator arrived. The OPS investigator may order a forensic exam. If a forensic exam is ordered, the facility's nurse or Warden/Designee uses the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner's List and contacts them to arrange the exam.

There were no allegations or cases involving penetration requiring a forensic exam in the past 23 months. This was confirmed through reviewing the monthly PREA reports, consisting of forty (22) Investigations, grievances and incident reports. In addition, interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and medical staff confirmed the auditor's findings.

GDC Policy also requires the PREA Compliance Manager to attempt to enter into an agreement with a rape crisis center to make available a victim advocate to accompany and provide emotional support for inmates being evaluated for the collection of forensic evidence. The facility provided documentation to confirm a MOU with the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center for the provision of advocacy services for any inmate victim of sexual abuse. The Center also mans a hotline 24/7 enabling anyone to contact them regarding any issues of sexual abuse. Contact information is provided in the inmate handbook.

GDC Policy requires an administrative or criminal investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Allegations involving potentially criminal behavior will be referred to the Office of Professional Standards (OPS).

The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center, agreeing to provide an advocate to accompany an inmate through the forensic process and exam and in any investigative interviews providing emotional support. The facility also has a qualified staff advocate who has completed on line training for advocates. Additionally, the facility has mental health staff that who are qualified to perform that function as well. These include the Mental Health Director and a licensed eligible counselor.

Discussion of Interviews: The interview with the Special Agent who serves in the Southwest Region as the PREA Investigator described the organizational structure of the Office of Professional Standards, Investigation Units and the evidence collection process. He supported the PREA Coordinator in wanting to request PREA Investigators because he said an individual agent may conduct a PREA Investigation but, like anything else, the more you do the more competent with that type of investigation one can become. He indicated having a specialized investigator makes sense. The facility-based investigator has completed the NIC On-Line Training, "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting". He described the process for conducting investigations and indicated that once he was informed of an allegation, he would make sure all the SART members were notified and initiate the investigation. The process would include interviewing the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator as well as any witnesses, review any video footage, review any documentation including things like shift rosters and log books. Interviews with two (2); Office of Professional Standards Investigators also confirmed the investigation process, including evidence collection.

An interview with a SANE who is contracted to perform Sexual Assault Forensic Exams for the Georgia Department of Corrections, confirmed the process for conducting a forensic exam. She follows a uniform protocol for conducting those exams. An interview with a Special Agent confirmed the investigative process when an incident at the facility appears to be criminal. Special Agents, he indicated, complete 13 weeks of training by the Georgia Bureau of Investigation. An interview an advocate and the Executive Director of the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center confirmed their agreement and ability to provide an advocate 24/7 to accompany the inmate providing emotional support services, during the forensic exams and investigative interviews and to provide the inmates with the 24/7 hotline enabling them to talk with an advocate if they needed to.

Interviews with the Health Service Administrator and Director of Nursing confirmed their roles in responding to an allegation of sexual abuse as well as the process for contacting the contracted Sexual Assault Nurse

Examiner. Apart from conducting an initial assessment of the offender to determine if there is evidence of trauma requiring immediate medical intervention in accordance with good clinical judgment.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? Yes No

115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? Yes No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Yes No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? Yes No

115.22 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.22 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections Policy (208.06) requires that all reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will be considered allegations and will be investigated. That included any sexual behavior that was observed, that staff have knowledge of, or have a received a report about, suspicions. Staff acknowledged that regardless of the source of the allegation, the allegation is reported and referred for investigation. If an allegation appears criminal in nature it is referred to the Department's Office of Professional Standards Investigator who is a Special Agent, trained extensively in conducting investigations and who has the power to affect an arrest of staff or inmates. Staff acknowledged that they understood that failing to report would result in disciplinary action up to an including dismissal.

Another GDC Policy, 1K01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse, and Sexual Harassment of Offenders, asserts it is the policy of the GDC that allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse, and sexual harassment filed by sentenced offenders against departmental employees, contractors, vendors, or volunteers be reported, fully investigated and otherwise treated in a confidential and serious manner.

The Agency's PREA Investigation Protocol (Effective June 15, 2016) requires that every allegation (sexual abuse and sexual harassment) must be referred immediately to the local Sexual Assault Response Team with the local SART protocol initiated and investigations handled promptly, thoroughly, and objectively, incident notification made to the GDC PREA Coordinator within 24 hours of initiating the SART Investigation.

The Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) has established Sexual Assault Response Teams (SART) in each of the GDC facilities and programs and the SART, according to policy, is responsible for the administrative investigation into all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison has a Sexual Assault Response Team that is responsible for conducting the initial sexual abuse investigations and sexual harassment investigations. The SART Facility Based Investigator is required to complete the National Institute of Corrections Specialized Training (online) entitled: "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse Investigations in Confinement Settings." The SART is made up of a facility-based investigator, a nurse, a counselor, and a staff advocate. The SART's role is to conduct an initial investigation into the allegation.

If an allegation appears to be criminal in nature, the SART will notify the Shift Supervisor and Warden who will contact the applicable Regional Office. The Regional Office's Special Agent in Charge will then appoint or designate an Office of Professional Standards Investigator, a Special Agent, who has extensive investigative training through the Georgia Bureau of Investigation, to conduct the criminal investigation. Special Agents have been empowered to affect an arrest if necessary. They also work with the local District Attorney and recommend criminal charges when the evidence warrants it.

Additionally, other Office of Professional Standards Investigators, who have completed mandate Law Enforcement Training and are empowered to arrest, are stationed in various facilities throughout the state. Their primary roles are related to gang activity and contraband; however, they too, may be called on to conduct an investigation.

If an allegation is criminal, the SART may conduct the administrative investigation, parallel with the Special Agent or Office of Professional Standards Investigator, including allegations of sexual harassment. Staff misconduct is investigated by the Office of Professional Standards Special Agent.

All investigations are documented and maintained. Investigations conducted by the Sexual Assault Response Team are entered into the GDC's data base and are reviewed by the PREA Unit and must be approved by them prior to the investigation being finalized and closed in the system.

The agency's website is replete with information related to PREA. A section entitled: "Department Response to Sexual Assault or Misconduct Allegations" asserts that employees have a duty to report all rumors and allegations of sexual assault and sexual misconduct through the chain of command. Another paragraph, "Investigations of Sexual Assault and Misconduct" states that the GDC is dedicated to producing quality investigations of alleged sexual assaults and sexual misconduct incidents. A separate section, "How do I Report Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment?" affirms the GDC investigates all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment promptly, thoroughly, and objectively. Multiple ways to report are then identified and contact information is provided.

There were 22 investigations conducted in the past 12 months prior to the audit.

Investigations: (Further discussion in 115.71, Criminal and Administrative Investigations)

(2) Staff on Inmate Sexual Harassment (reviewed) (2) unfounded

(4) Staff on Inmate Sexual Abuse (reviewed) (2) unsubstantiated (2) unfounded

(7) Inmate on Inmate Sexual Harassment (reviewed) (6) unsubstantiated (1) unfounded

(9) Inmate on Inmate Sexual Abuse (reviewed) (5) unsubstantiated (3) unfounded (1) was not considered PREA related)

Policy and Documents Review: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act; GDC Standard Operating Procedure, IK01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment; IK01-005, Crime Scene Preservation; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; PREA Investigation Summary; Notification of Results of Investigation; NIC Certificates (National Institute of Corrections, PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings); Georgia Department of Corrections Website; Reviews of 42 of 140 investigations

Interviews: Twenty-seven (27) randomly selected and Thirty-six (36) special category staff; informally interviewed staff during the audit; Forty (40) Inmates, including Thirteen (13) targeted inmates.

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, requires that an administrative or criminal investigation is to be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Allegations that involve potentially criminal behavior will be referred for investigation to the Office of Professional Standards. If an investigation was referred to an outside entity that entity is required to have in place a policy governing the conduct of such investigations. The local Sexual Assault Response Team is responsible for the initial inquiry and subsequent administrative investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment with limitations. In cases where allegations are made against staff members and the SART inquiry deems the allegation is unfounded or unsubstantiated by evidence of facility documentation, video monitoring systems, witness statement or other investigative means, the case can be closed at the facility level. No interviews may be conducted with a staff member nor a statement collected from the accused staff without first consulting the Regional SAC.

All allegations with penetration and those with immediate and clear evidence of physical contact are required to be reported to the Regional SAC and the Department's PREA Coordinator immediately upon receipt of the allegations. If a sexual assault is alleged and cannot be cleared at the local level, the Regional SAC determines the appropriate response upon notification. If the response is to open an official investigation, the Regional SC will dispatch an agent or investigator who has received special training in sexual abuse investigations. Evidence, direct and circumstantial, will be collected and preserved. Evidence includes any

electronic monitoring data; interviews with witnesses; prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. When the criminal investigation pertaining to an employee is over it is turned over to the Office of Professional Standards to conduct any necessary compelled administrative interviews. The credibility of a victim, suspect or witness is to be assessed on an individual basis and not determined by the person's status as offender or staff member. Offenders alleging sexual abuse will not be required to submit to a polygraph or other truth telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of the allegation. After each SART investigation all SART investigations are referred to the OPS for an administrative review.

GDC Standard Operating Procedure, IK01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment, thoroughly describes the expectations for reporting allegations including initial notifications, general guidelines for investigations and investigative reports. This policy asserts that allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse and sexual harassment filed by sentenced offenders against departmental employees, contractors, vendors or volunteers be reported, fully investigated and otherwise treated in a confidential and serious manner. Staff is required to cooperate with the investigation and GDC policy is to ensure that investigations are conducted in such a manner as to avoid threats, intimidation or future misconduct. Policy requires "as soon as an incident of, sexual contact, sexual abuse or sexual harassment (including rumors, inmate talk, kissing etc.) comes to the attention of a staff member, the staff member is required to immediately inform the Warden/Superintendent, and/or the Institutional Duty Officer, and/or the Office of Professional Standards Unit verbally and follow up with a written report. Failure to report allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse or sexual harassment may result in disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal.

This policy also affirms the "Internal Investigations Unit" (now Office of Professional Standards) will investigate allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse and sexual harassment by employees, contractors, volunteers, or vendors. The investigations may include video or audio recorded interviews and written statements from victims, alleged perpetrator and any witnesses as well as all other parties with knowledge of any alleged incident; as well as known documents, photos or physical evidence.

Policy requires investigations to continue whether the alleged victim refuses to cooperate with the investigator and whether another investigation is being conducted and even if the employee resigns during an investigation. The time limit for completing investigations is 45 days from the assignment of the case.

The auditor conducted a previous interview with an OPS Special Agent and an interview with a facility based Sexual Assault Response Team Investigator. The Special Agent stated investigators must complete between 11-13 weeks of training provided by the Georgia Bureau of Investigations and this is in addition to mandate law enforcement training which is 11 weeks.

Facility-based investigations are conducted by a team of staff including a staff whose primary responsibility is to investigate, a staff whose primary role is staff advocate, and a medical staff. Upon receiving the complaint, the investigator initiates the investigation process.

An interview with the facility-based investigator indicated that she has completed the on-line NIC Specialized Training: PREA: Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in Confinement Settings. In the interview the investigator described the investigative process and indicated that all allegations are treated the same and are investigated the same regardless of where the allegation came from and the evidence collected, including taking witness statements from the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator as well as any witnesses to the alleged incident. The credibility of the resident or staff would be based solely on the evidence.

If, upon receiving an allegation or report of sexual abuse, the preliminary evidence indicates, or it is obvious that a criminal act is likely to have occurred, notifications are made up to the Duty Officer and Warden, who then make contact with the Regional Office Special Agent in Charge who will dispatch an OPS PREA Investigator or another OPS Investigator who is available. The role of the facility-based investigator then is to support the OPS investigator in any way possible.

Interviews with SART Members indicated they would notify the inmate the results of the investigation and they would use the Georgia Department of Corrections Notification Form and are familiar with the requirements of policy related to notification to the inmate.

The agency's investigation policy is provided via the agency website and provides information on how to report any PREA related allegation or complaint on line.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with twenty-eight (28); Randomly selected staff and thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff indicated that staff members are required to report all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, including suspicions, reports, knowledge or allegations. They said they are required to report immediately to their immediate supervisor and when asked about having to document the report they indicated they would be required to complete a written statement, or an incident report completed prior to the end of their shift. Also, when asked, they confirmed they also would accept any report from any source and treat it seriously, reporting it just as any other report or allegation. All of the staff stated the Sexual Assault Response Team is responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations. An interview with the SART Leader confirmed they are very knowledgeable of the investigation process and reviewed investigation packages indicated a thorough process.

Twenty- One (21) randomly selected inmates and (35) informally interviewed during the site review and during the on-site audit period knew ways to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.31 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? Yes No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? Yes No

115.31 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? Yes No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? Yes No

115.31 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? Yes No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? Yes No
- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.31 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard.

These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Georgia Department of Corrections Policy 208.06 requires that staff are trained in the following:

- Department's Zero Tolerance Policy for Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- How to fulfill staff responsibilities under the Department's Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- Prevention, detection, reporting and response policies and procedures
- Offender's right to be free from Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- Right of offenders and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- The dynamics of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment victims
- How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual Sexual Abuse
- How to avoid inappropriate relationships with offenders
- How to communicate effectively and professionally with offenders, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, Transgender, Intersex; or Gender nonconforming
- How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside entities.

The reviewed lesson plan for annual in-service covers the required training topics.

Interviews with staff and contractors confirmed 100% of them had completed PREA Training covering all the topics required by GDC Policy and the PREA Standards. During staff interviews, staff are asked to review the topics outlined on the questionnaire and to explain where and how they received that training. Staff confirmed having been trained in all those topics as both new employees and at annual in-service training.

Staff indicated they receive PREA Training as newly hired employees in pre-service orientation, at Basic Correctional Officers Training, at Annual In-Service Training and through on-line training including the required National Institute of Corrections course, "Communicating Effectively and Efficiently with LGBTI Offenders". Training was confirmed through interviews with 28 randomly selected staff and 36 specialized staff. Staff were specifically asked if annual training included the topics described and enumerated on the questionnaire for randomly selected staff and each employee confirmed that the training included all the topics. Training was also confirmed through reviewing 35 Certificates confirming the NIC. "Communicating Professionally and Effectively with LGBTI Offenders."

Staff at the facility, in compliance with Georgia Department of Corrections Policies, receive their initial PREA Training as newly hired employees (Pre-Service Orientation). A block of training for the new employees is dedicated to PREA.

Newly hired Correctional Officers later attend Basic Correctional Officer Training (BCOT for Certification through the Georgia Peace Officers Training Council). A block of training includes PREA.

Following BCOT, all staff and contractors are required to complete Annual In-Service Training. Day 1 that includes PREA training. The reviewed agency's developed curriculum for 2018 Annual In-Service Training includes the following:

- Zero Tolerance
- Definitions
- Staff Prevention Responsibilities
- Offender Prevention Responsibilities
- Detection and Prevention Responsibilities
- Reporting Responsibilities
- Coordinated Response (Including First Responder Duties)
- Mandatory Reporting Laws (Official Code of Georgia)

- Inmate Education
- Retaliation
- Dynamics in Confinement
- Victimization Characteristics
- Warning Signs
- Avoiding Inappropriate Relationships with Inmates
- Communicating with Offenders
- Acknowledging LGBTI Offenders
- Search Procedures
- PREA Video
- PREA Training and Forms
- Enabling Objectives

GDC Policy in Paragraph 1.b requires that in-service training includes gender specific reference and training to staff as it relates to a specific gender population supervised; and staff that transfer into a facility from a different gender facility are required to receive gender-appropriate training.

The auditor reviewed training rosters documenting Day 1 annual in-service training. Rosters documented 15 days on which annual in-service training was provided. Over 300 staff signatures were observed on those training rosters.

The auditor also reviewed 40 Staff PREA Acknowledgments acknowledging staff are aware of the zero-tolerance policy and their mandate to report, as well as the consequences for becoming involved in sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The agency provides training for PREA Compliance Managers once or twice a year. They also provide training for the Sexual Assault Response Teams at least twice a year.

The Agency's PREA Coordinator and the Assistant PREA Coordinator completed the Train the Trainer Advocacy Training provided by the Moss Group to enable them to train designated facility staff to serve as facility-based advocates.

Policy and Document Review: Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education; Reviewed 2017 Lesson Plan for PREA; Reviewed Power Point Presentation for Annual In-service Training: PREA, 2018; Reviewed Certificates documenting Specialized Training; Pages of Training Rosters – Annual In-Service Training, 2018; Reviewed Personnel files containing PREA Acknowledgment Statements; Previous Rosters documenting Sexual Assault Response Team Training; PREA Acknowledgment Statements

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Agency PREA Coordinator (Previous Interview); Assistant PREA Coordinator (Previous Interview); POST Certified Training Officer; 28 Randomly selected staff, 36 Special Category Staff.

Observations: None applicable for this audit.

Discussion of Policies and Documents: Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, requires annual training that includes the following: The Department's zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting and response policies and procedures, inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse, how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates, how to communicate effectively and professionally with

inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual transgender, intersex or gender non-conforming inmates ; how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. New employees receive PREA Training during Pre-Service Orientation. Staff receives annual in-service training that includes a segment on PREA. In-service training considers the gender of the inmate population. The facility provided the training curriculum covering the topics required by the PREA Standards.

The auditor reviewed multiple training rosters (6) documenting Day 1, Annual In-Service Training, 2018 and 40 PREA Acknowledgment Statements.

Reviewed personnel files representing Newly Hired Staff, Promoted Staff and Regular Staff all contained PREA Acknowledgment Statements. These statements affirm that the employee has received training on the Department's Zero Tolerance Policy on Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment and that they have read the GDC Standard Operating Procedure 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. They also affirm they understand that any violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination, or that they will be banned from entering any GDC institution. Penalties for engaging in sexual contact with an offender committed, sexual assault, which is a felony punishable by imprisonment of not less than one or more, than 25 years, a fine of \$100,000 or both.

PREA Compliance Managers attend training at least twice a year. The Sexual Assault Response Team receives training at least semi-annually on their roles in responding to allegations of sexual abuse. Specialized training is completed by SART members and medical staff.

PREA Related posters are prolific and posted in numerous locations throughout this facility and in this facility the posters and notices are posted strategically throughout the facility and in each living unit. Posters are also posted in administrative segregation and disciplinary isolation.

The investigator on the SART completed the specialized training for investigators through the National Institute of Corrections training, "Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings". Additionally, the SART receives training in their roles in response to a sexual assault at least semi-annually. The auditor reviewed multiple certificates confirming the specialized training.

All staff are required to complete the on-line training Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders. Reviewed Certificates of Training (40) documented the training provided by the National Institute of Corrections and all interviewed staff stated they must complete the on-line NIC training, "Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders".

Discussion of Interviews: Interviewed staff stated they received their initial PREA Training during pre-service training, prior to their attending Basic Correctional Officer Training at the Georgia Department of Corrections Academy. Staff confirmed they receive PREA Training annually during annual in-service training on Day 1. Each staff member interviewed reviewed each of the required training topics and confirmed they had been trained in Annual In-Service on each of those topics. They also indicated, in their interviews, that they receive PREA training as newly hired employees both at the facility and at the academy (BCOT). They stated they then receive PREA Training during annual in-service and that sometimes that training is in a class and sometimes on-line. They also indicated they receive information on various topics during shift briefings.

Interviewed staff was knowledgeable of the facility's zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation. Staff was specifically asked if they had received PREA training in each of the identified PREA Standards training topics; 100% reviewed the topics and said they were trained in each of the topics and that training was provided during annual in-service training. Staff reported they are trained to take everything seriously and report everything and even a suspicion. They stated they would take a report made verbally, in writing, anonymously and through third parties and they would report these immediately to their shift supervisor and follow-up with a written statement or incident report before they left the shift. Staff

explained their roles as first responders. This included both uniform and non-uniform staff. Non-Uniform staff articulated the role and steps of the first responder just like the uniformed staff. If an inmate reported being at risk of imminent sexual abuse staff stated, they would act immediately and remove the inmate from the threat and report it to their immediate supervisor. 100% of the interviewed staff affirmed they took the online NIC Training, "Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders". SART members confirmed they attend SART training once or twice a year.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? Yes No

115.32 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? Yes No

115.32 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

GDC Volunteers often provide their services in more than one prison or Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) facilities and programs. Entrance into the facilities is granted with a valid and current Volunteer Identification Badge. Because of that issue and to achieve more consistency in training, rather than have each facility train them, training for volunteers is now provided by the state office Volunteer Coordinator's Office to ensure consistency in training. If the prison has a large number of prospective volunteers, the state office may opt to conduct the training at a centralized location rather than require the volunteers to come to Forsyth,

Georgia for the training. This unit, according to the Statewide Volunteer Coordinator, also conducts the background checks of anyone interested in becoming a volunteer. Interviews with the State Director of Chaplaincy Services and the State Director of Volunteer Services indicated to the auditor, that if a volunteer shows up at the facility and possesses a valid and non-expired identification badge, the volunteer has completed the required PREA Training and has successfully completed a background check. If, a badge had expired, the Director informed the auditor that the volunteer would have to go back through 4 hours of orientation training once again; in addition, the contractor would be required to undergo another background check. They also indicated the Chaplain at each facility must keep the volunteer records on file at the facility. When asked if one fell through the cracks who would be held responsible. The Director of Volunteer Services informed the auditor that the local Chaplain is responsible for all volunteers coming into the facility.

Statewide volunteer services are directed and coordinated by the statewide Director of Chaplaincy Services and Statewide Volunteer Coordinator, both full time positions in the state office. Volunteer Services are coordinated in the prisons by the Chaplain who is assigned to each prison. After a volunteer signs up for the volunteer training, the training will be conducted at the next training session that may be 3-4 weeks later. During the waiting period background checks are being processed. Training last about 3-4 hours and includes the following:

- Zero Tolerance
- Defining the Prison Rape Elimination Act
- Identifying Staff Awareness
- Discussion of the Dynamics of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- Prevention and Reporting Procedures
- Sanctions

Contract staff on the other hand, unlike volunteers, are required to attend the same Annual In-Service Training that all staff attend. Contract staff stated in interviews with the auditor that they attend Day 1 Annual In-Service Training.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 3, Volunteer and Contractor Training; GDC Standard Operating Procedure Local Management of Volunteer Services; Reviewed Power Point for Training; Contractor and Volunteer PREA Acknowledgement Statements;

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Contracted Employees, State Director of Chaplaincy Services; Statewide Volunteer Coordinator; Facility Chaplain

Observations: There were no volunteer activities during the on-site audit period.

Discussion of Policies and Documents that were reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 3, Volunteer and Contractor Training, requires all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates to be trained on their responsibilities under the Department's PREA policies and procedures. This training is based on the services being provided and the level of contact with inmates, however all volunteers and contractors are required to be notified of the Department's zero-tolerance policy and informed how to report such incidents. Participation must be documented and indicate the understanding of the training received. Training for volunteers is provided at the state office now. Contractors receive training at the facility and attend departmental annual in-service training like all other employees.

Everything, according to the Facility-Based staff, is done at the state office and occasionally at a specified location. Upon a successful completion of the background check, training requirements and the issuance of a facility Volunteer Badge, the Volunteer can begin services. The agency volunteers often volunteer in multiple prisons and that is the reason for the state office training. Too, it provides consistency in the training provided.

Badges are required to be renewed annually, upon entrance into the facility; badges are checked by the portal sergeant checking visitors and staff into the facility.

A memo from the GDC Transitional Services Coordinator explained to Wardens that volunteers who participate in the volunteer training at the state office receive initial PREA training and have a background check completed. In the training, the Coordinator asserted volunteer training includes: 1) zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 2) How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting and response policies and procedures; 3) The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims; 4) Detecting and respond to signs of sexual abuse; and 5) How to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates.

The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with the inmates. All volunteers and contractors who have contact with offenders are notified of the Department's Zero Tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed on how to report such incidents. Documentation of that training is on the Contractor/Volunteer Acknowledgment Statement.

The auditor reviewed a total of 20 PREA Acknowledgement Statements for contractors and volunteers. The GDC Acknowledgment Statements are for supervised visitors/contractors/volunteers. It acknowledges that they understand the agency has a zero-tolerance policy prohibiting visitors, contractors, and volunteers from having sexual contact of any nature with offenders. They agree not to engage in sexual contact with any offender while visiting a correctional institution and if they witnessed another having sexual contact with an offender or if someone reported it to the contractor/volunteer he/she agrees to report it to a corrections employee. They acknowledge, as well, the disciplinary action, including the possibility for criminal prosecution, if they violate the agreement. The Acknowledgment Statement for Unsupervised Contractors and Volunteers acknowledges training on the zero-tolerance policy and that they have read the agency's PREA Policy (208.06). They acknowledge they are not to engage in any behavior of a sexual nature with an offender and to report to a nearby supervisor if they witness such contact or if someone reports such conduct to them. They acknowledge the potential disciplinary actions and/or consequences for violating policy.

Volunteers complete an orientation that includes the following:

- NCIC Consent Form (for conducting the required background checks)
- Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Acknowledgment Statement for Supervised Visitors/Contractors/Volunteers – acknowledging zero tolerance, duty to report, and an acknowledgment that entry into the facility is based on the volunteer's agreement not to engage in any sexual conduct of any nature with any offender and to report such conduct when learned. The Volunteer acknowledges that the consequences for failing to report or violating the agreement will result in being permanently banned for entering all GDC facilities and that GDC may pursue criminal prosecution. Contractors complete the same training that staff are required to complete.

The Volunteer Coordinator at this facility is a volunteer Chaplain who serves the facility under the auspices of Rock of Ages Ministry. He confirmed the training process that is now being provided through the State Office and under the Direction of the Director of Volunteer Services. He described the process for becoming a volunteer. Interested potential volunteers contact the Chaplain who enters their name into a database and when a training date is available, the potential Volunteer is notified. Potential Volunteers then attend training at the GDC Headquarters in Forsyth, Georgia.

He indicated that once the training is completed and a background check completed, the information is entered into SCRIBE, the GDC data base and the facility may then issue a volunteer badge. He asserted that Volunteers must acknowledge their understanding of PREA yearly and have a background check as well.

An interview with two volunteers who are providing religious programming confirmed having received information regarding the zero-tolerance policy and how to report allegations, including something they may suspect. They said they would report immediately to the first correctional officer they saw. They also confirmed they have had background checks and must background checks annually to renew their volunteer badges. All volunteer badges reviewed by the auditor were current.

Interviewed contractors confirmed they attend the same annual in-service training as Georgia Department of Corrections Employees. They also confirmed receiving the NIC, LGBTI training. An interviewed volunteer stated he had been trained on the facility's zero tolerance policy, that he had also been trained to report anything he became aware of. He stated he would report it to the first correctional officer he saw. The interviewed volunteer affirmed he had a completed background check and was informed about the zero-tolerance policy as well as how to report and to whom to report. The interviewed volunteer is a volunteer Chaplain who has been providing services for years. He indicated he has a couple of classes off site, including classes at Forsyth, GDC Headquarters. Interviews with the Statewide Director of Chaplaincy Services and Statewide Volunteer Coordinator, confirmed the processes and training and for annual renewal of badges.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No

115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? Yes No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? Yes No

115.33 (c)

- Have all inmates received such education? Yes No

- Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? Yes No

115.33 (d)

- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? Yes No

115.33 (e)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? Yes No

115.33 (f)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

PREA information is presented to inmates in a manner that enables the inmate to understand and to participate fully in the Agency's prevention, detection, responding and reporting PREA efforts. If a limited

English proficient resident was admitted, the facility has access to Language Line professional interpretive services as well as through multiple statewide contracts for a variety of interpretive services. Coordination of these services may be expedited by the local ADA Coordinator contacting the Statewide ADA Coordinator or designee who can facilitate access to professional interpreters either on the phone, via video, or in person. If a resident is deaf, the staff may use Language Line to access an interpreter using American Sign or access one of the many statewide contracts for interpretive services, both via phone, in person, or through video conference. The facility has one bilingual staff who serves as an interpreter for Spanish speaking inmates, if needed.

If, on admission, an inmate has literacy issues or is cognitively disabled, the initial intake information may be read to them. If needed, the facility has GED/ABE/Literacy teachers. If a teacher is available during the admission, the teacher may ensure the resident understands. The facility may also use general population counselors or any staff to assist in communicating the information necessary to attempt to keep the inmate safe. The facility has mental health professionals who can assist with an inmate with mental health issues.

Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy requires that incoming inmates, during intake, are provided notification of the GDC's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and harassment and information on how to report an allegation is provided to the inmate upon arrival at the facility. In addition to the verbal notification, offenders will be given a GDC PREA Pamphlet. When newly arriving inmates are processed, staff indicated that they inform the inmates of zero tolerance and how to report sexual misconduct.

A review of 24 inmate files indicated that inmates received information within 24 hours of admission and signed the PREA Acknowledgment Statement. Twenty-two (22) of the Twenty-four (24) reviewed files contained Orientation Checklist affirming having viewed the PREA Video between 24 and 48 hours of admission.

The auditor reviewed an additional 40 PREA Acknowledgment Statements and 40 Orientation Checklists documenting having received PREA education as required.

Forty (40) interviewed inmates affirmed they received information about the rules against sexual abuse and sexual harassment during admission and that they received information both verbally and in writing and watched the PREA Video upon arrival to the facility or the next day.

Informal interviews with Thirty-two (32) inmates during the on-site audit and during the site review confirmed these inmates are receiving the required PREA Information and that they are aware of the zero -tolerance policy, how to report and that they are not to be retaliated or punished for reporting sexual abuse.

The PREA Compliance Manger has developed power point slides that continuously stream on the inmate video channel 60 throughout the day. The reviewed slides included informing inmates that Rape is not a part of their sentence, identifying significant staff, providing ways to report and providing contact information for the outside victim advocacy organization, as well as how to contact the PREA Auditor.

For limited English proficient inmates, the facility has contracted with Translation, Interpretation and Sign Language Solutions to provide interpretation services. These include interpretation over the phone, video remote and on-site. Contract services also include access to interpretation services for American Sign Language. The facility has an ADA Coordinator who can access the Statewide ADA Coordinator to secure a wide variety of statewide contracts for accessing interpretive services and these can be expedited by the statewide ADA Coordinator if necessary. Staff would read the information to inmates with literacy or developmental issues. A mental health counselor is available to assist mentally ill inmates in understanding the PREA related information and in making reports.

PREA related posters were observed throughout the facility and accessible in multiple areas to inmates.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 4, Offender Education;

GDC PREA pamphlet; GDC Policy 220.04, Offender Orientation; A review of 40 Inmate PREA Acknowledgment Forms and 40 GDC Orientation Checklists; 26 Inmate files, randomly selected; Previously reviewed statewide contracts for interpretive services

Interviews: Warden; Staff conducting intake; Staff conducting orientation (resident education); PREA Compliance Manager; Forty (40) inmates; Twenty-eight (28) Randomly selected staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff; Thirty-two (32) Informally Interviewed Offenders; Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Discussion of Policy and Documents: Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 4, Offender Education, requires notification of the GDC Zero-Tolerance Policy for Sexual Abuse and Harassment and information on how to report an allegation at the receiving facility. This is required to be provided to every resident upon arrival at the facility. It also requires that in addition to verbal notification, offenders are required to be provided a GDC PREA pamphlet.

Within 15 days of arrival, the policy requires inmates receive PREA education. The education must be conducted by assigned staff members to all inmates and includes the gender appropriate "Speaking Up" video on sexual abuse. The initial notification and the education are documented in writing by signature of the inmate.

In the case of exigent circumstances, the training may be delayed, but no more than 30 days, until such time is appropriate for delivery (i.e. Tier Program, medical issues etc.). This education is documented in the same manner as for offenders who participated during the regularly scheduled orientation.

The PREA Education must include: 1) The Department's zero-tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 2) Definitions of sexually abusive behavior and sexual harassment; 3) Prevention strategies the offender can take to minimize his/her risk of sexual victimization while in Department Custody; 4) Methods of reporting; 5) Treatment options and programs available to offender victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 6) Monitoring, discipline, and prosecution of sexual perpetrators; 7) and Notice that male and female routinely work and visit housing area.

PREA Education is required to be provided in formats, accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as those with limited reading skills.

Education, according to GDC policy requires the facility to maintain documentation of offender participation in education sessions in the offender's institutional file. In each housing unit, policy requires that the following are posted in each housing unit: a) Notice of Male and Female Staff routinely working and visiting housing areas; b) A poster reflecting the Department's zero-tolerance (must be posted in common areas, as well, throughout the facility, including entry, visitation, and staff areas.

Inmates confirm their orientation on several documents.

- 1) Inmate Acknowledgment of PREA
- 2) Offender Orientation Checklist (documenting Sexual Abuse and Harassment and Viewed the PREA Video)

If an inmate is non-English speaking, the Language Line is available. If an inmate has a disability, appropriate staff are to be used to ensure that the inmate understands the PREA policy. If an inmate requires signing (hearing impaired) the agency's ADA Coordinator is called and provides the necessary translation services (according to an interview with the ADA Coordinator). The State Department of Administrative Services has multiple contracts with translation services that may be accessed through the Agency ADA Coordinator. The facility has a contract with Language Line for interpretive services for the deaf and offenders who are limited English proficient. Inmates who have literacy issues or who are cognitively challenged have access to the GED

teacher and other staff who can read the PREA related information to them and mentally ill inmates have Mental Health counselors who can assist them in understanding PREA and how to report. PREA Videos have closed caption and there is also a Spanish version of the video.

Discussion of Interviews: The intake/orientation staff asserted that all inmates receive all the required PREA information during the admissions process. PREA education starts immediately upon the inmate's arrival at the facility. Staff explained the process for providing the information and explained that in addition to watching the PREA Video, inmates have the opportunity to ask questions if they have any.

Interviewed inmates indicated they received PREA Information on admission. They also stated they watched the PREA Video during orientation that provided PREA education. The Auditor was able to observe intake process while on site; PREA information is distributed to all incoming inmates during their initial screening.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.34 (a)

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.34 (b)

- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.34 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.34 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This standard is rated exceeds because not only has the facility-based investigator received and completed the National Institute of Corrections on-line specialized training for investigating sexual abuse in a confinement setting but, documentation was provided to confirm the Sexual Assault Response Team members have also completed the specialized training. Additionally, SART members attend training at least annually conducted by the Georgia Department of Corrections. The Office of Professional Standards Investigators, who conduct a criminal investigations, have attended the mandated law enforcement training and Special Agents, who conduct criminal investigations, have completed not only mandate law enforcement training but also, they attend 13 more weeks of investigative training at the Georgia Bureau of Investigations Academy at the Georgia Public Safety Training Center in Forsyth, Georgia.

The agency (GDC) requires that investigators complete specialized training regarding conducting investigations of sexual abuse in confinement settings. The specialized training, in addition to the extensive training required for the Department's Office of Professional Standards and Special Agents, covers all the topics required by the PREA Standards: interviewing sexual abuse victims; Miranda and Garrity Warnings; Evidence Collection in Confinement Settings; and the Criteria for the evidence Required to Substantiate a Case for administrative action or criminal prosecution.

Special Agents assigned to the Regional Offices receive extensive training in conducting sexual abuse investigations. They attend mandate training for law enforcement officers at a regional police academy, followed by an additional 13 weeks of training at the Georgia Bureau of Investigation Academy. Special Agents are assigned to conduct criminal investigations.

The Office of Professional Standards Investigators attends mandate law enforcement training and complete the on-line training provided by the NIC. These investigators have arrest powers and are assigned facility by regions and work facilities which they are responsible. These investigators are primarily involved in intelligence gathering, gang activity, and contraband however they too may conduct the criminal investigation.

The facility conducts its own investigations of allegations of sexual assault, sexual harassment or retaliation. These are conducted by the Sexual Assault Response Team (SART). A primary investigator, referred to as the

facility- based investigator, leads the investigation. The facility-based investigator at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison is the Unit Manager/PREA Compliance Manager.

Allegations that appear criminal are investigated by a Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC), Office of Professional Standards, Special Agent, assigned to the investigation by a GDC Regional Office. Special Agents receive extensive investigation training through attending the Police Academy and the Georgia Bureau of Investigations Training Academy (11-13 weeks); through the NIC online training, Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in Confinement Settings and through a two-day training provided by the GDC that trains staff in conducting investigations into sexual assaults in GDC facilities. Special Agents, according to the PREA Coordinator, complete mandated specialized Criminal Investigation Classes at the Georgia Public Safety Training Center and a two-day Specialized PREA Investigations Training.

If the allegation is not criminal, the facility's Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART) composed of the PREA Compliance Manager/SART Leader; a representative from medical and from mental health, the victim advocate and the Special Agent in Charge at the Regional Office is notified.

The facility-based investigator understood the investigative process. She indicated she completed the online training "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting". She described the investigation process and indicated if an allegation appeared criminal the warden would refer the case to the Regional Office for the Special Agent in Charge to assign a Special Agent assigned to conduct the investigation.

The agency has implemented a computer- based system in which the facility-based investigator inputs the components of the investigation for review by the Agency's PREA Coordinator and/or Assistant PREA Coordinator. If they believe additional information is needed, they inform the facility-based investigator and will not authorize the close-out of the investigation until the PREA Unit approves the investigation. Interviews with the Facility-Based Investigator, PREA Compliance Manager (also trained to conduct investigations in confinement settings), Agency PREA Coordinator and a Special Agent (previous interview) confirmed the investigative process and the fact that the investigators have all completed specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 5. Specialized Training Investigations; A Certificate documenting specialized training provided by the National Institute of Corrections: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings; Additional NIC Certificates documenting the on-line specialized training provided by the National Institute of Corrections for two additional investigators; Previously Reviewed Training Rosters for SART Training

Interviews: Warden; Previous Interview with the Special Agent designated as the PREA Investigator in the Southwest Region; Previous interview with Agency PREA Coordinator; Previous Interview with the Agency Assistant PREA Coordinator; PREA Compliance Manager; Office of Professional Standards Investigator-Facility-Based, Facility-Based Investigator; SART Members.

Discussion of Policies and Documents: GDC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 5. Specialized Training, Investigations, requires the Office of Professional Standards to ensure all investigators are appropriately trained in conducting investigations in confinement settings. That training includes techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity Warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. The Department is required to maintain documentation of training.

In GDC Facilities, the Sexual Assault Response Team is charged with conducting the initial investigation into issues related to PREA. Their role is to determine if the allegation is indeed PREA related. If the allegation

appears to be criminal in nature, the Office of Professional Standards investigators will conduct the investigation with support from the SART.

The facility-based investigator, the Lieutenant/PREA Compliance Manager and four other SART members have completed the online NIC course: PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings. This was confirmed by reviewing the Certificates documenting the specialized training and through interviews with the investigators.

Sexual Assault Response Team members are provided training conducted by the GDC PREA Unit at least twice a year. Training rosters were provided documenting the SART attendance at the training.

Discussion of interviews: An interview with a Special Agent assigned as the PREA Investigator for the Southwest Region in Georgia confirmed the extensive specialized training these Special Agents receive. He indicated his training consisted of attending the Police Academy followed by attending the Georgia Bureau of Investigations Academy that included extensive training in conducting investigations, including sexual abuse investigations, and training provided by the Department that included most recently a two-day training for investigating sexual assault in a confinement setting. He described the criminal investigation process in detail, including protecting the crime scenes, collecting evidence (including swabs), using the Miranda Warning, collecting forensic exams (SANEs), chain of custody for rape kits, interviewing alleged victims and perpetrators and interviewing witnesses.

The auditor interviewed, in a previous interview, an Office of Professional Standards, Special Agent, from the Regional Office. The agent articulated the investigative process and the role of the Special Agent in investigating PREA related allegations. He indicated he or other agents would be dispatched by the Regional Office in the event of a sexual assault. He also related that in addition to the NIC Specialized Training taken on-line, (PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings) he attended 600 hours of training provided by the Georgia Bureau of Investigation to become a Special Agent with arrest powers. The auditor also interviewed an OPS Investigator assigned to the prison Georgia Diagnostic Classification Prison and the Deputy Warden who was previously a Special Agent. These confirmed the extensive training an investigator with OPS goes through. Special Agents must complete police mandated training and 11-13 weeks of training conducted by the Georgia Bureau of Investigations and covering a wide array of investigations and investigation techniques.

The facility-based investigator confirmed receiving the NIC training and SART Training. The facility-based investigator was knowledgeable of the investigation process and correctly responded to the questions from the PRC Questionnaire for Investigators. She indicated the investigation would be initiated immediately and described evidence that would be reviewed and considered, that she would not require a victim to take a truth telling device as a condition for proceeding with an investigation, that the departure of an employee or an inmate would not stop the investigation and that he would judge the credibility of a witness based solely on the evidence. (See 115.71 for further discussion)

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.35 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

-
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.35 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) Yes No NA

115.35 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? Yes No

115.35 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? Yes No
- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard.

These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Health Services Administrator and Clinical Director related that all of staff have completed the PREA Training required of all staff and the specialized training provided by the National Institute of Corrections.

They also attend the same PREA Training as all other employees during annual in-service training. Mental Health Staff also are required to complete the on-line training, "Communicating Effectively with LGBTI Offenders".

Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy, in 208.06, Paragraph 5, requires Georgia Department of Corrections medical and mental health staff and Georgia Correctional Healthcare staff who have contact with offenders to be trained using the National Institute of Corrections (NIC) Specialized training. Policy also requires that they also attend GDC's annual PREA in-service training. That specialized training is provided by the National Institute of Corrections in their on-line courses; Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings; and Behavioral Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings. The specialized training includes how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence, and how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The facility does not perform forensic exams. The agency has a contract with Satilla Rape Crisis Center/Advocacy Center to conduct forensic examinations. The SANE comes on site to the prison to conduct the exams. Previous interviews with SANEs confirmed their process for conducting the exams. The SANE would either come to the facility to conduct the forensic exam or to another State Prison.

Medical staff also must complete the same training provided for all employees. Training Rosters documented their attendance at annual in-service training conducted most recently by the Georgia Department of Corrections in 2018.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Pre-Audit Questionnaire, Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 6, Specialized Training: Medical and Mental Health Care; National Institute of Corrections Certificates documenting specialized training: PREA 201 for Medical and Mental Health Practitioners (22); SANE Certificate of Continuing Education (from former audit and documentation of that training is maintained in that file); (21) Certificates documenting Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders.

Interviews: Previous interview with the Agency PREA Coordinator; Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Health Service Administrator, Director of Nurses; Director of Mental Health; Mental Health Counselor; Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (two previous interviews with the contracted SANEs); Forty (40) Inmates including Thirteen (13) target inmates.

Observations: None applicable currently to this standard.

Discussions of Policy and Documents: The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented 100% of the medical staff completing the required specialized training. Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program.

Training and Education, Paragraph 6, Specialized Training: Medical and Mental Health Care, requires the GDC medical and mental health staff are trained using the NIC Specialized Training PREA Medical and MH Standards curriculum. Certificates of Completion are required to be printed and maintained in the employee training file. Staff also must complete GDC's annual PREA in-service training and that training is documented on the requested training rosters documenting Day 1 Annual In-Service Training.

The facility does not conduct forensic examinations. If there was a sexual assault at this facility, the medical staff at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison would not conduct the forensic exam. The exam would be conducted by the GDC contracted SANEs or at the emergency room depending upon the injuries the inmate incurred.

Staff are trained in PREA as newly hired employees and through annual in-service, just as any other employee of the facility. That training includes recognizing signs and symptoms of sexual abuse, first responding as a non-uniformed staff, and how to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including how and to whom to report and follow-up with a written statement. Medical staff are trained in annual in-service training how to respond to allegations and how to protect the evidence from being compromised or destroyed.

Discussion of Interviews: An interview with the Health Service Administrator and the Director of Nurses indicated that all health care staff are required to and have completed the NIC Specialized Training provided online by the NIC. The nurse also affirmed the regular PREA Training staff received during annual in-service and refreshers. Mental Health Staff are licensed professional counselors.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41 (a)

- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? Yes No
- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? Yes No

115.41 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? Yes
 No

115.41 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?
Yes No

115.41 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?
Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? Yes No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? Yes No

115.41 (e)

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? Yes No
- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? Yes No
- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? Yes No

115.41 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate’s arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? Yes No

115.41 (g)

- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Request? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? Yes No

115.41 (h)

- Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? Yes No

115.41 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate’s detriment by staff or other inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 1. Screening for victimization and abusiveness, Victim/Aggressor Classification

Instrument; Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program in paragraph 9.; Victim/Aggressor Assessments (40) and Reassessments; PREA Sexual Victim/Sexual Aggressor Classification Screening (25) Forms from Movement Reports.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager/Deputy Warden; Re-Entry Counselor; Interviews with forty (40) inmates.

Discussion of Policy and Documents: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 1. Screening for victimization and abusiveness, dated December 2, 2015, requires all inmates be assessed during intake screening and upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates.

Policy requires counseling staff to conduct a screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness, in SCRIBE, the offender database using the instrument, PREA Sexual Victim/Aggressor Classification Screening Instrument. Policy requires that the assessment is done within 24 hours of arrival at the facility. At this facility, interviews with a Counselor conducting the Victim/Aggressor Assessment and reviewed Victim/Aggressor Assessments indicated that the assessments are done as part of the admissions process and are done well within 24 hours of admission.

All the reviewed assessments (40) including another were completed within 24 hours of admission. Information from the screening will be used to inform housing, bed assignment, work, education and program assignments. Policy requires that outcome of the screening is documented in SCRIBE.

The Offender PREA Classification Details considers all the following sexual victim factors:

- Offender is a former victim of institutional rape or sexual assault
- Offender is 25 years old or younger or 60 years or older
- Offender is small in physical stature
- Offender has a developmental disability/mental illness/physical disability
- Offender's first incarceration
- Offender is perceived to be gay/lesbian/bisexual transgender/intersex or gender non-conforming
- Offender has a history of prior sexual victimization
- Offender's own perception is that of being vulnerable
- Offender has a criminal history that is exclusively non-violent
- Offender has a conviction(s) for sex offense against adult and/or child?

If question #1 is answered yes, the offender will be classified as a Victim regardless of the other questions. This generates the PREA Victim icon on the SCRIBE Offender Page. If three (3) or more of questions (2-10) are checked, the offender will be classified as a Potential Victim. This will generate the PREA Potential Victim icon on the SCRIBE offender page.

The Offender PREA Classification Detail considers the following Sexual Aggressor Factors:

- Offender has a history of institutional (prison or jail) sexually aggressive behavior
- Offender has a history of sexual abuse or sexual assault toward others (adult or child)
- Offender's current offense is sexual abuse/sexual assault toward others (adult or child)
- Offender has a prior conviction(s) for violent offenses

If questions #1 is answered yes, the inmate will be classified as a Sexual Aggressor regardless of the other questions. This will generate the PREA Aggressor icon on the SCRIBE Offender page. If two (2) or more of questions (2-4) are checked, the offender will be classified as a Potential Aggressor. This will generate the PREA Potential Aggressor icon on the SCRIBE Offender page.

GDC Policy 208.06, Attachment 4 also states in situations where the instrument classifies the offender as both Victim and Aggressor counselors are instructed to thoroughly review the offender's history to determine which rating will drive the offender's housing, programming, etc. This also is required to be documented in the offender SCRIBE case notes, with an alert note indicating which the controlling rating is.

Staff are required to encourage inmates to respond to the questions to better protect them but, staff are prohibited from disciplining them for not answering any of the questions. The screening process considers minimally, the following criteria to assess inmate's risk of sexual victimization: Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; the age of the inmate; the physical build of the inmate; whether the inmate has been previously incarcerated; whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent; whether the inmate has prior conviction for sex offenses against an adult or child; whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming; whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; the inmate's own perception of vulnerability and whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes. It also considers prior acts of sexual abuse; prior convictions for violent offenses and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse, as known by the Department, Other factors considered are physical appearance, demeanor, special situations or special needs, social inadequacy and developmental disabilities.

Policy requires offenders whose risk screening indicates a risk for victimization or abusiveness is required to be reassessed when warranted and within 30 days of arrival at the facility based up on any additional information and when warranted due to a referral, report or incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

Policy requires that any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness, including the information entered into the comment section of the Intake Screening Form, is limited to a need-to-know basis for staff, only for the purpose of treatment and security and management decisions, such as housing and cell assignments, education and programming assignments.

The information from the risk screening is required to be used to determine housing, bed, education and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive.

Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program in paragraph 9, requires the Warden to designate a safe dorms or safe beds for offenders identified as highly vulnerable to sexual abuse. The location of these safe beds must be identified in the Local Procedure Directive, Attachment 9 and the Staffing Plan.

The Staff at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison stated in their interviews the facility will make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each offender.

In making housing assignments for transgender or intersex offenders, the Department will consider on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the offender's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. Also, in compliance with the PREA Standards, placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex offender will be reassessed at least twice a year to review any threats to safety experienced by the offender.

Policy also requires that offenders who are at high risk for sexual victimization will not be placed in involuntary segregation unless an assessment of all available alternatives have been made, and determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot

be conducted immediately, the offender may be held in involuntary segregation no more than 24 hours while completing the assessment. The placement, including the concern for the offender's safety must be noted in SCRIBE case notes documenting the concern for the offender's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Inmates would receive services in accordance with SOP 209-06, Administrative Segregation. The facility will assign inmates to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. The assignment will not ordinarily exceed thirty days.

Policy requires that offenders whose risk screening indicates a risk for victimization, or abusiveness will be reassessed whenever warranted due to an incident, disclosure or allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. It also requires all offenders to be reassessed within 30 days of arrival at the facility. A case note must be entered into SCRIBE to indicate when the reassessment was conducted.

Screening is required to be conducted, in private in an office with the door closed, within 24 hours of arrival at the facility. A counselor who conducts the screening stated the initial PREA Assessment is conducted in the intake area, away from other inmates. When asked if that afforded the inmates privacy for answering those personal questions, the staff affirmed there are not inmates around or within hearing distance. They stated they ask the questions and the inmate responds. They also indicated the screening takes place the same day the inmate is admitted and is a part of the admissions and intake process.

If the offender scores out as a risk for victimization, the counselor lets the count/clerk/IF staff know so an appropriate housing assignment can be made, and she indicated she also must refer the offender to mental health within 24 hours using the GDC Referral Form. The said she would also escort them to mental health. Reassessments are done within 30 days of arrival. All assessments are documented in SCRIBE, the offender database.

The information in the victim/aggressor assessment is limited to Counselors, Chief Counselor, and Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment. Reassessments, staff said, are conducted within 30 days of admission.

Staff related that transgender inmates are also reassessed every six months. The facility provided a list of transgender assessments and reassessments confirming they are now conducting the reassessments every 6 months as required.

Information from the PREA Assessment is used in an effort to house the inmate appropriately and to place him in programs and on details that are conducive to his safety and risk. The classification committee meets weekly and following admission; the classification committee reviews the available information on the inmate, including the PREA Assessment.

Discussion of Interviews:

Staff use the GDC Form PREA Sexual Victim/Sexual Aggressor Classification Screening and the questions are asked orally. The staff stated they cannot require an inmate to answer any of the questions on the assessment nor can inmates be disciplined for not doing so. The screening form considers things such as: 1) Prior victimization, 2) Weight, 3) Age, 4) Body type, 5) Disability, 6) Mental issues, 7) First incarceration or not, 8) Criminal history that is non-violent, 9) Sexual offenses, 10) Sexual abuse against adults, children etc., 11) Current offense, and 12) Prior convictions for violence. Staff also related that instead of stature the department instruments populate information in the system to assign a score for body mass index. Staff also related that they go into SCRIBE, the offender database, to look for any previous flags, criminal history, and disciplinary actions involving the offender.

The interviewed counselor related that she checks SCRIBE to cross check the responses of the offender. If an inmate endorses the 1st question regarding being a victim previously in an institutional setting, the resident is

identified as a Risk for Victimization. If a resident endorses the first question on the abusive scale, he is designated as at Risk for Abusiveness.

Reassessments, according to staff, are required to be completed within 30 days after the initial assessment. Auditor reviewed the initial and reassessment screening packets on forty (40) inmates. All were completed timely.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.42 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? Yes No
-
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? Yes No

115.42 (b)

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? Yes No

115.42 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? Yes No

- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Yes No

115.42 (d)

- Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? Yes No

115.42 (e)

- Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Yes No

115.42 (f)

- Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? Yes No

115.42 (g)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard.

These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Placement and programming assignments are based on the risk screening conducted within 24 hours of admission, as well as any other pertinent information contained in the inmate's file or in the offender database known as SCRIBE. The initial PREA Assessment may be used to determine housing initially however the classification committee of the facility meets weekly and considers the available information from a variety of sources, including the inmate's file, offender database, and any screening done at the facility prior to the classification committee meeting.

The facility has developed a Transgender Care Committee consisting of the PREA Compliance Manager, Mental Health Director, and Mental Health Counselor. This committee discusses any issues with a transgender inmate. They also bring the transgender inmate into the meeting to ask and consider any particular issues the offender is experiencing. Minutes of the meetings documented the purpose of the meetings is to help the group overcome bias and to help each other and to learn coping skills as well as how to avoid situations that may make them vulnerable. One set of minutes ended with the Mental Health Counselor reminding the inmates that if they have any problems to feel free to contact the counselors or Lieutenant immediately.

Policy requires the agency and the facility use the information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments; Bedding; Education Assignments and Program Assignments. This is required in GDC Policy 208.06, D. Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, Use of Screening Information.

The facility Housing Stratification Plan is utilized when assigning beds for inmates at risk of victimization and aggressors. Housing assignments are made initially at intake and with input from the Staff conducting the initial victim/aggressor assessments. The classification committee, then meets weekly and reviews the offender's history to determine program assignments, details, and whether or not the offender's housing needs to be changed.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.6, D. Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 2. Use of Screening Information; (40) Reviewed Assessments and Reassessments. Auditor reviewed a memo date April 15, 2019 Initial Screening for risk of sexual Victimization and Abusiveness.

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager/Deputy Warden; Chief Counselor; Re-Entry Counselor; Intake Officer; Members of the Classification Committee; ID Officer

Discussion of Policies and Documents: GDC Policy 208.6, D. Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 2. Use of Screening Information, requires that information from the risk screening is used to inform housing, bed, work, education and program assignments, the goal of which is to keep separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk for being sexually abusive. Wardens and Superintendents are required to designate safe beds (s) for those inmates (inmates) identified as vulnerable to sexual abuse. Facilities will make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate. In the event the facility had a transgender inmate, the Department requires the facility to consider on a case by case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. Placement and program assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate are to be reassessed at least twice a year.

Policy also requires that inmates at high risk for sexual victimization will not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives have been made and there is no

alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be made immediately the offender may be held in involuntary segregation for no more than 24 hours while completing the assessment. The placement and justifications for placement in involuntary segregation must be noted in SCRIBE. While in any involuntary segregation, the offender will have access to programs as described in GDC SOP 209.06, Administrative Segregation which also provides for reassessments as well and the offender will be kept in involuntary segregated housing for protection only until a suitable and safe alternative is identified.

Potential victims are assigned to general population dorms and are not housed in designated dorms. The staffing plan at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison designates safe beds meaning that aggressors are not to be placed in these dorms. With this arrangement it appears unlikely that an inmate will be assaulted.

The Classification Committee, according to the Chief Counselor, would have a face to face meeting with each transgender coming into the facility and the offender would be asked if they felt vulnerable and if so, what the committee might do to make them feel safer. Staff indicated the offender's views for their own safety would be given serious consideration. They also stated if the inmate requested to shower separately because of safety and personal issues, the facility would strive to arrange that. Housing assignments for each transgender inmate would be made, according to staff, based on the PERA Assessment and the inmate's feelings regarding safety.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.43 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? Yes No
- If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? Yes No

115.43 (b)

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? Yes No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The opportunities that have been limited? Yes No

- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The duration of the limitation? Yes No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The reasons for such limitations? Yes No

115.43 (c)

- Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? Yes No
- Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? Yes No

115.43 (d)

- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? Yes No
- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? Yes No

115.43 (e)

- In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that there has been one inmate at risk of sexual victimization who were held in involuntary segregated housing in the past 12 months for one to 24 hours awaiting completion of an assessment. It also affirmed there have been no inmates who were held in involuntary or segregated housing in the past 12 months for longer than 30 days while awaiting alternative placement. There have been no inmates placed in involuntary segregation as the result of having a high potential for victimization or for

being at risk of imminent sexual abuse. This was confirmed through reviewing the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, sampled inmate files, and interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, Staff Supervising Segregation, and randomly selected and targeted inmates.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Georgia GDC Policy, 208.06, IV.d.3 (a-d) Administrative Segregation; GDC Standard Operating Procedures, IIB09-0002, Segregation- Tier 1: Disciplinary, Protective Custody, and Transient Housing; Coordinated Response Plan; Monthly PREA Reports; Hot Line Call Report from the Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Unit, 10% of all Incident Reports and grievances for the past 12 months.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; Staff supervising segregation; Randomly selected staff (28); Randomly selected; (36) Specialized staff; (40) Inmates, including those randomly selected inmates and targeted Inmates; (32) Inmates informally interviewed.

Discussion of Policy and Documents: The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented the facility did place one inmate in involuntary segregation/protective custody during the past twelve months. The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that there was one inmate at risk of sexual victimization who were assigned to involuntary segregated housing at all; none held for 24 hours awaiting assessment and none in the past 12 months for longer than 30 days while awaiting alternate placement. Staff were aware of the requirements of GDC policy which is consistent with the PREA Standards. The Georgia GDC Policy, 208.06, IV.d.3 (a-d) Administrative Segregation, requires that offenders at high risk for sexual victimization are not placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the offender may be held in involuntary segregation no more than 24 hours while completing the assessment. This placement, including the concern for the inmate's safety is noted in SCRIBE case notes documenting the concern for the offender's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. The inmate will be assigned to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation can be arranged. Assignment does not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days.

Inmates at high risk for sexual victimization are housed in the general population. They are not placed in segregated housing and would not be placed there unless there were no other options for safely housing the inmate/resident. Inmates identified as having a risk for victimization would be housed in General Population Dorms for Medium Security Level Offenders; this dorm also houses the admissions and orientation inmates.

If there was no place to safely house a potential or actual victim, the victim will be temporarily housed in the administrative segregation area but would be expeditiously transferred to another facility.

If an inmate is assigned to involuntary segregated housing it is only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and such an assignment does not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. If the facility uses involuntary segregation to keep an inmate safe, the facility documents the basis for their concerns for the inmate's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Reviews are conducted every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.

Inmates in involuntary protective custody, in compliance with policy, will have access to programs and services like those of the general population, including access to medical care, mental health, recreation/exercise, education, and the phone.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Warden indicated that there has been one inmate placed in involuntary protective custody in the past 12 months. Inmates who are at high risk for sexual victimization may be placed in involuntary protective custody until some other means of keeping them safe could be arranged

and that may include transfer to another facility. If they were placed in involuntary protective custody the justification would be documented.

A sergeant who supervises segregation indicated that staff would try not to put an offender in segregation in the interests of not “punishing” a victim or potential victim. If a victim requested it, staff would place the inmate in voluntary protective custody in a single cell. He indicated the offender placed in involuntary protective custody would have access to the teacher, for education, counselors, recreation, medical, mental health daily, and that they could keep their tablet to communicate.

REPORTING

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.51 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? Yes No

115.51 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? Yes No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? Yes No
- Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? Yes No
- Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? Yes No

115.51 (c)

- Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? Yes No
- Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.51 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This standard is rated exceeds because of the multiple ways the agency and facility provide for inmates to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency and Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison provide multiple ways for inmates to report both internally and externally. These include multiple ways to internally and privately report allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, retaliation and staff neglect or violations that may have contributed to the incident.

This facility is close security prison and holds offenders who have been convicted of felony crimes and are serving incarceration in the prison. The prison does not house any inmates who are being detained solely for civil immigration purposes.

Staff at this facility, in compliance with GDC Policy, and the PREA Standards, accepts reports from all sources, including those from third parties and reports made anonymously. Policy requires that they report these to their immediate supervisor immediately and/or Designated SART member and follow-up with a written witness statement or incident report prior to the end of their shift. Interviewed staff indicated they would be disciplined for failing to report and that would most likely be termination.

Staff may report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in the same ways the inmates may make. The PREA Brochure, Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Prison Rape Elimination Act, How to Prevent It, How to Report It, advises inmates that reporting is the first step and includes the following: PREA Hotline, Statewide PREA Coordinator (contact information provided), Ombudsman (mailing address and phone number provided), and Director of Victim Services (mailing address provided). Inmates are told to report it, even if they don't have any evidence and that they may report to any staff, drop a note or send a kite or call the PREA hotline.

Inmates are educated on ways they can report through multiple sources. These include information provided to them at intake and during orientation, through streaming video informing inmates of ways to report, including to outside entities such as the Ombudsman, the outside victim advocacy organization, and to the Office of Victim Services, and through bright and vivid posters informing inmates that Rape is not a part of their sentence and how to report. The facility also provides inmates the tools to make reports. These include a Kiosk enabling them to email family, to notify staff and to email the GDC PREA Unit. They may also have video chats with family via the KIOSK. Inmates also have GOAL devices (tablets) that enable them to make reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment at any time day or night, via email to the PREA Unit. Phones are available for making calls to the PREA Unit as well.

Inmates at this facility have access to reporting via the KIOSKs located in each dormitory, On the KIOSK an offender can report an allegation directly to the Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Unit. They can also email family members and anyone on their approved visitors list. Video Visitation is available for inmates who can afford that service. On the KIOSK the offender can email designated staff.

Offenders have access to phones enabling them to report to the Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Unit. They may do this anonymously, as well. Offenders do not have to enter a pin number to contact the PREA Unit. Phones were observed in every dormitory.

Staff are trained to treat all allegations as confidential. Therefore, when allegations are reported up the chain of command, they are kept private and are only forwarded to the Warden, who then determines who else needs to be notified. Typically, only the Sexual Assault Response Team, Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Coordinator, and the Georgia Department of Corrections Internal Investigations (Office of Professional Standards) will be informed.

To report outside the facility inmates can call the PREA Hotline; write the Ombudsman (phone number provided); write the State Board of Pardons and Parole Victim Services (contact information provided); call the Georgia Department of Corrections Tip Line (and remain anonymous) and write or call the GDC PREA Coordinator; and tell a family member by phone, letter or during visitation. Within the facility they can report to a staff member, write a note, send a request, tell medical, send a "kite" or file a grievance. They may report to their attorney's either via phone, in person or via letter.

Staff who fail to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be held accountable and sanctioned through dismissal. Allegations must result in staff reporting verbally immediately and filing an incident report or witness statement prior to the end of the shift.

Interviewed staff indicated they would take a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment from any source and take all of them seriously and report it to their immediate supervisor and follow-up with a written report, a witness statement or incident report, prior to the end of the shift.

Interviewed inmates named multiple ways to report. A review of the inmate interviews confirmed the following ways inmates named as ways they could report:

- Hotline
- Tablet
- Staff
- Kiosk
- Mental Health Staff
- Note
- Grievance

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, E. Reporting, 1. Inmate Reporting; The GDC policy (208.06, 2. Offender Grievances); Standard Operating Procedure 227.02, Statewide Grievance Procedures; brochure entitled, "Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), Reporting is the First Step; Inmate Handbook, Page 38; Memo from the Warden, January 11, 2018, Ways for inmates to report; Memo from the Warden, April 4, 2019 PREA Training; PREA related posters; "Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) How to Prevent it; How to report it"; GDC Policy IIA23-0001, Consular Notification;. Report from the PREA Analyst documenting calls to the PREA Hotline in the past 12 months; Staff Guide on the Prevention and Reporting of Sexual Misconduct

Interviews: Forty (40) Inmates, both randomly selected and special category; Thirty-two (32) Inmates, informally interviewed; Twenty-eight (28) randomly selected staff representing a cross section of positions; and Thirty-six (36) specialized staff; Warden; Deputy Warden

Observations: Phones in each dorm with dialing instructions; Kiosks for reporting sexual abuse; Inmates with GOAL Devices; Multiple PREA Related Posters in Dorms and throughout the Facility

Testing Processes: PREA Phones; Observations of PREA Posters all over the facility and accessible to staff, inmates, volunteers and visitors

Discussion of Policy and Documents: Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, E. Reporting, 1. Inmate Reporting, provides multiple ways for inmates to report. These include making reports in writing, verbally, through the inmate PREA Hotline and by mail to the Department Ombudsman Office. Inmates are encouraged to report allegations immediately and directly to staff at all levels. Reports are required to be promptly documented. The Department has provided inmates a sexual abuse hotline enabling inmates to report via telephone without the use of the inmate's pin number. If an inmate wishes to remain anonymous or report to an outside entity, he may do so in writing to the State Board of Pardons and Paroles, Office of Victim Services (address provided). Additionally, the resident is provided contract information, including dialing instructions for reporting via the GDC Tip Line. The instructions tell the resident the Tip Line is for anonymous reporting of staff and inmate suspicions and illegal activity.

Staff have been instructed and trained to accept reports made both verbally and in writing from third parties and promptly document them. Inmates may file grievances as well however the agency has determined and asserted in the revised Standard Operating Procedure that allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are not grievable issues because of the potential for losing time in responding. If, however a grievance is received and determined to be PREA related, the grievance is immediately turned over to the SART and an investigation begins.

Third Party reports may be made to the Ombudsman's Office or in writing to the State Board of Pardons and Paroles, Office of Victim Services (address provided). Interviews with staff, both random and specialized confirmed staff are required and trained to accept all reports, regardless of how they are made and regardless of the source, to notify their supervisor and write either an incident report or a statement as directed by the supervisor to document receipt of verbal reports, third party reports, anonymous reports etc.

The GDC Grievance Policy has designated allegations of sexual assault or sexual harassment as not grievable, however the policy requires that in the event an inmate files a grievance alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment it is immediately turned over to the SART to begin an investigation into the allegation. Reviewed investigation reports indicated inmates still do use the grievance to report.

Inmates also have access to outside confidential support services including those identified in the PREA Brochure given to inmates during the admission process and posted throughout the prison. The following ways to report are provided: Call PREA; to any staff member; to the Statewide PREA Coordinator, to the Ombudsman (phone number provided), to the Director of Victim Services (mailing address provided). They may also report to the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center using their 24/7 hotline or writing them. Contact information is provided in the inmate handbook.

GDC Policy IIA23-0001, Consular Notification affirms it is the policy of GDC that the Consulate General of an inmate's native country be kept informed as the inmate's custody status or occurrences to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. Inmates will be provided information on how to access Foreign Consular Offices in the United States. This information is available for download at <http://www.state.gov/s/cpr/ris/fco> This policy prescribes the GDC's responsibility for notification and that the inmate be informed of such notification. Foreign National inmates are allowed visitation with representatives from the Consulate General of his/her

native country. The visit must be scheduled at least 24 hours in advance unless the Warden approves a shorter time period.

Inmates may call anyone on their approved list. They may also call their attorney's if they have one. Inmates have the opportunity to report through visits with family, calling family, or writing families.

Inmates have multiple ways to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment internally and externally. They may report by calling the PREA Hotline, write the Ombudsman, write the State Board of Pardons and Parole, Victim Services, report to the Agency's PREA Coordinator, to staff, friends, family and inmates, report via the grievance process, the GDC Tip Line, to the outside Rape Crisis Center/Outside Advocacy Organization, the Director of Victim Services and by telling a trusted staff.

Multiple PREA related posters were observed posted throughout the facility keeping PREA information continuously available to inmates. Zero Tolerance Posters, located throughout the facility, as well as other PREA related posters, explaining that inmates have the right to report and listing some ways inmates may choose to report.

Discussion of Interviews: Formal interviews with Forty (40) inmates and informal interviews with Thirty-two (32) inmates confirmed that they understand and are aware of how to report sexual assault/abuse or sexual harassment. They indicated they would report using the hotline or Jaypay email to the PREA Unit. Some said they would tell the Warden or PREA Compliance Manager. Staff related multiple ways inmates could report and stated they would take every allegation seriously regardless of the source of the allegation. When asked if they would take an anonymous report and report it; 100% said they would and that they would document it in writing after verbally reporting it. They also indicated they would take a third-party report, report it verbally, and follow-up with a written statement prior to the end of the shift.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.52 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. Yes No NA

115.52 (b)

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges

the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
Yes No NA
- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
Yes No NA
- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (g)

- If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Pre-Audit Questionnaire; GDC Policy, 227.02, Statewide Grievance Process; Page 5 of the Statewide Grievance Policy, Paragraph 4.; Paragraph F. Emergency Grievances Procedure; DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, F. Reporting, Paragraph 2, 10% of the Grievances filed in 2018-, There were no allegations of either sexual abuse or sexual harassment made in 2017-18 via a grievance in the grievances reviewed; 10% of the incident reports for the past 12 months.

Interviews: Warden; Deputy Warden/ PREA Compliance Manager; Grievance Officer; Due Process Officer; Twenty-eight (28) Randomly selected staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff; Forty (40) inmates formally interviewed; Thirty-two (32) inmates informally interviewed.

Observations: Not applicable for this standard.

Discussion of Policies and Documents: 208.6, E.3, Offender Grievances, in an updated policy, states that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are not grievable issues. These should be reported in accordance with methods outlined in the policy.

Prior to the change in the policy, with an effective date of March 2, 2018, inmates did file grievances and those reviewed by the auditor were responded to by immediately turning them over to the Sexual Assault Response Team for investigation.

The policy changed effective March 2018 when this revision was included.

If a grievance alleged sexual abuse, it would be turned over to the SART to begin an investigation, as the grievance process ceases.

None of the reviewed grievances documented sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations.

Although policy asserts that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are not grievable, during the past 12 months, inmates have often used that as a form of reporting. In those cases, the grievance was turned over to the Sexual Assault Response Team to be investigated.

None of the reviewed grievances contained allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.53 (a)

- Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Yes No
- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? Yes No
- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? Yes No

115.53 (b)

- Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.53 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center, The Rape Crisis Center will provide a 24/7 hotline enabling inmates to contact the center via phone or by mail. Contact information is provided on inmate bulletin boards and continuously streams on the inmate video channel 60. This was observed throughout the onsite audit. The center will also provide an advocate to accompany the inmate during a forensic exam, if requested by the inmate. An interview with the Executive Director and an advocate from the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center confirmed that an advocate is available 24/7 via the Rape Crisis Center Hotline and available 24/7 to meet an inmate at the prison or at a hospital to provide emotional support services throughout the forensic exam if requested and through any investigatory interviews, if requested. The Rape Crisis Center is a member of the Georgia Network Against Sexual Assault and funded partially through the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council.

The facility also has a trained advocate who can provide emotional support for an inmate victim of sexual assault if requested. This was confirmed through interviewing the staff advocate and reviewing the Certificates documenting his online training as an advocate.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.6, PREA, Pre-Audit Questionnaire; GDC Policy IIA234-0001, PREA Related Posters; Training Certificate: Georgia Network to End Sexual Assaults; MOU with the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center.

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager, PREA Coordinator, Forty (40) inmates; Staff from the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center.

Discussion of Policies and Documents Review: GDC Procedures require the facility attempt to enter into an agreement with a rape crisis center to make available a victim advocate to inmates being evaluated for the collection of forensic evidence. Victim advocates from the community used by the facility will be pre-approved through the appropriate screening process and subject to the same requirements of contractors and volunteer

who have contact with inmates. Advocates serve as emotional and general support, navigating the inmate through the treatment and evidence collection process.

The agency provided a Memorandum acknowledging the services that the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center agreed to provide a victim advocate to meet the inmate victim of sexual abuse and accompany him through the forensic process and any investigation interviews, providing emotional support services and provided a 24/7 hotline for reporting sexual abuse.

Inmates also have access to the GDC Ombudsman, GDC Tip Line, and the State Board of Pardons and Parole, Victim Services. Contact information, including phone numbers and mailing addresses are provided, posted and accessible to inmates.

GDC Policy IIA23-0001, Consular Notification; affirms it is the policy of GDC that the Consulate General of an inmate's native country be kept informed as the inmate's custody status or occurrences to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. Inmates will be provided information on how to access Foreign Consular Offices in the United States. This information is available for download at <http://www.state.gov/s/cpr/ris/fco> This policy prescribes the GDC's responsibility for notification and that the inmate be informed of such notification. Foreign National inmates are allowed visitation with representatives from the Consulate General of his/her native country.

Inmates have access to their attorney's if they have one and may correspond with them, call them and visit with them at the prison. Professional visits are available during normal duty hours and by other appointment to accommodate them.

Inmates have access to their parents or relatives daily via phone, through the mail, and through visitation. Inmates also have access to a Kiosk enabling them communicate via email with family members and others on their approved visitor's list. They also have GOAL Devices from which they can email the GDC PREA Unit and to family.

Discussion of Interviews: The auditor interviewed the Executive Director of the Southern Crescent Sexual Assault and Child Advocacy Center who described the services the Rape Crisis Center is committed to providing the facility. These services include providing a hotline for inmates to call 24/7 and for an advocate to meet them either at the prisons or hospital to provide emotional support through the forensic process and any investigatory interviews if requested by the inmate. The Executive Director indicates she has not received any calls from the prison and has not received any complaints regarding the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison. Interviewed inmates indicated they are not aware of the outside advocacy services available.

Inmates were generally unaware of the outside advocacy organization. The auditor requested that more emphasis is placed on the delivery of this information. Inmates need to be aware of the services advocacy agencies provide and the limitations of confidentiality when using the resource and contacting them. Auditor observed information posted throughout the facility regarding outside support services

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.54 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections has established ways to receive third party reports. GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 23, Paragraph 2. Third Party Reporting provides for Third Party Reports to be made to the following:

- Ombudsman's Office (address and phone number provided)
- Email to the PREA Coordinator (email address provided)
- State Board of Pardons and Paroles, Office of Victim Services (mailing address provided)

Policy also requires, in 208.06, b. that staff will accept reports made verbally, in writing and from third parties and will promptly document any verbal reports.

The Georgia Department of Corrections Website provides a lot of information about PREA and in addition to including the Policy on PREA; the website has a section entitled: "How do I Report Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment". The section advises the viewer that GDC investigates all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment promptly, thoroughly, and objectively. Then it provides ways for third parties to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These include the following:

- Call the PREA Confidential Reporting Line (toll free number provided and advises that these reports are recorded, and messages are checked Monday through Friday.
- Report via email to: PREA.report@gdc.ga.gov
- Send correspondence to Georgia Department of Corrections, ATTN: Office of Professional Standards PREA Unit, (Address provided)
- Contact the Ombudsman and Inmate Affairs Office (number provided)
- Contact the Pardons and Parole Victim Services office (number provided or via email-address provided)

The instructions tell the viewers they do not have to give their name, but they are encouraged to provide as many details as possible and the site lists the items requested to be reported to facilitate the investigation.

The inmate PREA Brochure provides contact information for the following third-party reporters:

- Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Hotline (dialing instructions provided)
- Statewide PREA Coordinator (mailing address provided)

- Ombudsman (mailing address and phone number)
- Director of Victim Services (mailing address provided)

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, PREA; The Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison Pre-Audit Questionnaire; GDC Policy, 227.02, Statewide Grievance Process; The Department's Website contains a section entitled: "How do I report sexual abuse or sexual harassment?"; Georgia Department of Corrections Website; The brochure entitled, "Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Prison Rape Elimination Act – How to Prevent It and How to Report It"; Reviewed PREA Related Brochures (An Overview for Offenders – Do You Know Your Rights and Responsibilities?); PREA Related Posters; Report of Calls to the PREA Hotline in the past 12 months.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; Forty (40) inmates, randomly selected and targeted offenders; Thirteen (13) informally interviewed offenders; Thirty-two (32) Randomly Selected Staff; Thirty-six (36) Special Category Staff, PREA Compliance Manager;

Observations: Review of the Agency's Website (Georgia Department of Corrections).

Discussion of Policy and Documents: The Georgia Department of Corrections and Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison provide multiple ways for inmates to access third parties who may make reports on behalf of an inmate. GDC provides contact information enabling Third Party reports to be made to the GDC Ombudsman's Office, to the GDC TIP Line and to the agency's PREA Coordinator. Information is provided to inmates that allow them to call or write the Ombudsman's Office. They are also informed they may report in writing to the State Board of Pardons and Paroles, Office of Victim Services. This information is provided in the brochure given to inmates during admissions/orientation. The brochure entitled, "Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Prison Rape Elimination Act – How to Prevent It and How to Report It" provides the phone number and mailing address for the Ombudsman and the mailing address for reporting to the Director of Victim Services. A PREA hotline is also available for third party reports and an inmate's pin is not required to place a call using the "hotline". The auditor tested a phone and found it operational. Dialing instructions are posted at the phone.

The Department's Website contains a section entitled: "How do I report sexual abuse or sexual harassment?" These are provided as ways to make third party reports: Call the PREA Confidential Reporting Line (1-888-992-7849); email PREA.report@gdc.gov; Send correspondence to the Georgia DOC, Office of Professional Standards/PREA Unit; contact the Ombudsman and Inmate Affairs Office (numbers and email provided and Contact the Office of Victim Services (phone number and email address provided). Anyone wishing to make a report can do so anonymously however there is a request that as much detail as possible be provided.

The agency also has a TIP Line accessible to inmates and to third parties.

The Georgia Department of Corrections Home page provides the phone numbers of multiple departments/offices third party could call if they needed to.

The PREA brochure, An Overview for Offenders, "Do You Know Your Rights and Responsibilities"? Provides contact information for the GDC Sexual Assault Hotline, PREA Coordinator, State Board of Pardons and Parole Office of Victim Services, and through the Ombudsman's Office.

Family members, friends and other inmates, may make a report for a resident.

Discussion of Interviews: Staff were asked to name ways inmates can make reports or allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. They consistently could name multiple ways and when asked if an inmate could report anonymously and through a third party, they said they could, and they would take those reports seriously like any other report and that they would report it verbally and complete a witness statement before

the end of their shift. Inmates indicated they would report via the PREA Hotline or JPAY, either through the KIOSK in the dorms or using their GOAL Devices. Most of the inmates who had family indicated a family member could report for them. 100% of the staff said inmates could get a third party to report for them and that they would take that report seriously and act immediately.

Interviewed inmates were aware they could have a third party, including a parent, relative or another inmate report for them.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? Yes No

115.61 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? Yes No

115.61 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? Yes No

115.61 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.61 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections Policy (SOP 208.06) mandates that all staff, contractors and volunteers report any knowledge, suspicion, or information they may receive concerning sexual assault or sexual harassment. They are required to report any retaliation they know about or have observed or are aware of. Additionally, they are expected to report any knowledge or information related to staff negligence of misconduct that may have resulted in a sexual assault. Staff are required to keep confidential, any information, knowledge or reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment they may receive other than reporting to those who have a need to know and for management and security decisions. Medical staff are required to report all allegations of sexual abuse that comes to their attention.

Staff are trained and policy requires that any information they obtain or become aware of is limited to a need-to-know basis and only for the purpose of treatment, security and management decisions, such as housing, work, education, and programming assignments.

At the initiation of services, medical and mental health personnel understand that they are required to inform inmates of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality and any information medical or counseling staff receive will be reported in compliance with policy. This was confirmed through interviews with the Health Services Administrator, Director of Nurses, a registered nurse, Director of Mental Health and a mental health counselor.

There are no youthful offenders at this facility under the age of 18. Youthful offenders are housed at the GDC's Buruss Training Center in Forsyth, GA. This is confirmed through reviewing the Buruss Training Center Website and interviews with the agency's PREA Coordinator, Warden, staff and observations of inmates being interviewed and throughout the site review.

Policies require all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports must be reported to the facility's designated investigators. All allegations are required to be reported to the staff's immediate supervisor who then notifies the Sexual Assault Response Team. The Warden/designee then will notify the GDC Statewide PREA Coordinator and the Regional Office who's Special Agent in Charge will provide and assign a GDC Office of Professional Standards Investigations Unit Investigator/ Special Agent, with arrest powers and extensive training in conducting investigations, to respond to the prison and begin the criminal investigation. The Warden is responsible for ensuring the notifications are made as soon as possible.

The Staff Guide on the Prevention and Reporting of Sexual Misconduct with Offenders discusses, in a section entitled, A Duty to Report, that staff must report any inappropriate staff/offender behavior immediately. Failure to report will result in staff being held accountable and sanctioned through dismissal. Reporting includes not only verbal reporting but following up with writing an incident report. Another section of the Guide requires that all employees have a duty to report immediately any findings in which inmates are having sexual relations with other inmates or staff.

The Department appears serious about Zero Tolerance, having a culture of zero tolerance and preventing sexual assault and sexual harassment and retaliation. This is reflected in the structure of the Department where the PREA Coordinator, reports to the Assistant Director of Compliance, who reports to the Assistant Director of the Compliance in the Office of Professional Standards yet allows the PREA Coordinator direct access to the Commissioner should she need it regarding any PREA related issue. The auditor, in a recent interview with the Commissioner of the Department of Corrections confirmed he supports all the efforts of the PREA Unit and is accessible to the Director of Compliance and the PERA Coordinator, whenever needed.

The agency has an ADA Coordinator who serves actively as a resource person for securing interpretive services for limited English proficient inmates/detainees and for disabled detainees/inmates who may be hearing or visually impaired to enable them to make reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and to participate fully in the agency's prevention, detection, responding and reporting program.

The training component for PREA also engages staff, with staff receiving Pre-Service Orientation as a newly hired staff during which they are exposed to the Prison Rape Elimination Act. Correctional staff receives PREA training at Basic Correctional Officer's Training (BCOT) while attending the Peace Officers Standards BCOT Academy. All employees and contractors are required to attend Day 1, Annual In-Service Training that includes a block on PREA and includes all the topics required by the PREA Standards. The reviewed curriculum for annual in-service covered the topics outlined in the PREA Standards. Multiple training rosters documenting over 300 staff completing Annual In-Service Training, Day 1, that includes PREA training. Staff is trained to report all allegations regardless of how those allegations came to light and to report them immediately to a designated shift supervisor. They may also report to any member of the Sexual Assault Response Team. Upon making verbal notification, they are required to document the allegation in a written statement or an incident report and these must be completed as soon as possible but always prior to the end of the shift (or leaving the shift). Policy requires that reports of allegations of sexual assault or sexual harassment are limited to those with a need to know only and reports are generally made by radioing the Shift Supervisor to come to the area or taking the Inmate to the Supervisor's Office. Interviewed staff confirmed they are going to keep the reports limited to their immediate supervisor and anyone else only on a need to know basis.

Medical and mental health providers are required to report any knowledge, information, reports, or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and are required to inform inmates at the initiation of services of the limits of confidentiality and their duty to report. This was confirmed through interviewing the Health Services Administrator, Director of Nursing, a Registered Nurse, Director of Mental Health and Assistant Director of Mental Health. These staff are all mandated reporters.

While interviewing the GDC Commissioner, the Commissioner showed the auditor how he is notified via message on his phone anytime a sexual assault occurs.

Policy and Document Review: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, F. Official Response Following and Inmate Report, 1. Staff and Department Reporting Duties; the reviewed Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement; Agency and Staff Reporting, Staff and Agency Reporting Duties; Staff Guide on the Prevention and Reporting of Sexual Misconduct with Offenders

Interviews: Commissioner; Warden; PREA Coordinator (previous interview); Assistant PREA Coordinator (previous interview) PREA Compliance Manager/SART Leader; Special Agent/PREA Investigator for the

Southwest Region; Facility Based Investigator; Office of Professional Standards Investigator; Deputy Warden of Security; Former Special Agent; Twenty-eight (28) Randomly selected staff; Thirty-six (36) Special category staff;

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, F. Official Response Following and Inmate Report, 1. Staff and Department Reporting Duties, requires staff who witness or receive a report of sexual assault, sexual harassment, or who learn of rumors or allegations of such conduct, must report information concerning incidents or possible incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the supervisor on duty and write a statement, in accordance with the Employee Standards of Conduct. The highest-ranking supervisor on duty who receives a report of sexual assault or sexual harassment, is required to report it to the appointing authority or his/her designee immediately. The supervisor in charge is required to notify the PREA Compliance Manager and/or SART Leader as designated by the Local Procedure Directive. Appointing authorities or his/her designee may make an initial inquiry to determine if a report of sexual assault, sexual harassment, is a rumor or an allegation. Allegations of sexual assault and sexual harassment are major incidents and are required to be reported in compliance with policy. Once reported, an evaluation by the SART Leader/Team of whether a full response protocol is needed will be made. Appointing authorities or designee(s) are required to report all allegations of sexual assault with penetration to the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) Special Agent In-Charge and the Department's PREA Coordinator immediately upon receipt of the allegation. The Special Agent in Charge in the Regional Office will determine the appropriate response and assign a Special Agent to conduct the criminal investigation as indicated.

Staff, failing to comply with the reporting requirements of GDC Policy, may be banned from correctional facilities or will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination. If an alleged victim is under the age of 18, the Department reports the allegation to the Department of Family and Children Services, Child Protection Services Section. Staff are not to disclose any information concerning sexual abuse, sexual harassment or sexual misconduct of an offender, including the names of the alleged victims or perpetrators, except to report the information as required by policy, or the law, or to discuss such information as a necessary part of performing their job.

This facility does not house youthful offenders; however, policy requires if the victim was under the age of 18, the Field Operations Manager, in conjunction with the Director of Investigations, or designee, is required to report the allegation to the Department of Family and Children Services, Child Protective Services Section. Also, if the victim is considered a vulnerable adult under Georgia Law, the Director of Investigations or designee, will make notification to the appropriate outside law enforcement agency. Multiple examples of staff acknowledgement statements were provided.

Policy requires that staff be aware of and attempt to detect to attempt to prevent sexual abuse, sexual harassment or sexual misconduct, through offender communications, comments to staff members, offender interactions, changes in offender behavior, and isolated or vulnerable areas of the institution.

Discussion of Interviews: The Department and the Warden requires that staff report all knowledge or information they have regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. That also includes reporting anything suspected. Randomly selected staff, both security and non-security staff affirmed that they must report "everything". When pressed about "everything" they consistently said they would report anything they knew, saw, or heard of. When asked about something they just suspected, they said they would have to report that as well. When asked if they would take an "anonymous" report and report it, they said they did not know how that would help but they would report it. Asked about another inmate reporting for another, they said they would take that seriously and report it too. They also affirmed they would be required to write a statement following an immediate report to their shift supervisor/Officer in Charge. When asked about a time frame for completing a written report they said within 24 hours was policy they thought but they could not leave the shift until the statement was written. Staff indicated they had to take all things seriously even if the inmate had been

known to “cry wolf”. Non-Uniform staff were as articulate as the security staff about reporting. Everyone indicated they too would report all information, knowledge, or suspicion regarding sexual abuse. When asked about reporting staff negligence that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse, they said they would report that as well. When asked if they would report their supervisor if they witnessed or heard of the supervisor violating the zero- tolerance policy, they said they would. When asked about any sanctions for failing to report, staff said they would be disciplined and most likely terminated from employment.

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.62 (a)

- When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire; reviewed monthly PREA Reports, reviewed grievances and incident reports and interviews with staff confirmed there have been no inmates at risk of imminent sexual abuse during the past 12 months.

Staff were consistent in stating that if an inmate was at risk of imminent sexual abuse, they would separate him from the threat immediately and that they would take that information seriously and report it after removing him from the threat. Staff were consistent in believing the supervisors would place the inmate in protective custody until the allegation could be investigated by the SART. Staff indicated that if possible, the inmate would be immediately removed from the threat and placed in another dorm or in involuntary protective custody, if there was no other place to keep them inmate safe.

The staff supervising segregation indicated that an inmate placed in involuntary protective custody would have access to programs and services like those of the general population. He indicated they could receive educational materials and possibly attend class, depending on the threat, or attend other programs they are enrolled in. He also stated they have access to the phone, to exercise, to counseling, medical and mental health, if needed.

Staff recalled one inmate being placed in involuntary protective custody for less than 24 hours awaiting completion of assessment.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act- PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 2., Facility Protection Duties; SOP 209.06, Administrative Segregation; the Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reviewed Grievances; Reviewed Incident Reports; Monthly PREA Reports; Reports of Calls to the PREA Unit

Interviews:

Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Staff Supervising Segregation; Twenty-eight (28); Randomly selected staff; Thirty-six (36) Special Category Staff; Forty (40) Inmates, random and targeted; Thirty-two (32) informally interview offenders.

Discussion of Policy and Documents: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act- PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 2., Facility Protection Duties, requires that upon learning of a sexual abuse, staff are to separate the alleged victim and abuser and ensure the alleged victim has been placed in safe housing which may be protective custody in accordance with SOP 209.06, Administrative Segregation. If the inmate victim is placed in administrative segregation, a note is placed in SCRIBE indicating the reason for the placement. If the offender remains in Administrative Segregation for 72 hours, ensure that the Sexual Assault Response Team has again evaluated the victim within 72 hours. Again, a note is to be entered SCRIBE indicating the reason for continued placement. The care and treatment member of SART is responsible for documenting the reasons in SCRIBE. If the alleged perpetrator is an offender and if the alleged perpetrator has been placed in Administrative Segregation in accordance with SOP 209.06, Administrative Segregation, again, a case note documenting the reason for placement is completed and documented in SCRIBE. If the offender remains in Administrative Segregation for 72 hours, the SART evaluates the offender again within 72 hours and if continued placement is required, the reasons are documented in SCRIBE. The care and treatment staff from the SART, are responsible for this documentation. If the alleged perpetrator is a staff member, the staff member and alleged victim are separated during the investigation period. The staff member may be reassigned to other duties or other work area; transferred to another institution, suspended with pay pending investigation or temporarily banning the individual from the institution, whichever option the appointing authority deems appropriate. Staff are instructed, if applicable, they are to consult with the SART, Regional Director, the Department's PREA Coordinator or the Regional SAC within 72 hours of the reported incident to determine how long the alleged victim or perpetrator should remain segregated from the general population and document the final decision in the offender's file with specific reasons for returning the offenders to the general population or keeping the offenders segregated and ensure the SART has evaluated the victim within 24 hours of the report. Once a determination has been made that there is sufficient evidence of sexual assault, staff ensures closure of the matter by serving notice of adverse action or banning the staff member, making housing and classification changes if the perpetrator is an offender, and updates the victim's offender file with incident information.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented there has been one incidents in which an inmate was at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse during the past twelve months. This was also confirmed through reviewing Monthly PREA Reports, Grievances, Incident Reports and interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, Chief of Security, Deputy Warden of Security, randomly selected and specialized staff and randomly and targeted inmates.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, random and special category staff and Inmates, and reviewed incident reports (10%) for the past 12 months confirmed there were no inmates at risk of imminent sexual abuse in the past 12 months.

100% of the randomly selected staff who were interviewed related if they became aware that an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the first thing they would do is remove that inmate immediately from the alleged threat, place him in a safe place or escort him to the supervisor's office, or place him temporarily in a segregation cell with single occupancy and notify their supervisor. When asked where they would place the inmate or where they thought he would be placed, they indicated the inmate would be

probably being placed temporarily in protective custody until he could be transferred to a facility where he might feel safer. All the interviewed staff stated they would take the action immediately and when pressed to see what they themselves would do with an inmate making such an allegation, they often said they'd take him to a safe place, to the security office, to medical, or elsewhere until the supervisory staff made a decision about where to house him.

Supervisory and administrative staff indicated they would not want to place an inmate in segregation because of being at risk but it may be necessary to protect him until an investigation could be conducted and the inmate transferred if necessary, to help him feel safe. If the inmate cannot be safely housed in this facility, he would be transferred to another facility.

None of the interviewed inmates stated they had ever been at risk of imminent sexual abuse and 100% of those interviewed stated that they felt safe at this facility.

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.63 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? Yes No

115.63 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? Yes No

115.63 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? Yes No

115.63 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard.

These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, 3. Reporting to other Confinement Facilities; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reviewed Incident Reports and Grievances filed during the past 12 months; (30) reviewed investigation packages

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager, SART Members, forty-one (41) Inmates, Randomly Selected and Targeted and Forty-Three (43) informally interviewed.

Discussion of Policy and Reviewed Documents: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, 3. Reporting to other Confinement Facilities, requires that in cases where there is an allegation that sexually abusive behavior occurred at another Department facility, the Warden/designee of the victim's current facility is required to provide notification to the Warden of the identified institution and the Department's PREA Coordinator. In cases alleging sexual abuse by staff at another institution, the Warden of the inmate's current facility refers the matter directly to the Office of Professional Standards Special Agent In-Charge. For the non-Department secure facilities, the Warden/Superintendent will notify the appropriate office of the facility where the abuse allegedly occurred. For non-Department facilities, the Warden/designee(s) contacts the appropriate office of that correctional Department. This notification must be provided as soon as possible but not later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. Notification is documented. The facility head or Department office receiving the notification is required to ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with the PREA Standards.

The facility's Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) documented and staff confirmed there have been no allegations during the past 12 months in which an inmate at this facility alleged sexual abuse at another facility. The PAQ documented that the facility did receive one (2) report from another facility in which an inmate at that facility alleged sexual abuse while at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison.

The administrative staff knew and described the steps they would take in reporting to the sending facility and ensuring that if an investigation had not been initiated, starting an investigation. They also indicated if they received an allegation from another facility that an offender had been sexually abused while at this facility, they would cooperate with an investigation and conduct interviews or provide any additional information they might have. They indicated they would make the report immediately but were aware that the policy required notification within 72 hours.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Warden confirmed he is aware of the GDC Policy and PREA Standards relating to reporting allegations in which an inmate alleged sexual abuse at another facility. The Warden indicated he would contact the head of the facility where an inmate was received from when an inmate alleged sexual abuse at another facility. This notification, he indicated occurs as soon as he becomes aware and not later than 72 hours after becoming aware of it. He also said he and his staff will cooperate with any investigation. The PREA Compliance Manager and Warden confirmed they are aware of the policy requiring reporting to other facilities upon receiving an allegation of sexual abuse that occurred in another facility. They also indicated if they received an allegation from another facility that an inmate, while assigned to this facility, was sexually abused at this facility, they would initiate an investigation and cooperate with any investigation and treat it as any other investigation. None of the interviewed inmates alleged sexual abuse at another facility.

Warden did receive two (2) notifications from other facilities of inmates alleging sexual abuse while at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison. Investigation process per protocol was initiated immediately.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.64 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No

115.64 (b)

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Georgia Department of Corrections requires that all staff and contractors having contact with inmates attend, minimally, Day 1 of Annual In-Service Training. Staffs at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison, a cross section of interviewed staff, office staff, counselors, teachers, medical and mental health contractors and security staff attend Annual In-Service Training and Day 1 of that training includes PREA. That training includes a refresher on first responding. The facility provided multiple training rosters documenting over 300 staff and contractors completing Day 1 Annual In-Service Training in 2018.

Georgia Department of Corrections Policy and the Local Policy Directive for Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison, PREA: Local Procedure Directive and Coordinated Response Plan identify the actions required of first responders. The Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison Sexual Abuse Response Plan also identifies actions to take after the Shift Supervisor on duty who receives the report, immediately notifies the Warden and Duty Officer and contacts the local Sexual Abuse Response Team members. The agency's Sexual Assault Response Checklist is also used in responding to allegations of sexual abuse.

Staff carry a first responder card to refresh them if they need it in responding to an allegation or incident of sexual assault. The agency initiates a Sexual Assault Response Protocol serving as a checklist of actions to take.

Interviewed staff, including non-uniformed staff, explained the steps required as a first responder. They were consistent in their responses and the responses were consistent with the GDC Policy (208.06) and the Local Procedure Directive and Coordinated response Plan.

Correctional Staff consistently reported they would immediately separate the alleged victim from the alleged perpetrator, notify their supervisor, secure the crime scene, tell the victim and aggressor not to eat, shower, change clothes, use the restroom or brush their teeth. Some staff indicated that if possible, they would put the alleged perpetrator in a cell and cut off the water.

Medical staff explained what their roles would be as non-security first responders. They would do the same if they were the first person to become aware of an allegation or incident of sexual abuse. They explained their role would be to separate the inmate from the alleged aggressor and report the allegation and to assess the inmate but attempt to protect evidence that may be on the person or his clothing. They would conduct a visual assessment of the inmate but would take all precautions possible to protect the evidence.

The Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner would be called to conduct the forensic exam, collecting potential forensic evidence. A chain of custody would be started, and the sexual assault kit turned over to the security staff at the facility, which would in turn, turns it over to the GDC Office of Professional Standards, Special Agent.

Policy and Documents Review: Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6; local protocol, "PREA Reporting Process"; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; SANE's List; Local Operating Directive Procedure, Sexual Assault Response Protocol/List; Monthly PREA Reports to the PREA Unit; Twenty-two (22) Reviewed Investigation Packages (none alleging penetration)

Interviews: Twenty-eight (28) Randomly selected staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized staff; Facility-Based Investigator; Special Agent/PREA Investigator for the Southwest Region; Special Agent (Previous Interview); Office of Professional Standards Facility-Based Investigators (2) and PREA Compliance Manager. Informal Interviews with staff randomly selected during the site review

Discussion of Policy and Documents: Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6, describes, in detail, actions to take upon learning that a resident has been the victim of sexual abuse. Actions described included the expectations for non-security first responders. Policy and local operating procedures require that upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first security staff to respond to the report is to respond in the following manner: 1) Separate the alleged victim and abuser 2) Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence, in compliance with SOP IK01-0005, Crime Scene Preservation; 3) If the abuse occurred within 72 hours request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating; 4) If the abuse occurred within 72 hours ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking or eating; 5) If the first responder is not a security staff, the responder is required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and notify security staff immediately.

The Sexual Assault Response Team will be notified and will implement the local protocol.

The local protocol, PREA Local Operating Directive and the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison Sexual Assault Response Plan, describe in detail the responses to an allegation of sexual abuse.

Staff are trained in first responding during annual in-service training, with refreshers in shift briefings and from the PREA Compliance Manager in meetings and briefings. This information was provided by staff during their interviews.

Non-custody staff have been trained in first responding. They receive the same annual in-service training during Day 1, which includes PREA. They could describe the steps they would take in response to being informed a resident had been sexually assaulted. They sated step by step the same procedures as correctional staff. The nurse stated that, in addition to conducting an assessment on the alleged victim would be to attempt to protect the evidence.

There were no occasions in which a non-security staff was the first responder.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with Twenty-eight (28) randomly selected staff, representing both uniform and non-uniform staff and Thirty-six (36) specialized staff, including medical staff, confirmed they are knowledgeable of their roles as first responders. They detailed the steps they would take if they were the first person to be alerted that an inmate had been sexually assaulted/abused.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.65 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This prison, while large, is manageable in terms of quick response. Housing units are close to each other. Medical Staff are on duty 24/7. If an emergency occurred an on-call Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner can travel

to Hayes conduct the forensic exam. The Facility's on-call physician may make the determination regarding movement of an inmate victim.

The facility's coordinated response plan is documented in the Prison's PREA Local Procedure Directive and Coordinated Response Plan and in the GDC Sexual Assault Response Plan (with notifications).

The facility has a Coordinated Response Plan to ensure that during an emergency, the Coordinated Response Plan serves as the Emergency Plan, like other emergency plans required for secure facilities and the GDC Sexual Assault Response Checklist serves as a coordinated response plan as well.

The Local Operating Directive provides guidance in notifying all parties when there is an allegation of sexual abuse. After the shift supervisor notifies the Warden and the Duty Officer, the Sexual Assault Response Team is notified. The directive provides ready reference names and phone numbers. The SART is composed of the PREA Compliance Manager/SART Leader, a representative from medical and from mental health; the victim advocate and the Special Agent in Charge at the Regional Office is notified.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 5, Coordinated Response; Local Operating Directive, Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison Sexual Assault Response Plan; GDC Sexual Abuse Response Checklist (GDC 208.06, Attachment 6); Local Operating Directive; PREA Monthly Reports; (30) reviewed investigation packages

Interviews: Warden, Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager, Twenty-eight (28) Randomly Selected Staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff (including medical and mental health)

Discussion of Policies and Documents: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 5, Coordinated Response, requires each facility to develop a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership. The plan must be kept current and include names and phone numbers of coordinating parties.

The Local Operating Procedure Directive and the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison Sexual Assault Response Plan serve as the facility's Coordinated Response Plan. It identifies actions to be taken by various components of the facility in response to an allegation of sexual abuse. If there was a sexual assault allegation, the facility, complying with GDC Policy will initiate the Sexual Abuse Response Checklist that also identifies actions taken by staff in response to a report of sexual abuse or of sexual misconduct and sexual harassment.

The facility also uses the GDC Sexual Abuse Response Checklist (GDC 208.06, Attachment 6) to coordinate the actions and responses of first responders. This document becomes a part of the investigation package.

Discussion of Interviews: All the interviewed staff articulated their roles in responding to an allegation of sexual assault.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any

inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? Yes No

115.66 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The State of Georgia is a right to work state. The Georgia Department of Corrections employees are not members of a union. The Department is not involved in any form of collective bargaining.

An interview with the Commissioner of the Georgia Department of Corrections confirmed that his Department is not involved in any form of collective bargaining and he can remove any staff from contact during an investigation and can remove them from employment for violating an agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policy.

Interviews: Commissioner of the Georgia Department of Corrections; Warden; Statewide PREA Coordinator (previous interview); Statewide Assistant PREA Coordinator (previous interview); PREA Compliance Manager; PREA Coordinator as Agency Head Designee (previously).

Discussion of interviews: Interviews with the Warden, Statewide PREA Coordinator, Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager and previous interviews with the PREA Coordinator serving as the Agency Head's Designee confirmed that Georgia is a Right to Work State and employees are all non-union and not involved in any form of collective bargaining. The Warden can remove any staff member from contact with inmates following an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.67 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? Yes No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? Yes No

115.67 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? Yes No

115.67 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? Yes No

- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? Yes No

115.67 (d)

- In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? Yes No

115.67 (e)

- If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? Yes No

115.67 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections has a zero tolerance toward retaliation against any inmate/detainee or staff who reports an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This is expressed and documented in GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program.

Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison and GDC have a zero-tolerance policy for retaliation toward staff or inmates who report an allegation in good faith. He also asserts that employees and inmates will be subject to disciplinary sanctions if found to participate in retaliation toward any staff or inmate.

The Warden has designated a Unit Manager to serve as the Retaliation Monitor. An interview with the retaliation monitor indicated she understands and is knowledgeable of the prevention measures the facility might take in a given situation to prevent retaliation in the first place. Prevention measures include separating the alleged victim and abuser by placing them in separate dorms when possible or in protective custody and the alleged perpetrator in segregation. If a staff is involved that staff may be placed on some form of "no contact" until the investigation is over. Reviewed investigation packages contained documented retaliation monitoring using the GDC Retaliation Monitoring Form. That form documented monitoring such things as DRs, movements to other dorms, and changes in details. Similarly, for staff, monitoring would include changes in shifts, posts, details, and performance reports and write ups.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist (GDC Form);

Interviews: Facility Staff Designated as the Facility's Retaliation Monitor (Chaplain); Warden; PREA Compliance Manager Twenty-eight (28) Randomly selected staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff; Forty (40) Inmates including those randomly selected and targeted.

Discussion of Policy and Documents Review: GDC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, affirms the agency has a zero tolerance for any form of retaliation and is committed to protecting inmates or staff who report sexual abuse and sexual misconduct or sexual harassment from retaliation. Policy requires that anyone who retaliates against a staff member or an offender who has reported an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in good faith is subject to disciplinary action. Policy requires a staff be identified to monitor for retaliation. Additionally, policy provides multiple protection measures including housing changes for inmates, transfers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims and emotional support for inmates or staff who fears retaliation. Monitoring is required to be conducted for at least 90 days following a report of abuse. Monitoring will include monitoring the conduct and treatment of inmates and staff to see any changes to indicate possible retaliation and to remedy any retaliation. Monitoring includes the following: review of inmate disciplinary reports, housing or program changes, negative performance reviews or reassignments of staff etc. Monitoring may continue beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates the need for it. Periodic status checks of inmates will be conducted. The obligation for monitoring terminates if the allegation is unfounded. Policy requires that monitoring is documented on the GDC Form 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist. The checklist is completed for each inmate being monitored.

The Georgia Department of Corrections 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist includes documenting the reviews of the following at 30, 60 and 90 days:

- Offender Disciplinary Report(s) History
- Offender Housing Unit Placement Reviewed
- Offender Transfer(s) Placement Review
- Offender Program(s) History Review
- Offender Work Performance Review
- Offender Schedule History Review
- Offender Case Note(s) Review

Upon learning of an allegation whether, staff on inmate or inmate on inmate, the alleged victim and alleged aggressor are separated. For the inmate, that may mean placing either the alleged victim or alleged aggressor or both, temporarily in administrative segregation. If a staff is involved the staff will be separated from the alleged victim by placing the staff either on a post away from the inmate or placing the staff on administrative paid leave while an investigation is going on and placing a staff on administrative leave with pay is the most likely scenario according to the Warden.

The Retaliation monitor described her role in preventing retaliation and monitoring retaliation and explained to the auditor that she looks at things like housing assignments, reviews programming assignments, and detail changes. Retaliation monitoring is documented on the GDC Retaliation Monitoring Form. For staff she would review post assignments, changes in shifts, performance reports and write ups.

A review of 22 Investigations consistently documented multiple occasions of monitoring retaliation. These documented on the GDC Retaliation Monitoring Form the Retaliation Monitor checking all the indicators for retaliation. There was no staff involved in an allegation that required monitoring for retaliation.

Discussion of Interviews: The Retaliation Monitor described possible prevention measures including changing dorms, changing detail assignments, changing programs, etc. and for staff, placing them on “no-contact”, reviewing shift assignment changes, and performance reviews and that they would use the GDC Form guiding the items to check that might indicate retaliation. He indicated he monitors inmates every 30, 60 and 90 days. The monitor indicated he would be checking things like DRs, Dorm Changes, and Work Detail Changes etc. Monitoring occurs every 30, 60, and 90 days and is documented on the GDC Retaliation Monitoring Form.

The monitor indicated that any alleged victim will be removed and separated from the alleged perpetrator and placed in a safe environment. If an officer was involved in an allegation, the officer would be placed on “no contact” and depending on the nature of the allegation, would be placed on a post away from contact with the Inmate. The Warden stated if a staff was named in an allegation his placement on no contact would be determined on a case by case basis but could include placing him on no contact.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)

- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, 3. Protective Custody;

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Staff Supervising Segregation; Randomly Selected Staff (28); Special Category Staff (38); Randomly Selected and Special Category Inmates (40).

Discussion of Policy and Documents: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, 3. Protective Custody, prohibits placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives have been made and a

determination made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the inmate may be held in involuntary segregation for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment. This placement, including concern for the inmate's safety, must be documented in the inmate/offender database, SCRIBE, documenting concern for the inmate's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Inmates who are placed in involuntary segregation are housed there only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and the assignment, ordinarily, shall not exceed 30 days. Reviews are required to be conducted every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. Inmates in involuntary segregation will receive services in accordance with SOP HN09-0001, Administrative Segregation.

The reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that there were no inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse who were held in involuntary segregated housing in the past 12 months for one to 24 hours awaiting completion of assessment; none for longer than 30 days while awaiting alternative placement. If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made, the facility provides a review at least every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. This was also confirmed through interviews with staff and inmates.

The Georgia GDC Policy, 208.06, IV.d.3 (a-d) Administrative Segregation, requires that offenders at high risk for sexual victimization are not placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the offender may be held in involuntary segregation no more than 24 hours while completing the assessment. This placement, including the concern for the inmate's safety is noted in SCRIBE case notes documenting the concern for the offender's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. The inmate will be assigned to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation can be arranged. Assignment does not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days.

Inmates at high risk for sexual victimization are housed in the general population. Generally, inmates at high risk for victimization will be placed in one of the dorms identified as providing a safer environment with no aggressors assigned to the dorm. They are not placed in segregated housing and would not be placed there unless there were no other options for safely housing the detainee/resident.

If there was no place to safely house a potential or actual victim, the victim will be temporarily housed in the administrative segregation area but would be expeditiously transferred to another facility where he could feel safe.

If an inmate is assigned to involuntary segregated housing it is only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and such an assignment does not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. If the facility uses involuntary segregation to keep an inmate safe, the facility documents the basis for their concerns for the inmate's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Reviews are conducted every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.

Inmates in involuntary protective custody, in compliance with policy, will have access to programs and services like those of the general population, including access to medical care, mental health, recreation/exercise, education, and the phone. The staff member supervising segregation stated, in an interview, that any inmate placed on involuntary protective custody will have access to programs, including education. They would also have their tablets enabling them to communicate with family.

Individual Records are required and will document, among other required things, all activity such as bathing, exercise, medical visits, program participation and religious visits. It should also include documentation of unusual occurrences.

Discussion of Interviews: The Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and staff supervising segregation, indicated that placing someone in involuntary protective custody would be a last resort and may be used only in the absence of any other safe place to house the resident. They may be placed in there temporarily to determine what happened. Potential Victims of sexual abuse are not housed in a dorm designated solely for potential or actual victims.

If the inmate could not be safely housed in the facility, he would be transferred to another prison.

The PREA Compliance Manager and Staff Supervising Segregation indicated, in their interviews, that there have not been any inmates involuntarily placed in segregation or protective custody during the past 12 months.

An interview with staff supervising segregation indicated if an inmate was placed in involuntary segregation, they would be placed there with the reasons documented on GDC Form 1. He also stated the inmate would have access to programs, possibly attend class if in GED and other programs, and have access to visitation, recreation, to phones, medical and mental health services.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.71 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.71 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? Yes No

115.71 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? Yes No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? Yes No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? Yes No

115.71 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? Yes No

115.71 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? Yes No
- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? Yes No

115.71 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? Yes No
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? Yes No

115.71 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? Yes No

115.71 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? Yes No

115.71 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? Yes No

115.71 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? Yes No

115.71 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.71 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency

does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.) Yes No
 NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Georgia Department of Corrections Policy (208.06) requires that all reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be considered allegations and will be investigated. Policy requires investigations are conducted promptly, thoroughly and objectively. It also requires, and staff confirmed, that allegations or reports, including any knowledge, information or suspicions are taken seriously and are investigated. These include reports made verbally, in writing, from third parties and from anonymous sources.

GDC Policy 1K01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse, and Sexual Harassment of Offenders requires that allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse, and sexual harassment filed by sentenced offenders, against departmental employees, contractors, vendors or volunteers be report, fully investigated, and treated in a confidential and serious manner. It requires staff attitudes and conduct towards such allegations will be professional and unbiased, and staff member are required to cooperate with investigations into those matters. Policy also requires that investigations are conducted in such a manner as to avoid threats, intimidation, or future misconduct.

The investigations policies and procedures require that as soon as an incident of sexual contact, sexual abuse, or sexual harassment, comes to the attention of staff, the staff receiving the information is required to immediately inform the Warden and/or the Institutional Duty Officer, and/or Internal Investigations, now known as the Office of Professional Standards Investigators, verbally and followed up with a written report to the Warden. Incidents, according to the procedures, via, include rumors, "inmate talk", and all kissing, sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

This policy, along with GDC Policy 208.06, requires that failure to report may result in disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal.

The Office of Professional Standards Investigators has a responsibility, power, and authority to investigate allegations of sexual abuse and the power to arrest. The Warden of the facility where the incident allegedly happens contacts the Regional Office's Special Agent-in-Charge to have a special agent assigned to investigate the criminal allegation.

The Georgia Department of Corrections has several layers of investigators. An Office of Professional Standards investigator may be assigned to a specific facility and may conduct investigations related to contraband, use of force, gang related activity, and if needed, sexual abuse. The Office of Professional Standards Investigator has completed mandated training. Mandated training is that training required by the

state for any law enforcement officer and that training this training is 11 weeks. These staff have that authority to arrest.

Special Agents are also Office of Professional Standards assigned to one of the three Regional Offices in the state and are assigned by the Special Agent in Charge. Special Agents have completed mandated law enforcement training and an additional 13 weeks of training provided by the Georgia Bureau of Investigations at the GBI Academy. The Special Agent has had extensive training in conducting investigations, including investigations of sexual abuse in a confinement setting, has arrest powers, and conducts investigations into allegations that appear to be criminal in nature.

At the facility level, investigations are initiated by the local Sexual Assault Response Team. These include a primary facility-based investigator and a member from medical and counseling and/or mental health. The facility-based investigator has completed the on-line training entitled: "PREA: Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in a Confinement Setting." All the SART Members at Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison have completed the National Institute of Corrections Specialized Training, "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting". The local SART conducts the initial investigation. If the allegation appears criminal and in all cases of penetration, the allegation is referred by the Warden or Duty Officer, in his absence, to the Special Agent in Charge, who will assign a criminal investigator (Special Agent). Investigations into allegations of sexual abuse may be documented locally as unsubstantiated but may be referred on to the Special Agent for investigation for investigation into the alleged criminal conduct.

Department staff, the Sexual Assault Response Team and those receiving the initial allegations, is required by policy to take appropriate steps to ensure the preservation and protection of all evidence, including crime scene in accordance with another SOP (SOP 1K01-005).

Policy (1K01-0006) discusses general guidelines for conducting the investigation and these included:

- OPS will keep the Warden apprised of the status of the case.
- All interviews may be recorded by video or audio
- All documents, videos, polygraph results, and all other evidence will be treated as confidential
- Names of complainant and/or alleged victim will be confidential as required by the statutes
- A trained counselor will be made available to counsel the alleged victim before he is first interviewed by the investigator

These may be included in the investigation:

- Conducting video or audio recorded interviews
- Taking witness statements from all witnesses and all other parties
- All known documents
- All known photos
- All known physical evidence

According to policy (1K01-0005) the investigation continues even if the following occur:

- Alleged victim or complainant refuses to cooperate with the investigator
- Whether local, state, or federal agency conducts its own investigation, subject to binding limitations or restrictions imposed by the courts or the agency
- If the accused employee resigns during the investigation

Investigations must be completed within 45 calendar days from the date of the assignment. When there is a backlog in testing rape kits in the State's Crime Lab, the investigation may take longer. An interview with a Special Agent indicated that the lab does not have a backlog at this time.

If there is an allegation of sexual abuse, staff trained as first responders separate the alleged victim and alleged aggressors and ensure that the crime scene, including the bodies of the alleged victim and perpetrator as well as the area where the alleged offense occurred, are treated as crime scenes and actions are taken to protect the evidence that may be on them. If during the initial investigation by the SART, the allegation appears to be criminal in nature, the Warden or designee will contact the Regional Office to secure a Special Agent, who has arrest powers and extensive investigatory training at the Georgia Bureau of Investigations Academy.

The Special Agents, the staff who will conduct investigations of allegations that appear criminal in nature, will consult with the district attorney to consider referral for prosecution when the evidence appears to support criminal prosecution and compelled interviews are conducted only after consulting with the prosecutors to ensure the interviews may not be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution.

A previous interview with a Special Agent, previous and current interviews with an Office of Professional Standards Investigator, two interviews with Officer of Professional Investigators assigned to facilities, and a previous interview with the Deputy Warden of Security who was a Special Agent prior to his promotion and an interview with the facility-based investigator indicated that they would assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of identify, status and would make the determination on an individual basis and that it would be based only on the evidence.

The facility-based investigator also confirmed they would not put an alleged victim on a polygraph or other truth telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation and that under these circumstances the investigation would continue:

- When the victim recants
- When an employee involved in an investigation terminates his/her employment prior to the conclusion of an investigation
- When an alleged victim or alleged abusing inmate departs the facility prior to a completed investigation

Administrative and Criminal Investigations are documented in reports. Administrative Investigations conducted by the Sexual Assault Response Team typically include an Incident Report, Supplemental Report, Witness Statements, Video, if applicable, and an Investigation Summary.

Special Agent Reports, which are criminal investigations, are much more thorough and include the following: 1) Case Report Face Sheet; 2) Executive Summary; 3) Exhibit List; 4) Investigative Case Summary; 5) Personal Demographics Summary; 6) Offender Store History; 7) Personal Data Summary; 8) Witness Statements; 9) Photos; 10) Waiver of Rights; 11) Consent to Search; 12) Videos; 13) Oath of Office; 14) Warrant for Arrest.

The auditor reviewed 22 of 22 Allegations/Investigation packages. The 22 investigations included the following:

(2) Staff on Inmate Sexual Harassment (reviewed) (2) unfounded

(4) Staff on Inmate Sexual Abuse (reviewed) (2) unsubstantiated (2) unfounded

(7) Inmate on Inmate Sexual Harassment (reviewed) (6) unsubstantiated (1) unfounded

(9) Inmate on Inmate Sexual Abuse (reviewed) (5) unsubstantiated (3) unfounded (1) was not considered PREA related)

Twenty (22) of the investigations were determined to be unsubstantiated; Eight (8) determined to be unfounded; and Thirteen (13) was unsubstantiated, One (1) was not consider PREA related.

The Agency Facility-Based Investigator/SART enters the alleged incident and notifications into the agency's database, enabling the Agency's PREA Coordinator and Assistant PREA Coordinator to review the investigations in a computer-based program. Investigators upload their investigation packages into the program where they can be viewed and reviewed. If additional information should have been looked at the PREA Unit requires the investigator to go back and secure the information requested. Upon satisfaction that they investigation was appropriate, the PREA Unit approves the submission. This provides an additional measure of quality assurance in the investigative process.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, G. Investigations; GDC Standard Operating Procedure, 1K01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse, and Sexual Harassment of Offenders; (30) Reviewed Investigation Packages; PREA Investigation Summary; PREA Initial Notification Form; GDC Incident Report; Reviewed NIC Certificates; Reviewed Special Agent Criminal Investigation Report; Coordinated Response Plan; Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Interviews: Warden, Agency PREA Coordinator; PREA Compliance Manager; SART Members; Special Agents (2); Facility-Based Investigator; Two (2) Office of Professional Standards Investigators; Twenty-eight (28) Random Staff; Thirty-six (36) Specialized Staff; Forty (40) Inmates, both randomly selected and targeted.

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, G. Investigations and 1K01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse, and Sexual Harassment of Offenders asserts that the appointing authorities or his/her designee may make the initial investigation inquiring to determine if a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is a rumor or an allegation. The Local Sexual Assault Response Team is responsible for initially inquiring and subsequent investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment with limitations. In cases where allegations are made against staff and the SART deems the allegation is unfounded or unsubstantiated by evidence of facility documentation, video monitoring systems, witness statements, or other investigative means, the case can be closed at the facility level. The Appointing Authority or designee(s) are required to report all allegations of sexual abuse with penetration and those with immediate and clear evidence of physical contact, to the OPS Special Agent In-Charge and the Department's PREA Coordinator immediately upon receipt of the allegation. If an investigation cannot be cleared at the local level, the Special Agent In-Charge determines whether to open an official investigation and if so, dispatches an investigator who has received special training in sexual abuse investigations. When criminal investigations involving staff are completed, the investigation is turned over to the Office of Professional Standards to conduct any necessary compelled administrative reviews. After each SART investigation, all substantiated cases are referred to the OPS Criminal Investigations Division while all unsubstantiated SART investigations are referred to the Office of Professional Standards for an administrative review. The Department follows a uniform protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecution. Investigations are required to be prompt and thorough, including those reported by third parties or anonymously. Administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse. Reports are documented and include descriptions of physical and testimonial evidence, reasoning behind the credibility of assessments and investigative facts and findings. Criminal investigations are documented in written reports that contain thorough descriptions of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and copies of all documentary evidence when feasible. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal are referred for prosecution.

The departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the Department does not provide a basis for termination of the investigation.

The facility has a Sexual Assault Response Team. The team consists of a lead member who initiates the investigation, medical staff, and a counselor. All of the Sexual Assault Response Team Members have completed the National Institute of Corrections Specialized Training, "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting".

At the conclusion of each sexual abuse investigation, the PREA Team meets and discusses the allegations and findings of the investigator and essentially reviews the incident in compliance with the GDC Policy related to Incident Reviews.

The GDC PREA Unit has implemented a system in which staff enters the investigation into the GDC data system enabling the PREA Unit to review investigations for quality assurance purposes. If the PREA Unit believes the investigation needs additional information, the facility investigator is notified. The PREA Coordinator indicated that either she or the Assistant PREA Coordinator or the PREA Analyst must approve an investigation prior to closure.

Discussion of Interviews: An interview with the Warden confirmed his expectation that all allegations are taken seriously and are referred to the agency with the responsibility for conducting criminal investigations, when applicable. He affirmed the facility's Sexual Assault Response Team conducts an initial investigation into all allegations and when an allegation appears criminal, the Duty Officer and Warden are notified, and they contact the Regional Office Special Agent who assigns a Special Agent to investigate. Any allegation of penetration must be referred on to the OPS Special Agent in Charge. An interview with the facility -based investigator indicated she has completed the on-line specialized training, "PREA: Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in Confinement Settings". She also explained and described the steps she would take in initiating and conducting an investigation. She also affirmed and provided certificates of training documenting that all of the Sexual Abuse Response Team have completed the online Specialized Training provided by the National Institute of Corrections.

Interviews with the Facility Based Investigator, Special Agents, and Office of Professional Standards Investigators confirmed the credibility of the victim, alleged perpetrator and witnesses based on the evidence and not on the offender's status or identity or any other factors including how many times the offender has alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The investigation, they related would continue even if the victim recanted, if a staff involved terminated his employment prior to a completed investigation, or if an inmate victim or abuser departed the facility prior to the completed investigation.

The investigation would include witness statements from the alleged victim, perpetrator and any potential or actual witnesses. The investigator would also look at staff rosters, assignments for that shift, and review any camera footage that may be available. Interviews with the SART members confirmed the investigation process.

Interviews with facility staff, both those randomly selected and special category, confirmed that most of them knew the SART conducts sexual abuse investigations in this facility and could name each member of the SART and their specific roles.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.72 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: The Georgia Department of Corrections Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Section G. 14.

Interviews: Warden; Deputy Warden/ PREA Compliance Manager; Facility-Based Investigator; SART Leader.

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: The Georgia Department of Corrections Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Section G. 14, requires that there shall be no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.

The Facility-Based Investigator affirmed in an interview, that the standard of evidence to substantiate an allegation of sexual abuse is "the preponderance of the evidence".

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

- Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility; does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? Yes No

115.73 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) Yes No NA

115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been

released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Yes No

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.73 (d)

- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.73 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? Yes No

115.73 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency's standard operating procedure, 208.06; Reporting to Inmates, requires that inmates who are in custody of the Georgia Department of Corrections are entitled to know the outcome of the investigation. The

inmate must be notified whether the allegation was determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. All notifications or attempted notifications are documented.

If the allegations involved a staff member, the staff making the notification will, using the GDC Inmate Notification Form, inform the inmate whenever:

- The staff is no longer posted in the institution
- The staff is no longer employed at the institution
- The staff has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse with the institution or the staff has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution

If the allegation involved another inmate, staff are required to inform the alleged victim when the alleged abuser has been”

- Indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution or;
- The alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution

Notifications are documented on the GDC Notification Form that documents all the above.

The auditor reviewed 22 of the 22 investigation files. Notifications were consistently documented in the files.

The investigator is knowledgeable of the investigative process and the requirements that inmates are notified at the conclusion of the investigation of the results of the investigation.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act – PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, G.15; Reviewed GDC Notification Form, Attachment 5, GDC 208.6; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reviewed Investigation Packages, including notification forms

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; Facility-Based Investigator; Sexual Assault Response Team Leader; Inmates (40)

Discussion of Policy and Documents Review: Following an investigation into an allegation of sexual abuse, within 30 days, the facility is required, by policy, (208.6), to notify the inmate of the results of the investigation as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act – PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, G.15, requires that following the close of an investigation into an offender’s allegation that he/she suffered sexual abuse in a Department facility, the facility is required to inform the offender as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. Policy requires the notification be completed by a member of the local SART unless the appointing authority delegates to another designee under certain circumstances. Notifications are required to be documented. If an inmate is released from the Department’s custody the Department’s obligation to “notify” the inmate of the outcome of the investigation is terminated. Notifications are required to comply with the PREA Standards and GDC Policies.

If an outside entity conducts the investigation the agency/facility will request the relevant information from the agency conducting the investigation to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation.

A member of the SART is required to notify the resident when a staff member is no longer posted within the resident’s unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The agency would also notify the resident when the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

The notification form would document, for the resident, if the investigation was determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, unfounded or referred to OPS. If the allegation is determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded, the resident is notified of any of the following if applicable:

- Staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit
- Staff member is no longer employed at the facility
- Staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse with the facility
- Staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- The alleged abuser (offender) has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- The alleged abuser (offender) has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- Other: Include explanation of why "other:" was checked.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Facility-Based Investigator/PREA Compliance Manager indicated that he or a member of SART would be responsible for notifying the inmates of the outcome of the investigation. Staff who were interviewed were knowledgeable of the items listed on the notification. The SART will use the required GDC Notification Form, Attachment 5, GDC 208.6, and the interviewed investigator confirmed that is the document used to notify the inmate.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.76 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.76 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? Yes No

115.76 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? Yes No

115.76 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The GDC Policy requires that staff that engage in sexual abuse with offenders and violate and agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment are banned from all Georgia Correctional Institutions and subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination and termination is the presumptive sanction. If the allegation was criminal in nature, recommendations may be made for referral for prosecution. Special Agents work with the District Attorneys to determine if, and when, they have enough evidence to refer for prosecution. Administrative investigations, in which staff violate policy, may result in a staff member being disciplined up and including dismissal.

If an offense was less than sexual abuse the appropriate sanction would be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. This was confirmed through interviews with the Warden and PREA Compliance Manager. Staff interviews confirmed the likely sanction for violating a sexual abuse or sexual harassment policy would be termination.

Failure to report is cause for disciplinary action up to and including termination.

The Georgia Department of Corrections has a zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment and if there is a substantiated case of sexual abuse, the presumptive sanction is termination from employment and possible referral for prosecution. The Department requires each facility to have a "Wall of Shame" that contains the photos of staff who have been arrested for issues including contraband and staff misconduct, including staff misconduct with an inmate. Staff acknowledge in the PREA Acknowledgment the potential sanctions, including arrest and referral for prosecution and the punishment if found guilty. Staff also sign a Code of Conduct/Ethics Acknowledgement as well.

Staff and contractors found to have engaged in sexual misconduct/abuse will be banned from correctional institutions or subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination and staff may be referred for criminal prosecution. Contractors and volunteers will be banned from any contact with inmates and reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was not criminal. Appropriate licensing agencies and/or the Georgia Peace Officer Standards and Training Council will be notified.

There have been no substantiated allegations against any staff or contractor at the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, H. Discipline, 1. Disciplinary Sanction for Staff; GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers; Termination letter; Reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire;

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager, Human Resources, Volunteer Coordinator, Contractors

Discussion of Policy and Document Review: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, H. Discipline, 1. Disciplinary Sanction for Staff, requires that staff who engage in sexual misconduct with an offender are banned from correctional institutions or subject to disciplinary action, up to and including, termination, whichever is appropriate. Staff may also be referred for criminal prosecution when appropriate.

The presumptive disciplinary sanction for sexual touching and violation of sexual abuse policies is termination. Violations of Department policy related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than engaging in sexual abuse) will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. If an allegation is substantiated by the Special Agent conducting the sexual abuse investigation, the Agent will consult with the local District Attorney and a warrant for the staff's arrest will be taken if warranted and approved by the District Attorney.

Terminations for violations of the Department sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies or resignations by staff that would have been terminated if not for their resignation are reported to law enforcement agencies (Special Agent) unless the activity was clearly not criminal. These cases are also reported to the Georgia Peace Officers Standards and Training Council (POST) for uniformed staff.

Substantiated cases of nonconsensual sexual contact between offenders or sexual contact between a staff member and an offender will be referred for criminal prosecution. This was confirmed through interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager/SART Leader, and interviews with Special Agents and Office of Professional Standards Investigators.

Staff, as a part of their PREA training sign a GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers contains a warning that any violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination, or that they will be banned from entering any correctional institution. Furthermore, it asserts that staff understand that in accordance with Georgia Law, O.C.G.A. 16-6-5.1, certain correctional staff members who engage in sexual contact with an offender commit sexual assault, a felony punishable by imprisonment of not less than one nor more than 25 years, a fine of \$100,000.00 or both. Staff acknowledge that an offender cannot consent to sexual activity. The auditor reviewed 60 PREA Acknowledgment Statements signed by employees and contractors.

To deter staff from violating the agency's sexual abuse policies and for other reasons, the facility, as in all other Department of Corrections Facilities, has a "Wall of Shame" that has the photos of staff who have violated their oath of office and/have had personal dealings with offenders, including bringing contraband.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, Twenty-eight (28) randomly selected staff and Thirty-six (36) specialized staff, indicated that the facility has a zero-tolerance for all forms of sexual activity. If a staff was involved in an allegation of sexual abuse the staff would most likely be placed on no-contact with that resident and could possibly be placed on administrative leave, with pay, while an investigation was being conducted. If the allegations were substantiated, the staff would be banned from all GDC facilities and most likely the employee would be terminated and referred for prosecution by the OPS Investigator after consulting with the District Attorney.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

115.77 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

GDC has a zero tolerance for any form of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Contractors and Volunteers are advised of that policy and explained the consequences for violations. Any contractor or volunteer who violates any agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be immediately barred from the facility and placed on a ban for entering any GDC facility. Pending investigation, the contractor or volunteer will not be allowed entry into this facility or any other GDC facility. The local law enforcement will be notified, and a recommendation will be made to refer the contractor or volunteer for prosecution.

If the contractor or volunteer is a licensed person, the licensing agency will also be notified.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph #2. Contractors and Volunteers; GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers; Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Interviews: Warden; Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager; SART Members; Medical and Food Service Contracted Staff; Chaplain

Discussion of Policies and Reviewed Documents: GDC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph #2. Contractors and Volunteers, requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse will be prohibited from contact with inmates and will be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal and to relevant licensing bodies.

The facility is required to take appropriate remedial measures and to consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of Department sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

Contractors and Volunteers, as a part of their PREA training sign a GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers contains a warning that any violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination, or that they will be banned from entering any correctional institution. Furthermore, it asserts that staff understand that in accordance with Georgia Law, O.C.G.A. 16-6-5.1, certain correctional staff members who engage in sexual contact with an offender commit sexual assault, a felony punishable by imprisonment of not less than one nor more than 25 years, a fine of \$100,000.00 or both. Staff acknowledge that an offender cannot consent to sexual activity. The auditor reviewed 10 PREA Acknowledgment Statements for Volunteers and Contractors.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that there were no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment against any contractor or volunteer during the past 12 months.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; SART Team, and a review of 22 investigation packages confirmed that there no allegations made against any volunteer or contractor. If there had been, an allegation the Warden indicated the volunteer or contractor would be prohibited from coming into the facility while the investigation is being conducted. If the investigation determined the allegation was substantiated, the volunteer local law enforcement would be notified and a recommendation would be made to refer the volunteer for prosecution. Interviews with two volunteers and four (4) contractors confirmed understanding zero tolerance and potential sanctions for violating GDC Policies.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.78 (a)

- Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? Yes No

115.78 (b)

- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? Yes No

115.78 (c)

- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? Yes No

115.78 (d)

- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? Yes No

115.78 (e)

- Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? Yes No

115.78 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? Yes No

115.78 (g)

- Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, H. Discipline, Paragraph 3. Disciplinary Sanctions for Offenders, Pre-Audit Questionnaire;

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager/SART Leader; SART Members; Due Process Officer

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy prohibits all consensual sexual activity between offenders and offenders may be subject to disciplinary action for such activity. Consensual sexual activity between offenders does not constitute sexual abuse, but it is considered a disciplinary issue. Paragraph b. requires that offenders are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the offender engaged in offender-on-offender sexual abuse or a criminal finding of guilt for offender-on-offender sexual abuse. The sanctions that may be imposed are prescribed in Standard Operating Procedures 209.01, Offender Discipline.

Policy requires that the disciplinary process consider whether an offender's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, will be imposed. And if the facility offers therapy, counseling or other interventions to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, the facility is required to consider whether to offer the offending offender to participate in such interactions as a condition of access to programming or other benefits.

Policy affirms that an offender may be disciplined for sexual contact with a staff member only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

Reports made in good faith upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute false reporting or lying, even if the investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation. However, following an administrative finding of malicious intent on behalf of the offender making the report, then the offender will be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process in accordance with SOP 209.01, Offender Discipline.

The GDC Disciplinary Process and Policies follow the standards of the American Correctional Association and inmates are afforded a forma due process hearing in accordance with those standards. This was documented by the Agency's most recent quality assurance audit. Inmates may also have an advocate present if they request it.

The facility due process officer uses an Offender Disciplinary Code Sheet documenting that offenses designated as either "great" or "high" severity offenses, that include sexual assault or soliciting sexual activity, may be sanctioned by 1) Isolation one to fourteen days; 2) Referral to Classification Committee for review; 3) Disciplinary transfer; 4) Removal from specified programs; 5) Affect issuance of a warrant for violation of law; 6) Prisons restriction on privileges for up to 90 days; 7) Impound personal property for days; 8) Change in work or quarters assignment; 9) Extra duty for two hours/day up to 90 days and 13 other sanctions. If the allegation of sexual assault is substantiated, the Special Agent may consult with the district attorney and refer the inmate for prosecution. The Code Sheet addresses violations of statutes and asserts that inmates under the jurisdiction of the State Board of Corrections are subject to all laws of the United States and of the State of Georgia and any inmate violating these laws may be charged and tried for that violation in the same manner as any other citizen in the appropriate state or federal court. The filing of charges in a judicial court of record for a violation of state or federal laws does not in any way prevent or preclude the administrative handling of the same act as a prisons disciplinary manner or of the taking of disciplinary action against the inmate.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.81 (a)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? Yes No

115.81 (b)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) Yes No NA

115.81 (c)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? Yes No

115.81 (d)

- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? Yes No

115.81 (e)

- Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documentation of inmates alleging any form of sexual abuse being seen by medical, referrals and follow-up with mental health and interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed this facility complies with offering and providing follow-up with a medical or mental health professional. The mental health staff at this facility are licensed professionals. Additionally, although it is evident that referrals are made to mental health, it appeared that the two units, medical and mental health communicated frequently and in tandem to meet the needs of offenders who alleged previous sexual abuse or sexual abuse while in the facility. The facility, to ensure they did not miss referring anyone who may have needed a follow-up but had not reported it, implemented a Form asking inmates, on admission, if they needed to see mental health regarding any previous victimization or any PREA relationship or for any concerns related to suicide. This was confirmed through interviews and reviewing 10 Forms. All of the reviewed forms documented the inmate did not need a follow-up for any reason.

GDC Policy, 208.06, asserts that if an inmate's intake assessment indicated the inmate has experienced any prior victimization or has perpetrated any sexual abuse, whether in an institutional setting or in the community, the inmate will be offered a follow-up meeting within 14 days of the intake screening. This will be documented on the inmate's intake screening instrument. Any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is required to be strictly limited to necessary staff maintaining strict confidentiality.

During the initial PREA Assessment (Victim/Aggressor) if the inmate endorses the question about having been a previous victim of sexual abuse, the counselor offers the inmate a referral to mental health. The inmate may choose to refuse. If the inmate wants to have a follow-up with mental health, the counselor makes the referral.

The auditor reviewed 34 referrals to mental health. These included inmates who alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment while in the facility or at another facility. The reviewed packages consistently contained the following, as applicable:

- Referral Forms
- Consent for Evaluation
- Consent for Treatment
- Mental Health Evaluation

34 of 34 referrals resulted in inmates being seen by a mental health professional within 14 days.

Forensic exams, if needed, are conducted at the facility. The facility did not receive any allegations involving any form of penetration in the past 12 months. If there is an allegation of penetration, the GDC contracts with a team of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners from Waycross, GA. The SANE would be contacted, with approval of the facility's physician, and respond to the facility to conduct the forensic exam. Previous interviews with the SANEs confirmed that they respond to the calls from the prisons and one or two of the team members respond to conduct the exam. If the inmate is injured to the point of requiring medical services at the hospital, the inmate would be transported to the hospital for treatment. The reviewed Medical PREA Logs and Monthly PREA reports documented there were no allegations of sexual assault requiring a forensic examination by the SANE.

The forensic exam is provided by a SANE nurse without financial cost to the inmate. This was confirmed through an interview with the Health Service Administrator.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, I., Medical and Mental Health Care; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Victim/Aggressor Assessments; (17) Mental Health Assessment Packages

Interviews: Health Services Administrator; Director of Nursing Registered Nurse; Mental Health Director; Assistant Mental Health Director/Licensed Counselor; SART Leader/PREA Compliance Manager, Staff who administer the Victim/Aggressor Assessments; (40) Randomly Selected and Targeted Inmates

Discussion of Reviewed Policy and Documents: GDC Medical Policies are specific and voluminous regarding health care. Health Care services are provided through a contract. The GDC Policy, 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program I, Medical and Mental Health Care requires that the GDC provide prompt and appropriate medical and mental health services in compliance with 28 CFR 115 and in accordance with the GDC Standard Operating Procedures

If an inmate discloses prior victimization during the initial intake victim/aggressor assessment, the offender will be offered a follow-up with either medical or a mental health practitioner. This follow-up is offered and will be completed within 14 days of the intake screening. The inmate may choose to refuse the offer and if so, the refusal will be documented.

If the screening process indicates an offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. None of the reviewed files or instruments documented having perpetrated prior sexual abuse.

The interviewed staff stated if an inmate disclosed a previous history of sexual abuse during the initial PREA Assessment, the inmate will be offered a follow-up with mental health.

Care is taken to protect reported information. Information reported by offenders related to prior victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education and program assignments or as otherwise required by Federal, State or local law. Inmates sign consent for evaluation and consent for treatment.

Reviewed referral and mental health evaluation packages for 17 referrals indicated mental health staff consistently see the inmate within 48 hours of referral.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with medical, counseling staff and the PREA Compliance Manager and general population counselor who conducts the victim/aggressor assessments of incoming inmates confirmed that each of them conducts a screening that asks the inmates about prior victimization and prior abuse. They all are aware that this disclosure must result in a referral to a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days. Inmates can refuse the referral. Interviewed inmates who reported having been victims of previous sexual abuse indicated they were offered mental health services and follow-up or were already on the mental health caseload. The mental health director indicated if an inmate was sexually assaulted, mental health would see them within 24 hours but immediately. As soon as mental health knows of the incident, the SART calls mental health or emails, and they are seen within a few hours, at most, according to the director.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.82 (a)

- Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? Yes No

115.82 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? Yes No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.82 (c)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? Yes No

115.82 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

GDC Policy and Practice ensures that inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services and the services are within the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. This was confirmed through reviewed policies and procedures, reviewed monthly PREA reports, Interviews with staff, inmates, PREA Compliance Manager, Health Services Administrator; Director of Nursing; a Facility Nurse (RN); a previous interview with the Agency's Contracted SANEs (2); Mental Health Director; Mental Health Counselor.

This facility's medical care staff are on duty 24/7. Medical staff include the Health Services Administrator, Director of Nursing; (1) Nurse Practitioner, 6 Registered Nurses and 9 Licensed Practical Nurses.

The facility has successfully been accredited by the Medical Association of Georgia for having met their standards, which are either the same as or similar to the standards of the National Commission on Correctional Health Care.

GDC Policy requires that when an inmate makes an allegation of sexual abuse, the inmate will be interviewed in private to determine the nature and timing of the assault and extent of physical injuries. First Aid and emergency treatment will be provided in accordance with good clinical judgment. If the assault occurred within the previous 72 hours, the inmate will be counseled regarding need for a medical evaluation to determine the extent of injuries and testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections. If the inmate needs emergency care beyond the capability of the facility, he will be transported to the local hospital.

Interviewed health care staff indicated that if there was a sexual assault, their role would be to assess and stabilize the offender and if stabilized, preserve the site and evidence. If there is emergency care is required, the offender would be taken to the Spalding Regional Hospital.

The SANE and health care staff will be utilized to provide the victim with information about access to emergency prophylactic treatment of sexually transmitted infections. Inmates are not charged for PREA related issues and treatment.

If the assault occurred more than 72 hours prior to being reported, the decision as to where the medical evaluation will occur is made on a case by case basis.

Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners are provided through a contract. Previous interviews with both Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners confirmed their role in responding to a sexual assault and conducting the forensic exam. Interviews with two SANEs indicated the inmate would be offered testing for HIV and other Sexually Transmitted Infection and offered STI Prophylaxis. The SANE indicated that following the forensic exam, she would recommend the STI Prophylaxis and any other medication required. The facility's physician would have to approve the recommendation and order it to be done.

Security and non-security staff are trained as first responders and their roles are to separate the alleged victims from alleged perpetrators, try to protect any evidence, suggesting the victim not eat, drink, use the restroom or change clothes, and require the alleged perpetrator not do those things as well that could destroy evidence. Interviewed staff articulated their roles as first responders and non-uniform staff responded with all the elements of first responding just as the uniformed staff did.

Mental health staff indicated that victims of sexual abuse would receive an Initial Sexual Abuse Evaluation and possibly a complete evaluation; and if needed, a referral for an upper level provider for specialized counseling. Counseling would include specifics such guilt, boundaries etc. and can include a comprehensive treatment plan. Mental health staff indicated the offending inmate would be offered counseling as well.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; GDC Standard Operating Procedures, VH85-0002; Medical Management of Suspected Sexual Assault, Abuse or Harassment; GDC Standard Operating Procedure, VH85-0001; Forensic Information; Procedure for SANE Evaluation/Forensic Collection; Medication Guidelines for Sexual Assault Patients; National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, 2nd Editions, Major Updates; PREA Medical Logs; Coordinated Response Plan;

Interviews: The Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Director of Mental Health; Assistant Director of Mental Health; Health Services Administrator; Director of Nursing; Registered Nurse; Facility-Based Investigator; Previous interviews with two Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners; Sexual Assault Response Team Leader; Randomly Selected Staff; Security and Non-Security First Responders;

Discussion of Reviewed Policies and Documents:

Inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. This was confirmed through interviews with medical and mental health providers. The facility's medical care program is also accredited through the Medical Association of Georgia for meeting the MAG Standards which are essentially the National Commission on Correctional Healthcare Standards.

GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program requires the facility to provide prompt and appropriate medical and mental health services in compliance with this standard. It requires the SART to arrange for immediate medical examination of the alleged victim, followed by a mental health evaluation within 24 hours. One of the SART Members is the health services administrator. Medical Staff are required to contact the appropriate Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner, who will respond as soon as possible, but within 72 hours of the time the alleged assault occurred to collect forensic evidence. Medical staff are charged with conducting an initial assessment of the offender to determine if there is evidence of physical trauma requiring immediate medical intervention in accordance with good clinical judgment. Medical staff immediately initiate all necessary urgent/emergent treatment for bleeding, wounds and other traumas. They then complete the Nursing Protocol Assessment form for alleged sexual assault. Facility clinicians document physical examinations in the progress notes. When medically indicated, medical staff are required to arrange transfer the offender (if no SANE's is available on site) to the designated emergency facility for continued treatment and collection of forensic evidence. If an alleged assault occurred within 72 hours of the reported incident and the offender does not require transport to the emergency room, the designated facility SANE Nurse (from the list of SANE Nurses) shall be immediately notified and an appointment scheduled for the collection of forensic evidence. The facility provided the auditor with a list of SANEs who can be called to come to the facility to conduct the Sexual Assault Forensic Exam. This will occur only if there has been penetration, including oral penetration, reported by the patient. Otherwise no rape kit will be collected. If the sexual assault occurred more than 72 hours previously, the decision on whether the evaluation is done by a local hospital, by the SANE Nurse, or facility staff will be made on a case by case basis. The decision is made by the Health Authority in consultation with the facility investigator and in accordance with GDC PREA Policy requires that if the facility does not have a designated SANE Nurse, the offender is sent to the designated emergency room for collection of forensic evidence.

When an inmate has been the victim of sexual abuse, medical staff assess the inmate to ensure there are no life threatening or emergency needs, and if stable, initiate the Nursing Protocol, contact the SANE or Doctor and, if needed, be taken to the local or other Hospital to be stabilized.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.83 (a)

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? Yes No

115.83 (b)

- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? Yes No

115.83 (c)

- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? Yes No

115.83 (d)

- Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) Yes No NA

115.83 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) Yes No NA

115.83 (f)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? Yes No

115.83 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

115.83 (h)

- If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard.

These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, if needed, treatment to all inmates victimized by sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup or juvenile facility and as appropriate, the facility offers appropriate follow-up services and mental health evaluation, including referrals for continued care following transfer or placement in other facility or their release from custody.

Georgia Department of Corrections has a contract with the Medical Association of Georgia (MAG) for the provision of health care/medical services in Georgia's Prisons, including Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison. Medical services at this facility are provided 24/7.

Victims of sexual assault are assessed following an allegation to determine the presence and extent of any injuries. Nursing staff, responding to a sexual assault do a visual exam to assess injuries and if there are no injuries requiring care at the hospital, the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner is called and comes to the prison to conduct the forensic exam. At the conclusion of the exam, the SANE (in a previous interview) stated she recommends the STI Prophylaxis and testing for STIs. The recommendations still must be approved by the physician. Because the facility offers a variety of health care services, ongoing treatment, testing and follow-up are provided at the facility. Interviews with the Health Services Administrator and Director of Nursing indicated they have access to the prophylactics and can administer them on the orders of the facility physician.

The facility also has a mental health component with a mental health director and two additional mental health counselors, who reportedly are licensed counselors.

Mental Health assessments are conducted on victims of sexual abuse and they are either offered services or are continued in care on the caseload, if already on the mental health caseload.

Medical and mental health staff provide services consistent with the community level of care, consistent with the GDC Policy, VH-08-0002. The facility is accredited by the Medical Association of Georgia (MAG) for having met the MAG Standards for health care and mental health care.

There are no female inmates at this prison therefore inmates obviously are not offered pregnancy tests nor is the substandard regarding providing timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy related medical services.

Inmates would be offered STI prophylaxis either at the hospital or in the facility, and as recommended by the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner and ordered by the Doctor and if the inmate requested it after it is offered.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC "Procedure for Sane Nurse Evaluation/Forensic Collection: GDC Policy 208.6, PREA. Reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire;

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Director of Mental Health; Health Services Administrator, Director of Nursing; Registered Nurse; Mental Health Director; Mental Health Counselor; Previous interviews with two Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners; Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; SART Team; Randomly selected and targeted inmates

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: The agency's "Procedure for Sane Nurse Evaluation/Forensic Collection" provides specific actions required when an inmate alleges sexual abuse/assault. It also requires that following a SANE Examination, the facility provider or designee is responsible for ordering prophylactic treatment for STIs. A follow up visit by a clinician is required three working days following the exam. The facility has a facility specific coordinated response plan (Local Procedure Directive) that specifies the actions for first responders; Sexual Assault Response Team, Medical and Mental Health. GDC Policy requires that victims of sexual abuse are provided health care services, including the forensic exam at no cost to the victim. This is confirmed through review of the GDC PREA Policy as well as

interviews with medical staff. GDC Policy requires that the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident on resident abusers within 60 days of becoming aware of such history and offer treatment as appropriate.

The facility provided documentation of referrals to mental health. Referrals were seen generally the same day or within 48 hours of the referral. Victims of actual sexual abuse would be seen sooner. Offenders have the right to accept or refuse the services.

If an inmate had to go to the hospital for a forensic exam, the hospital would offer the inmate STI prophylaxis. If the inmate had his forensic exam at the prison, the SANE will recommend the STI prophylaxis and the staff will administer it on the doctor's orders. Any follow-up as the result of a sexual assault would be provided by the facility.

Discussion of Interviews: The Health Services Administrator, Director of Nursing, Registered Nurse, Mental Health Director and Mental Health Counselor confirmed the process for providing ongoing physical and mental healthcare services. Inmate victims of sexual abuse, identified as potential victims as well as any inmate who becomes a victim, is offered a follow-up with mental health.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? Yes No

115.86 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?
Yes No

115.86 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.86 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? Yes No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? Yes No

- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? Yes No
- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d) (1) - (d) (5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? Yes No

115.86 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has had 22 allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in the past 12 months. This was confirmed through reviewed monthly PREA Reports to the GDC PREA Unit, Reviewed Grievances, Calls to the GDC Hotline Report, and interviews with staff and inmates. The auditor reviewed 30 of those investigations. Interviews indicated staff understand the Incident Review Process and that they would conduct incident reviews within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. In conducting the incident review the members described the process and indicated they would use the GDC Incident Review Form. The team consists of upper-level management with input from supervisors, investigators, and medical staff. Members include the PREA Compliance Manager, Facility Based Investigator, Counselor, and Sexual Assault Response Team Members.

Using the GDC Incident Review Form, the following are a part of the review process:

- Consider whether the allegations or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender or intersex identification status or perceive status, gang affiliation or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the institution.
- Examine the area where the incident allegedly occurred to assess any physical barriers in the area that may enable abuse
- Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during various shifts

The review team, in compliance with policy and confirmed in interviews, then will prepare a report of its findings to the Warden and PREA Compliance Manager/Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment who are authorized to implement the recommendations for improvement or document the reasons for not doing so.

Policy and Documents Review: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program J. Data Collection and Review, 1. Monthly Sexual Abuse and Sexual Assault Program Review; Monthly Sexual Abuse and Sexual Assault Program Review; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Calls to the PREA Unit Hotline in the past 12 months; Monthly PREA Reports; Sampled Incident Reports and Grievances for the past 12 months

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; SART Members

Discussion of Policies and Documents: The auditor reviewed 22 investigation packages to determine if incident reviews were conducted as required. The results are discussed later in this section.

GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program J. Data Collection and Review, 1. Monthly Sexual Abuse and Sexual Assault Program Review, affirms and requires that each facility meet once per month to review and assess the facility's PREA prevention, detection, and response efforts. During that meeting, policy requires an incident review to be conducted for each sexual abuse allegation that has been concluded within the past 30 days. This review is to be conducted on all abuse allegations deemed to be substantiated and unsubstantiated. Reviews of unfounded allegations are not necessary.

This policy requires that the members of the incident review team consist of the PREA Compliance Manager, SART and representatives from upper level management, line supervisors and other staff members, as designated by the Warden of the facility.

Team members consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse; whether the allegation was motivated by the perpetrator's or victim's race, ethnicity, gender identity, gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender or intersex identification, status or perceived status, or gang affiliation, or was motivated by other group dynamics at the facility; to examine the area where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area enabled the abuse; to assess the adequacy of staffing levels in the area during different shifts; assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff and prepare a report of findings, including, but not limited to , determinations regarding all of the above and any recommendations for improvements, and submit the report to the Warden or PREA Compliance Manager.

The reviews are required by policy to be conducted at the end of the investigation. Interviews with team members confirmed the reviews are required to be conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation and that the team would consider, what motivated the incident (identification, status, gang related etc.), where it happened, blind spots, the presence of cameras, staffing and other items included on the Incident Review Checklist (Sexual Abuse Incident Review Checklist).

Documentation indicated the facility conducts incident reviews and considers all the elements required in the standards.

Too, some of the sexual abuse allegations were referred on to the Office of Professional Standards for investigation and the facility may not have received the final report from them, at which point, they would conduct the incident review.

Standard 115.87: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.87 (a)

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? Yes No

115.87 (b)

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?
Yes No

115.87 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?
Yes No

115.87 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?
Yes No

115.87 (e)

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) Yes No NA

115.87 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)
Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This standard is rated exceeds because of the sophisticated reports the GDC PREA Analyst generates in support of the PREA Audit process. In addition to the monthly reports of sexual abuse/sexual harassment submitted to the PREA Unit from which the Annual Report is compiled, the PREA Analyst secures a report of disabled inmates/inmates for the auditor prior to each audit, enabling the auditor to identify inmates who are hearing or visually impaired or otherwise disabled. Also, prior to each audit the PREA Analyst provides the auditor with a report of all calls to the PREA Hotline during the past twelve (12) months. Where names are associated with the hotline calls, these are provided to the auditor. Too, each facility maintains color coded Monthly PREA Reports documenting the allegations received during a given month.

Data, if any, is collected, reviewed annually and maintained from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files and sexual abuse reviews.

Upon request all data from previous calendar years will be provided to the Department of Justice.

The aggregated sexual abuse data will be readily available to the public at least annually through the Georgia Department of Corrections. Before making the data available, the Department will remove all personal identifiers. Some information may be redacted from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of the institution, but it will but, the nature of the material redacted will be indicated.

Policies and Documents Review: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, J.3; Georgia Department of Corrections Annual Report; Monthly PREA reports to the GDC PREA Unit; Reports from the GDC PREA Analyst

Interviews: Statewide PREA Coordinator (previous interview); Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator (previous interview); PREA Compliance Manager; Warden

Discussion of Policies and Documents: The Georgia Department of Corrections collects accurate and uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions and aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. The incident-based data collected is based on the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the US Department of Justice. The department maintains reviews and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files and sexual abuse incident reviews. Information is also secured from every facility, including private facilities with whom, DOC contracts for the confinement of inmates. Upon request, DOC provides data from the previous calendar year to the US Department of Justice no later than June 30th.

GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, J.3, requires each facility to submit to the Department's PREA Analyst, each month, a report, using the electronic spreadsheet provided from the PREA Coordinator's office. The form is submitted by email the fifth calendar day of the month following the reporting month. It requires that allegations occurring within the month will be included on this report along with the appropriate disposition. The monthly report is to be completed in accordance with the Facility PREA Log User Guide.

The auditor reviewed the most recent Georgia Department of Corrections Annual Report. The Agency issues annual PREA reports and posts them on the GDC Website. The auditor reviewed the 2017 Georgia Department of Corrections Prison Rape Elimination Annual Report. The thirteen-page report was detailed and comprehensive. The report indicated that the Georgia DOC has 34 prisons, 13 Transition Centers, 9 probation detention centers, 5 substance abuse and integrated treatment facilities and 4 private prisons. Data is collected from each of the facilities and aggregated. Georgia DOC compiles and investigates PREA allegations in 4 major categories including 1) Staff on inmate Abuse, 2) Staff on Inmate Harassment, 3) Inmate on Inmate Abuse, and 4) Inmate on Inmate Harassment. The report provided data regarding the total number of allegations from all facilities and then it breaks the allegations down into those that were substantiated,

unsubstantiated and unfounded. A chart then breaks down the data by facility. The 2017 report indicated there was a 21% increase in allegations reported and this was attributed to and the addition of county and private facility allegations, the improvement in reporting as well as the effect of increased staff and inmate education. The substantiated cases remained constant and an increase in the total number of allegations is influenced by process improvements and prevention training.

The report included initiatives by the Department. In 2017 the PREA Unit implemented a database for all allegations. The database records all reported PREA incidents that are sorted into queues including Pending SART Investigator, Pending PREA Coordinator Review, and Completed Cases. This enhanced the PREA Coordinator's ability to be more involved in the investigative process as allegations are reported. The PREA Coordinator reviews provide a check and balance system to ensure the dispositions are in compliance with the investigation standards. Beginning in 2018 the PREA became able to ensure all allegations are accompanied by an incident report and all federal-related data recorded as the cases occur. This is accomplished through the SCRIBE Module.

Statistics are provided for each GDC facility.

The GDC PREA Unit has a dedicated staff person, an analyst, who collects and analyzes the data. Based on the data reviewed the GDC can track allegations and investigations and findings from each facility and assess the need for any corrective actions. The PREA Compliance Manager related the facility sends a monthly PREA report (208.06, Attachment 2), to the Agency's PREA Analyst. This report, according to the compliance manager, consists of the numbers of PREA Cases, victims and predators, statistics on allegations of sexual abuse, assaults, grievances filed, the results of investigations and a response to the question, "was the investigation or allegations sent to the OPS investigators.

In addition to the monthly PREA statistical report submitted by each facility; the facility also submits to GDC, a Monthly Operational Report, providing statistics on a multitude of topics, including PREA incidents. The monthly PREA Report documents all allegations/incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed all twelve months of reports to the PREA Unit.

The PREA Analyst provides the auditor, prior to each audit; reports documenting the disabilities of inmates; lists of inmates disclosing prior victimization (when available), as well as an email documenting the names of inmates contacting the PREA Hotline during the past twelve (12) months. The disability report enables the auditor to identify inmates/inmates who are hearing or visually impaired or who have some other form of disability.

The Department's PREA Unit now has access to investigations through a module that allows staff in the unit to review investigations for quality. Reviewing staff may instruct the facility investigator to conduct additional inquiry or investigation and will not authorize the closure of that investigation until the PREA Unit reviews and approves the investigation.

Interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and Warden confirmed the facility provides the required data, if any, to the GDC PREA Unit by reporting immediately any allegations or incidents of sexual abuse at the facility as well as monthly in the monthly PREA Report sent to the GDC PREA Coordinator.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? Yes No

115.88 (b)

- Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? Yes No

115.88 (c)

- Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.88 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency and facility reviews data collected and aggregated in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including identifying problem areas and taking corrective action as necessary on an ongoing basis.

The GDC requires each facility to maintain PREA related data and to report to the GDC PREA Unit, monthly the number of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including inmate on inmate and staff, contractor, volunteer on inmate. The auditor reviewed the Facility PREA Reports 12 months prior to the on-site audit. The agency collects the data for each facility and aggregates it at least annually and provides comparisons from previous years as well as actions the Department has taken as a result of analysis of the data. The annual reports are comprehensive and informative.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections 2017 Annual Report; Agency Website; Monthly Facility PREA Reports' Compstat Reports

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Members of Incident Review Team; Previous interview with the Agency's Statewide PREA Coordinator and Agency Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator.

Policy and Document Review: The Georgia Department of Corrections requires each facility to conduct incident reviews after each sexual abuse allegation investigation if the allegations are founded or unsubstantiated. The purpose of this is to determine what the motivation for the incident was and to assess whether there is a need for corrective actions including additional staff training, staffing changes or requests for additional video monitoring technology or other actions to help prevent similar incidents in the future.

Likewise, the agency collects data from each facility and reviews the aggregated data collected to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices and training, including identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis and preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility and the GDC. The department has a dedicated staff person whose job it is to collect and analyze the data.

Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.89 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? Yes No

115.89 (b)

- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.89 (c)

- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? Yes No

115.89 (d)

- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act -PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, VI. Record Retention of Forms Relevant to this Policy

Interviews: Statewide PREA Coordinator (previous interview); Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager; Warden; PREA Compliance Manager

Discussion of Policies and Documents: Georgia Department of Corrections makes all aggregated sexual abuse data from all facilities under its direct control and private facilities with whom it contracts, readily available to the public through the Georgia GDC Website. GDC Policy requires all reports are securely retained and maintained for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless the Federal, State or local laws require otherwise.

GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act -PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, VI. Record Retention of Forms Relevant to this Policy, requires that the retention of PREA related documents and investigations will be securely retained and made in accordance with this policy and policy in VI.1, Sexual abuse data, files and related documentation requires they are retained at least 10 years from the date of the initial report.

Criminal investigation data, files and related documentation is required to be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years or 10 years from the date of the initial report, whichever is greater. Administrative investigation data files and related documentation is to be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years; or 10 years from the date of the initial report, whichever is greater.

The facility has twenty-two (22) allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in the past 12 months and in the past 3 years. The PREA Compliance Manager related that data collected will be securely retained. All sexual abuse data will be available to the public on the prison's website and in annual reports. All personal identifiers will be removed as it pertains to confidentiality. All data collected will be maintained no less than 10 years from the initial date of collection.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

- During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period thereafter, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (N/A before August 20, 2016.) Yes No NA

115.401 (b)

- During each one-year period starting on August 20, 2013, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited? Yes No

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?
Yes No

115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? Yes No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, inmates, and detainees?
Yes No

115.401 (n)

- Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Georgia Department of Corrections ensures that 1/3 of their prisons are audited each year for compliance with the PREA Standards each year so that at the end of the 3-year cycle, all prisons have been audited.

The Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison was previously audited for compliance with the PREA Standards.

The medical care at this facility is accredited by the Medical Association of Georgia for meeting the Medical Association of Georgia's Standards which are essentially the standards of the National Commission on Correctional Health Care.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, K. Audits; Notices of PREA Audit; GDC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, K. Audits, asserts that the Department will conduct audits pursuant to 28 C.F.R/ 114.401-405. Each facility operated by the Department will be audited every three years or on a schedule determined by the PREA Coordinator

The Georgia Department of Corrections also contracts with county and private facilities. Policy requires that county facilities and privately operated on behalf of the Department (housing state offenders) must meet the same audit requirements. These entities are responsible for scheduling and funding their audits. All audits are required to be certified by the Department of Justice and each facility will bear the burden of demonstrating compliance with the federal standards. A copy of the final report will be submitted to the Department's PREA Coordinator upon completion of the audit and must be conducted every three years.

The facility posted the Notices of PREA Audit in areas of the facility accessible to inmates, staff, contractors, volunteers and visitors six weeks prior to the on-site audit. The PREA Compliance Manager forwarded multiple photos documenting posting the Notices. These were observed in living units and other areas accessible to staff, inmates, contractors, volunteers and visitors. Thirty (30) days prior to the onsite audit the auditor and PREA Compliance Manager communicated via email to discuss the audit process. Communications with the PREA Compliance Manager were often and productive. The compliance manager joined the auditor at another audit to observe the process. The PREA Compliance Manager sent the auditor photos of the inmate's showers in all types of bedrooms in the various dorms.

The auditor received the flash drive more than 30 days prior to the onsite audit. The information contained on the flash drive contained the GDC policies applicable to the standards as well as documentation to help the auditor understand the mission of the facility, the layout of the facility, and facility operations, including the staffing required for the population of medium security adult male inmates. The auditor provided the facility and extensive list of documents that the auditor would be asking for on-site. The facility was always responsive and helpful and complied with any request.

During the on-site audit the facility was requested to provide documentation and the documentation was readily available to and easily provided.

The on-site audit of the Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Prison was conducted by four Auditors, certified in both Juvenile and Adult Standards. During the on-site audit, the auditors were provided complete and unfettered access to all areas of the facility and to all the inmates. The auditors were free to move about the facility any time they needed to. Adequate space was provided for auditors to conducted interview in complete privacy. During the on-site review, the auditor freely walked around the facility, interviewing informally, staff, inmates, contractors and volunteers without impediment.

The Notice of PREA Audit was observed posted throughout the facility and in the living units. The notice contained contact information for the auditor. During the site review of the facility the auditor informally talked with inmates and staff. None of the inmates requested to talk with the auditors in private.

Interviews were conducted in complete privacy with every resident chosen for interviews. The Certified Auditor conducting inmate interviews interviewed a total of 40 inmates. Twenty-eight (28) offenders, randomly selected and Thirteen (13) targeted were interviewed.

The auditor reviewed inmate files, made observations throughout the on-site audit, thoroughly reviewed large samples of documentation, tested processes (including checking victim/aggressor assessment time periods) and interviewed staff, contractors and inmates. Multiple personnel files were reviewed to assess the hiring process and background checks. An exit briefing was conducted with the following: Warden, Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator, and Deputy Warden of Security, Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment, Deputy Warden of Administration, PREA Compliance Manager, Mental Health Director, Mental Health Assistant Director, Health Services Administrator, and Human Resources Manager. Preliminary findings were discussed, and corrective actions were identified. The facility was encouraged to use the resources of the mental health staff in training staff in communicating with inmates, including transgender inmates. The auditor and the PREA Compliance Manager continued to work together following the on-site audit when additional information was needed.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) Yes No
 NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager ensures that all PREA Reports are published on the agency's website within 90 days of the completion of the report. Reports for all facilities for all reporting periods are posted on the agency's website and easily accessible to the public.

The auditor reviewed the Agency's website and reviewed the previous PREA reports as well as annual reports that were posted on the website.

Interviewed administrators indicated the PREA Report as well as annual reports are posted for public viewing and reviewing and the PREA Report, like the last PREA Report, will be posted within 90 days of issuing the final report to the facility.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.¹ Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.² See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Mable P. Wheeler
Auditor Signature

July 12, 2019
Date

¹ See additional instructions here: <https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110>.

² See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.