Prison Rane Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report

Adult Prisons & Jails				
☐ Interim	⊠ Final			
Date of Report	February 22, 2018			
Auditor I	nformation			
Name: Robert Lanier	Email: rob@diversifiedcorrectionalservices.com			
Company Name: Diversified Correctional Services,	LLC			
Mailing Address: PO Box 452	City, State, Zip: Blackshear, GA 31516			
Telephone: 912-281-1525	Date of Facility Visit: Click or tap here to enter text.			
Agency I	nformation			
Name of Agency:	Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable):			
Georgia Department of Corrections	N/A			
Physical Address: 300 Patrol Road	City, State, Zip: Forsyth, Ga. 31029			
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1529	City, State, Zip: Forsyth, Ga 31029			
Telephone: 404-656-4661	Is Agency accredited by any organization?			
The Agency Is: Military	☐ Private for Profit ☐ Private not for Profit			
☐ Municipal ☐ County				
Agency mission: The Georgia Department of Corresafe facilities while reducing recidivism through effective services and the control of the co	ections protects the public by operating secure and			
	or.state.ga.us/Divisions/ExecutiveOperations/OPS			
Agency Chief I	Executive Officer			
Name: Gregory Dozier	Title: Commissioner			
Email: Gregory.dozier@gdc.ga.us	Telephone: 478-992-5374			
Agency-Wide P	REA Coordinator			
Name: Grace Atchison	Title: Statewide PREA Coordinator			
Email: grace.atchison@gdc.ga.gov	Telephone: 678 322 6066			

PREA Coordinator Reports to:	Number of Compliance Managers who report to the				
Office of Professional Stand	PREA Cod	PREA Coordinator 88			
Compliance					
Comphanico		L			
	Facilit	y Informatio	n		
Name of Facility: COASTA	L STATE PRISON				
Physical Address: 200 Gulf Stream	m Road Garden City, GA	A 31418			
Mailing Address (if different than	above): Click or tap	p here to enter tex	ĸt.		
Telephone Number: (912)	965-6233				
The Facility Is:	☐ Military	☐ Private for p	rofit	Private not for profit	
☐ Municipal	County	State State		Federal	
Facility Type:	☐ Ja	il	⊠ F	Prison	
Facility Mission: To protect	t the public by ope	rating secure a	nd safe facilities	while reducing	
recidivism through effective	programming, edu	cation and hea	Ithcare.		
Facility Website with PREA Inf	formation: http://w	ww.dcor.state.ç	ga.us/Facilities/co	pastal-state-prison	
	Wardei	n/Superintender	nt		
Name: Jose Morales		Title: Warde	n		
Email: Jose.Morales@gd	c.ga.gov	Telephone (912)	965-6233		
	Facility PRE	A Compliance M	lanager		
Name: Robin Owens		Title: Deputy	warden of care	and treatment	
Email: robin.owens@gdc	.ga.gov	Telephone:	404-520-3484		
	Facility Healtl	h Service Admii	nistrator		
Name: David Milton		Title: Health	Services Admini	istrator	
Email: david.milton@gdc	.ga.gov	Telephone: 9	12-965-6231		
Facility Characteristics					
Designated Facility Capacity:	1378	Current Populat	ion of Facility 1169)	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months				1380	
Number of inmates admitted to facility was for 30 days or more		t 12 months whos	e length of stay in t	the 1380	
Number of inmates admitted to facility was for 72 hours or more	the 1380				

					Click or tap here to enter text.	
Age Range of Population:	Youthful Inmates Under 18: 0		Adults:	94		
Are youthful inn population?	nates housed separately from the a	dult	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ NA	
Number of youth	ful inmates housed at this facility du	ring the past 12 i	months :0		N/A	
Average length of	of stay or time under supervision:				5 months	
Facility security	level/inmate custody levels:				Medium, but have minimum and some close	
	currently employed by the facility wh				320	
inmates:	hired by the facility during the past 1		-		92	
Number of contra with inmates:	acts in the past 12 months for service	es with contracto	rs who may hav	e contact	32	
	Physical Plant					
Number of Build	dings: 27	Number of Sing	gle Cell Housir	g Units: 1		
Number of Multi	iple Occupancy Cell Housing Units:			7		
Number of Oper	n Bay/Dorm Housing Units:			4		
Number of Segr Disciplinary:	egation Cells (Administrative and			74		
Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.): T bldg, Medical, iso/seg						
Medical						
Type of Medical Facility: State Prison						
Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at: Coastal State Prison, medical						
	Other					
Number of volunteers and individual contractors, who may have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:				88		
Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse:				2		

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

Pre-Audit Activities

Notice of PREA Audit: The Notice of PREA Audit for the Coastal Sate Prison was forwarded to the Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Coordinator on December 1, 2017, seven weeks prior to the on-site audit, for posting in the Coastal State Prison. The PREA Coordinator was asked via email to the facility, to post the notices in areas accessible to offenders, staff, contractors, and visitors. The purpose of the posting of the Notice is to allow anyone with a PREA issue or concern, or an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to correspond, confidentially, with the Certified PREA Auditor. The auditor did not receive any communication or correspondence from an inmate, staff, contractor, volunteer or visitor. During the onsite PREA Audit, Notices of PREA Audit were observed posted everywhere in that facility; in every living unit and area of the prison, including the segregation units.

Pre-Audit Questionnaire/ Flash Drive Review: The agency's PREA Coordinator, in an email to the PREA Compliance Manager of Coastal State Prison, advised that the Pre-Audit Questionnaire and flash drive with Georgia Department of Corrections' policies and procedures, local operating procedures and directives, and other supporting documentation should be forwarded to the auditor not later than 30 days prior to the onsite audit. The reviewed flash drive did not contain much documentation to confirm practice but was replete with policies and procedures. The auditor developed and forwarded a comprehensive list of the documentation that would be needed for review during the on-site audit to assess practice. The PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager and alternate PREA Compliance Manager were always responsive to any request and assured the auditor the information would be made available.

Outreach to Outside Advocates: The auditor contacted the Rape Crisis Center of the Coastal Empire to determine the interactions, if any, the center has had with Coastal State Prison. The Executive Director, was not in the office however the on-duty victim advocate was able to respond to the auditor's questions. The center has not received any calls or correspondence from any of the inmates at the prison. The advocate indicated the center provides 24/7 access to victim advocates and the advocates would be available to accompany the victim through the forensic exam process and the investigatory interviews if requested. There have been no occasions or reports from the prison related to sexual abuse.

Selection of Staff and Inmates: Prior to the audit, the auditor requested and received a list of staff who work on each of the "keys" for both shifts to ensure that staff, randomly selected, would be those who were working during the days of the on-site audit. Additional staff were chosen from the list to ensure staff from a cross-section of positions and jobs within the facility were selected to be interviewed.

Additionally, the auditor requested and received, a list of inmates listed by housing units to enable the auditor to select inmates from each living unit. Additional list requested and received included inmates who were transgender, disabled inmates, inmates who were sexually abused either at the facility or who disclosed prior victimization during their initial vulnerability assessment or at any other time, inmates who identified as being gay, bisexual, or lesbian, and those who were identified as mentally challenged inmates.

The Agency's PREA Unit was asked to secure a report of disabilities from the Department's Technical staff. This report is multiple pages identifying disabled inmates and the nature of their disabilities. This enables the auditor to verify inmates who are hard of hearing, deaf, visually impaired or blind. The report was sent to the auditor to review. The auditor also requested a list of all inmates who had placed calls to the PREA Hotline during the past 12 months and one inmate, who had done so was still at the facility. This inmate was interviewed by the auditor.

On-Site Audit Activities

By prior arrangement the auditor arrived at the facility at 1000 in the morning on January 8, 2017. Processing through the main gate included providing identification, signing in, and going through the metal detector, while the auditor's equipment and belongings went through the x-ray machine.

The auditor first met briefly with the Warden of the facility and Agency's Assistant PREA Coordinator to discuss the process. Following the meeting; the auditor met with the following staff to conduct an "entrance briefing": the facility's Warden, Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager/Deputy Warden for Care and Treatment, Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) Leader, alternate SART Leader, Mental Health Director, Facility Investigator, and Retaliation Monitor. The audit process was explained and discussed. The auditor selected, from a list of security and non-security staff and a list of inmates, including the listing provided by the facility and the Department of Corrections PREA Unit, the staff and inmates to be interviewed.

Site Review (Please refer for facility characteristics for a complete description of the facility)

During the site review the auditor made numerous observations, including the posting of Notices of PREA Audits, PREA Related Posters and especially those providing reporting instructions, notices advising inmates that male staff routinely work in the facility, locations of showers and privacy issues, if any, grievances and grievance boxes, requests forms and boxes for requests, configuration of living units, capacities of dorms, observations of blind spots, camera deployment, the use of mirrors to mitigate blind spots, staffing levels, supervision of inmates, accessibility to telephones, accessibility to KIOSKS and Tablets, instructions for using the phones to report sexual abuse. The facility has very few cameras because of the security level of the prison. The Department has a master plan for providing cameras, according to the Warden and PREA Coordinator, however the list prioritizes needs and this medium level prison, although in the plan, does not know when and if cameras may be made available. Cameras are located in T Building, the infirmary, the gym and on the perimeter of the prison.

The auditor observed the intake process with the arrival of newly assigned inmates. The victim/aggressor assessment process was also observed during the intake process as was the mental health screening process.

Staff and Contractor Interviews

Using the current staffing roster, the auditor selected 16 random staff, ensuring that those selected represented a variety and cross section of positions, including twelve (12) Correctional Staff; the Chaplain; one (1) Food Services staff, one (1) Teacher and the Psychiatrist.

The auditor also informally interviewed numerous staff and contractors during the tour to assess such things as staff training, reporting allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and first responding. Informal interviews included a cross section of staff and contractors, including food services staff, the psychiatrist, Director of Nursing, teachers, correctional officers, a maintenance staff, fire chief, and ten cadets (recently hired correctional officers). A total of twenty-two (23) informal interviews were conducted during the site review and continued on-site audit.

In addition to the randomly selected staff, the auditor selected and interviewed thirty-two (32) special category staff, including the following: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, one (1) staff conducting intake; one (1) staff who conducts orientation, two (2) Staff who conduct victim/aggressor assessments, one (1) upper level staff conducting unannounced rounds, two (2) staff who supervises segregation, one (1) Director of Nursing; one (1) mental health staff, one (1) social worker; (1) facility based investigator, one (1) staff providing notification to inmates, one (1) staff on the incident review team, three (3) Sexual Assault Team Members, one (1) Contractor, one (1) Volunteer Coordinator, one (1) Human Resource Manager, one (1) Human Resources Tech, one (1) Administrative Assistant; one (1) Training Officer; three (3) Identification Staff; one (1) Behavioral Health Counselor; one (1) Director of the Strategic and Intervention Program; two (2) volunteers and the Advocate at the Rape Crisis Center (Rape Crisis Center of the Coastal Empire).

Inmate Interviews

Twenty-seven (27) inmates, randomly selected, from the facility's inmate alpha roster, by housing units, were interviewed. An additional fifteen (16) special category inmates were interviewed. These included the following: Two (2) Limited English Proficient Inmates; One (1) Mentally Challenged inmate; Two (2) Hearing Impaired inmates; One (1) legally blind inmate; two (2) gay, bisexual or lesbian inmate, and Two (2) Transgender inmates, and Five (5) inmates who alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment at the facility. (one of the inmates alleging sexual abuse was in segregation for a disciplinary write-up). There were no inmates who disclosed prior victimization during their initial intake assessment. There were no youthful inmates housed at the facility nor were there any inmates being held in segregation as a result of being at high risk for victimization.

Additionally, multiple inmates were informally interviewed. These interviews focused on such issues as staffing in the living units, searches, privacy while showering and using the restroom, and how to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Thirty-one (31) informally interviewed inmates represented a cross-section of inmates, including inmates in the living units, on a variety of work details, in education, segregation and in the fire department. These inmates were cordial and acknowledged the agency's zero tolerance policy, described their intake and orientation, and named multiple ways to report.

There were no inmates who corresponded with the auditor prior to the onsite audit. The auditor did observe Notice of PREA Audit posted all over the facility, including each of the pods (ranges of living units) of each living unit; medical; gym; segregation; education; laundry; library and other locations.

Testing of Processes

During a tour of the facility, the auditor tested a PREA phone, observed a demonstration by an inmate's showing the auditor how he could email the Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Unit and family and friends using the KIOSK and observed another inmate demonstrating for the auditor how to email using her Tablet. The call to the PREA unit was confirmed by the PREA Analyst providing a memo to the auditor stating the call on the PREA hotline was received.

To understand how the facility provides newly admitted and transferred inmates information on the zero- tolerance policy and how to report, the auditor observed the intake process. Intake staff provided the inmates with a PREA brochure and told inmates the facility had a zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report. Additionally, the PREA Video was streaming during the entire intake process enabling inmates awaiting their interviews, to watch the video; that was also viewed later during orientation.

During the intake process, the victimization/aggressor screening process was also observed. The facility's social worker conducted the assessment in private, explaining what she was about to do and why it was important. The auditor also observed the mental health assessment process; again conducted with privacy and using the GDC screening instrument.

The auditor also interviewed the Identification Staff and observed the process for ensuring victims and aggressors are not housed together. The system consists of identification cards of inmates by dorm and cell, with a color-coded dot representing either a victim or aggressor. The information is secured in a locked box accessible to the ID Staff. Prior to a movement or change of housing assignment, staff indicated the ID Board is checked to ensure a victim and aggressor are not housed together.

Documents and Files Reviewed

Background Checks/PREA Related Questions/Professional References: Background checks for twenty-four (24) Newly Hired Staff (Security and Non-Security) documenting their initial background checks; and 18 staff from the Coastal Transitional Center.

Facility Staffing Plan Annual Review (2017)

Facility Log Books and Duty Officer Log Books (10 Pages); Reviewed Post Log Books in 5 or 6 ranges, including Segregation, during the site review. The review was to document unannounced rounds being conducted. The auditor saw entries documenting multiple unannounced PREA checks.

Certificates of Training/PREA Acknowledgment Statements Staff: The auditor reviewed 41 certificates documenting staff completing Day 1 of annual in-service training. Day 1 is the day for PREA training. Forty (40) of forty (40) reviewed personnel files contained PREA Acknowledgment Statements also indicated indicating staff were trained and that they understood the agency's zero tolerance policy and PREA.

Communicating Effectively with LGBTI Inmates: All staff are required to have taken the on-line course through the National Institute of Corrections, "Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Inmates". Fifty (50) certificates confirming that training were reviewed. All the staff interviewed stated they had completed this training and that it was a requirement for all staff.

PREA Acknowledgment Statements Inmates: Twenty-five (25) Prison Rape Elimination Act Orientation Video Acknowledgment Statements were reviewed. Forty (40) Orientation Checklists were reveiwed as well to document the PREA Trianing during Orientation.

Victimization/Aggressor Assessments 30

Victimization/Aggressor Reassessments (41)

Grievances: The auditor reviewed forty (40) grievances selected at random by the auditor. None of the grievances alleged sexual abuse, sexual misconduct or sexual harassment. Most of the grievances were related to property and medical issues.

Incident Reports/ Investigations: 34 Investigation Packages were reviewed. (See 115.71)

Notifications to Inmates: 34 Notifications were reviewed.

Incident Reviews: 34 of 34 Investigation Packages contained Incident Reviews.

Coordinated Response Plan: Reviewed plan.

Post Audit Activities: The auditor communicated with the facility requesting additional information and clarifying issues. The need for Corrective Actions were requested. These are documented in the section below entitled: Follow-Up Required.

Follow-Up Required: See Corrective Action Section of this report.

Site Review of Entire Facility

The facility is a Medium Security Level Prison and does not have many cameras except on the perimeter in "T" Building, and the gym and in medical.

Areas visited during the site review included the administrative building, intake, food services, satellite dining areas, education, the living units, classes in Quanson Huts, barber shops, medical, the infirmary, mental health, counseling and education.

Intake area: Inmates arriving at the facility arrive on a bus, are met by the facility's CERT Team, escorted into the building where they are searched and receive a haircut. Identification Staff give the inmate a PREA Brochure and document that on a PREA Acknowledgement Form. The PREA Video is playing. A mental health counselor, who holds a Masters' Degree in Social Work, conducts the victim/aggressor assessment. This is done in a private office in intake. Mental Health Staff also conduct mental health assessments on all inmates arriving from a county jail. Inmates arriving from another prison have a mental health assessment. An office with a window in the door and on the walls provides a private area for conducting the victim/aggressor assessments. The shower area in intake is concealed with chest high or higher (six blocks high) with a large window running the length of the

shower area. Although there are multiple shower heads, inmates, staff stated inmates shower one at a time. Also, during their interviews, inmates stated they shower one at a time.

ID Staff receive a bussing listing, prior to the inmates arriving, that contains the names of the incoming inmates. The ID staff go into the offender database to see if the offender has had any flags for having been previously assessed as a victim or aggressor. Housing assignments are made by the identification staff after reviewing the SCRIBE and that assignment is essentially based on the inmate's program assignment and/or physical condition unless there is a "flag" on the inmate's profile in SCRIBE.

Once the victim/aggressor assessment is entered into the computer after intake it is available to the classification committee who meets the day following intake to review the inmate's history in more detail. The Classification Committee may make a housing change depending on the vulnerability scores entered into SCRIBE. Classification decides on the programming and work assignments for the inmates and interviews with them indicated they do not place victims and aggressors in closely associated work assignments.

Visited Living units included the following:

- D Building D Building, houses the Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program (RSAT). D Building has four pods or ranges, A, B, C and D. Each range has a maximum capacity of thirty (30) inmates. Inmates live in cells, either double or quadruple occupancy. Each cell has a toilet. The unit has three showers, each with a wall in between. The shower area has a curtain allowing privacy. Informal and formal interviews with inmates indicated they also take showers one inmate at a time to afford each other privacy and dignity. Each range/pod contained PREA Posters and the Notice of PREA Audit. PREA Information was often observed painted on the walls. Interviewed inmates indicated they also have Tablets enabling them to email family and friends on their approved contact lists. They can also email the Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Unit. Phones were observed in each pod and instructions for calling were posted next to the phone. There are no cameras in the building and the one staff assigned to the building rotates minimally every thirty minutes between each pod.
- E Building E Building is designed the same as Building D and houses thirty (30) Inmates in each pod; A-D; with a total capacity of 120. The restrooms and showers are designed the same. Shower curtains and single showering provide privacy. Each pod has a KIOSK, PREA Phone, PREA Posters and Notice of PREA Audit. Inmates who were informally interviewed during the site review indicated they have privacy while showering and multiple ways to report, including the PREA Hotline, Tablet, KIOSK and telling an officer. Each of them indicated they received a PREA Pamphlet during the intake process and watched a PREA Video during intake and also during orientation. One officer is assigned to the building and rotates between each pod minimally every thirty (30) minutes and observe in the control room in between the pods while not making rounds.
- **F Building** F Building is designed with the same prototype; including four (4) pods A-D; each with a capacity of thirty (30) making the building capacity a maximum of 120. The same equipment and furnishings are provided in this building. Each pod has a phone with instructions for calling the PREA Hotline and a KIOSK. PREA Posters are posted in this unit as well. Showers are the same as the other buildings (D & E) with shower curtains. Inmates, informally

interviewed during the site review, stated they also shower one inmate at time out of respect for each other's privacy. One officer is assigned to the building and rotates between all four pods minimally every thirty (30) minutes.01.2

- G Building G Building is the closed unit and houses the following: A Range/Pod houses Mental Health and Protective Custody inmates; B Range/Pod houses disciplinary segregation/pre-hearing confinement; C houses segregated inmates and D range houses protective custody inmates. There were no inmates in protective custody in any of these ranges because of a PREA allegation or the need for protection for being at risk. One inmate in the range was in protective custody as a result of an altercation, not sexually related and while in protective custody made a PREA Allegation against the officer in the unit. The officer had written the inmate up on a rule violation. The allegation was investigated by the Sexual Assault Response Team. This unit, unlike the other units, houses the following maximum capacities of inmates: A Range houses 14, B Range houses a maximum of thirty (30) inmates, while C and D Ranges house fifteen (15) inmates each. Phones in this unit are provided via a mobile phone.
- **H Building** H Building is the same design as the earlier buildings and ranges. It has a total capacity of 120 with thirty (30) inmates in each range. Showers are the same as the other units. Phones, KIOSKs and PREA Posters were observed in each range. Informally interviewed inmates stated they shower one a time and that curtains provide privacy while showering.
- **J Building** J building is the same design as the other buildings and ranges. Thirty (30) inmates can be housed in each of the four ranges/pods. Showers are the same as the other units. Phones, KIOSKS and PREA Posters were in each range.
- K Building K Building has a maximum capacity of 120, with thirty (30) inmates in each range. There are three showers in each range with shower curtains in each range. Interviewed inmates stated they shower one inmate at a time. Commodes are in each room. There are no cameras in this building and one officer is assigned. The officer rotates between all four (4) ranges minimally every thirty (30) minutes. PREA Posters, PREA Phone, and KIOSK were observed in each range.
- L Building L Building has four ranges and a maximum capacity of 54 in each range. Showers
 have four heads separated by half-walls with curtains affording privacy. Inmates indicated that
 one inmate showers at a time to give even more privacy while showering. Commodes are
 separated by half walls. Interviewed inmates indicated that female staff never go back in the
 restroom area and at count, tell the inmates to raise their hands if they are in the restroom.
- Medical The infirmary beds and medical beds total fifteen (15). Toilets are in each of the rooms. Showers afford privacy. A screen provides privacy for inmates on the toilet.
- **N Building** N Building is a two- tiered Faith Based Dorm with two ranges, A & B, each with a capacity of 92. There are six (6) showers separated with ½ walls of stainless steel. Showers have curtains and restrooms are in the cells. Two KIOSKs were observed in the unit. Phones with dialing instructions for the PREA Hotline were also observed as were multiple PREA Posters.

- O Building O Building houses the handicapped, infirmed inmates, assisted living inmates and
 the elderly. There are four (4) ranges and two ranges house a capacity of 40 each while the
 other two ranges house a capacity of 48 each. PREA Posters, Phones, and KIOSK were
 observed. There were four showers, with privacy and two restrooms, separated by half-walls
 and handicap accessible.
- Q Building Q Building houses inmates who are assigned to the Residential Substance Abuse
 Treatment Program on three ranges and General Population inmates housed on one range.
 Three ranges house a capacity of 50 inmates and one range houses up to 40 inmates. There
 are four showers with stalls and curtains and four commodes separated with half walls. There is
 KIOSK in each range. Inmates assigned to the RSAT program who are on probation are not
 issued Tablets. PREA Signs and phones were observed in every range.
- T Building Intensive Release Program Inmates assigned to this building include inmates
 who are in the Intensive Release Program (120 Days) as well as a General Population range.
 Each range is capable of housing a maximum of 64 inmates in each range. There are three
 showers on the upper tiers and lower tiers of each range. The showers have three stalls and
 shower curtains affording privacy.

Kitchen - There is a huge kitchen area where food is prepared. It is a wide-open space as well. There are 38 inmates who staff three shifts. There are eight (8) employees who work in food services. Inmates are under the supervision of one (1) correctional officer and all the shift food service staff. The offices was observed moving about checking all areas of the food service area. Coolers, freezers and storage closets were locked. Food is served in four satellite dining areas. PREA Signs and Notice of PREA Audit are posted in the kitchen.

Laundry - The laundry, is large and equipped with multiple washers and commercial dryers. PREA Signs are posted throughout the laundry. The agency's hotline number for reporting allegations of sexual abuse are posted here as well. The space in the laundry is open, enabling the officer assigned to the laundry to monitor movement of inmates. There is an area used to sew clothing or mattresses. It is enclosed with expanded metal and remains locked when not in use. The door to the enclosure was secured and the expanded metal enabled staff to view the area when inmates work in the area.

Infirmary – The infirmary has an open design. There are cameras in the halls and mirrors are utilized to facilitate viewing around potential blind spot areas. There are 15 beds in the infirmary. The infirmary and clinic are open 24/7. There are thirty-three (33) staff assigned to medical with one nurse and one certified nursing assistant assigned to the infirmary on all three shifts. The nurses station is centrally located to view rooms. Toilets in the infirmary rooms are standing in the open. The auditor observed a portable shield that enables staff to view the inmates head while on the toilet but afford privacy otherwise.

Mental Health Area- This area is also one of those wide-open design areas with multiple offices for staff; each with a window to enable viewing into the offices. There were no obstructions observed.

The Gym/Barbershop area has a total of six (6) cameras each covering an area of the gym. There were PREA signs posted and PREA information was painted on the walls.

Education – This unit has five (5) classrooms and a library. There are four (4) academic classes, one (1) literacy class, three (3) Adult Basic Education and General Education Diploma (GED) classes and another GED Class. There were no obstructions observed. The library is an open space with book shelves placed around the room against the walls with two huge mirrors to facilitate viewing.

Interviews:

Following the Site Review, the auditor began interviewing staff who were randomly selected from the Staffing Roster for all staff and randomly as well as specialized category staff including the following:

- PREA Coordinator (Previous Interview)
- Assistant PREA Coordinator (Previous Interview)
- Agency ADA Coordinator
- Contract Manager Designee
- Agency Head Designee (Previous Interview)
- PREA Compliance Manager
- Intake Staff
- Staff Conducting Orientation
- Medical Staff
- Mental Health Staff
- Staff supervising segregation
- Staff conducting the victim/aggressor assessments
- Facility Investigator
- Upper level staff conducting unannounced rounds
- Staff who notify inmates following investigations
- Incident Review Team Members
- Human Resources Manager (and staff) Day 3
- Volunteer Coordinator
- Grievance Officer
- Agency PREA Coordinator (previous interview)
- Chief of Security
- Strategic Intervention Program Director (decisions on inmate housing)
- Segregation Counselor
- Warden (Day 3); Interacted with Warden on a daily basis, formal interview on Day 3

Using the current staffing roster, the auditor selected 16 random staff, ensuring that those selected represented a variety and cross section of positions, including twelve (12) Correctional Staff; the Chaplain; one (1) Food Services staff, one (1) Teacher and the Psychiatrist.

During the site review and during the onsite audit twenty-three (23) staff were informally interviewed to determine if they had received PREA Training, were aware of the Zero Tolerance Policy and how and to whom to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

In addition to the randomly selected staff, the auditor selected and interviewed thirty-two (32) special category staff, including the following: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, one (1) staff conducting intake; one (1) staff who conducts orientation, two (2) Staff who conduct victim/aggressor assessments, one (1) upper level staff conducting unannounced rounds, two (2) staff who supervises segregation, one (1) Director of Nursing; one (1) mental health staff, one (1) social worker; (1) facility based

investigator, one (1) staff providing notification to inmates, one (1) staff on the incident review team, three (3) Sexual Assault Team Members, one (1) Contractor, one (1) Volunteer Coordinator, one (1) Human Resource Manager, one (1) Human Resources Tech, one (1) Administrative Assistant; one (1) Training Officer; three (3) Identification Staff; one (1) Behavioral Health Counselor; one (1) Director of the Strategic and Intervention Program; two (2) volunteers and the Advocate at the Rape Crisis Center (Rape Crisis Center of the Coastal Empire).

A total of 43 inmates were interviewed. Twenty-seven (27) inmates, randomly selected, from the facility's inmate alpha roster, by housing units, were interviewed. An additional sixteen (16) special category inmates were interviewed. These included the following: Two (2) Limited English Proficient Inmates; One (1) Mentally Challenged inmate; Two (2) Hearing Impaired inmates; One (1) legally blind inmate; two (2) gay, bisexual or lesbian inmate, and Two (2) Transgender inmates, and Five (5) inmates who alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment at the facility. (one of the inmates alleging sexual abuse was in segregation for a disciplinary write-up). There were no inmates who disclosed prior victimization during their initial intake assessment. There were no youthful inmates housed at the facility nor were there any inmates being held in segregation as a result of being at high risk for victimization.

Targeted/Special Category Inmates included (16 interviewed):

- Four (4) Inmates reports sexual abuse or sexual harassment
- Two (2) inmates identifying as being Gay
- Two (2) transgender inmates (the facility only has two transgender inmates)
- One (1) Cognitively challenged inmate
- One (1) legally blind inmate
- Two (2) hard of hearing inmates
- Two (2) LEP inmates
- Two (2) Inmates in segregation
- No Youthful Offenders are housed at this facility; youthful inmates are housed at Georgia Department of Corrections' Al Burrus Prison in Forsyth, Georgia.

Informal Interviews and Observations/Process Checks

During the site review process, the auditor interviewed informally, security staff as well as non-security staff, including maintenance; tested the PREA Phone; interviewed random staff, specialized staff, and observed the intake process from start to finish, observed the victim/aggressor process and observed mental health staff conducting their mental health assessment that is conducted on all inmates who are arriving from a county jail. Observed staff supervision of inmates and interactions between staff and inmates.

Following all the interviews, the auditor reviewed all the documentation requested in compliance with the PREA Auditor's Manual, including a review of grievances and investigation reports for the past twelve (12) months. Forty (40) inmate grievances, pulled at random, were reviewed. There were no additional PREA related grievances and the most frequent grievance topic was the result of property issues.

Thirty-four (34) investigation packets each containing the Investigative Summary, Witness Statements, Actions taken, Notification to Inmates of the outcome of the investigation, Incident Reviews following investigations and a host of other documents were reviewed.

An exit conference was conducted with the Warden and Agency Assistant PREA Coordinator.

The Warden and staff were complimented on their ongoing efforts to provide a sexually safe environment. The PREA Compliance Manager and the entire executive team and staff were cooperative and forthcoming and provided the auditor access to anything he needed as well as to provide any documentation requested.

Issues requiring corrective action included implementing Five (5) Year Background Checks of nosecurity staff and contractors. While security staff are background checked every year prior to going to the firing range; staff were unaware of the requirement for five (5) year background checks for nonsecurity staff and contractors.

Too, the facility staff were not complying with GDC Policy pertaining to completing the 30-day reassessments in SCRIBE using the same instrument as the assessment instrument. Staff were under the impression that a formal reassessment was not required but rather they were under the impression that at a reassessment at the end of 30 days was asking the inmate if anything had changed with them since the initial assessment and that they then would do a reassessment following any incident, a PREA related incident, or a movement outside of the facility and return.

The facility was clean and orderly. Inmates were courteous and under supervision. Inmates who were interviewed, both formally and informally, understood the agency and facility's zero-tolerance for sexual misconduct, sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Inmates are afforded multiple ways to report, including telling a staff, dropping a note, filing a grievance, emailing the PREA Unit any time day or night on their Tablets, calling the TIP line, emailing family members or friends on their approved list, writing the PREA Coordinator, telling a friend, telling their attorney's, and calling the PREA Hotline, writing the Ombudsman, having a family member contact the Ombudsman, write to victim services.

Staff were knowledgeable of PREA; indicated they were trained annually on it; and knew and understood their roles as first responders. They also indicated they would accept any report from any source, report it verbally to their supervisor and follow-up with either a written statement of an incident report prior to the end of the shift.

Following the onsite audit, the auditor made additional requests for additional information and documents. These requests are documented in emails back and two. The PREA Compliance Manger and the Agency's PREA Coordinator were very responsive to any request made by the auditor.

Facility Characteristics

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

The Coastal State Prison is a prison operated by the Georgia Department of Corrections housing security levels from minimum to close female offenders between the ages of 20-82. The rated

operational capacity of the facility is 1765 offenders and the population on the first day of the audit was 1810.

Housing consists of twelve (12) Buildings. The prototype living unit/dorm consists of double occupancy cells located on two (2) tiers, with toilets inside the cells and showers on each tier separated with stalls and equipped with privacy curtains. Each pod has a rated capacity of thirty (30). Buildings D, E, F, H, J, and K follow this design. G Building houses four pods/ranges with G-A housing 14 inmates; G-B housing 30 inmates; G-C and G-D housing 15 inmates each. L Building houses 54 inmates in each of four (4) pods/ranges. There are 15 medical/infirmary beds. N Building has two ranges capable of housing 92 inmates in each range. O Building can house 40 inmates in O-A and B and 48 in C and D. Q building can house 50 inmates in Q-A,C, and D and 48 inmates in O-B. J Building houses up to 64 inmates in each of its four (4) ranges.

Inmates in each pod of each living unit have access to one or more KIOSKs from which they may email the PREA Unit or family and friends on their approved list. Interviewed inmates in each pod indicated they also have a tablet from which they can report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment by emailing the PREA Unit or family and friends on their approved list. Additionally, inmates have access to one or more phones with instructions for calling the PREA Hotline Number. Calls go to the PREA Unit Operations Analyst who records the call and notifies the facility of the allegation, so any allegations can be investigated. Double occupancy cells are equipped with a toilet. Showers are located on each tier. Stalls are separated by walls and curtains afford privacy while showering. Notices of the PREA Audit were observed in virtually every living unit, including segregation. PREA Posters were on the wall. Signs indicating female staff work the pods was posted as well. Female staff were observed making an announcement prior to entering the living units. The auditor reviewed log books in most of the pods and observed documentation of PREA checks all the way back to 2015.

There is generally one officer per two pods. The officer rotates between the pods supervising inmates. Staff from a variety of locations including medical, food service, laundry, teachers and security were informally interviewed during the site review. Staff understood zero tolerance, reporting and their responsibilities in the event of a sexual assault.

Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number of standards not met, along with a list of each of the standards in each category. If relevant, provide a summarized description of the corrective action plan, including deficiencies observed, recommendations made, actions taken by the agency, relevant timelines, and methods used by the auditor to reassess compliance.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Number of Standards Exceeded: 03

115.11; 115.51; 115.61

Number of Standards Met:

43

115.12; 115.13; 115.14; 115.15; 115.16; 115.17; 115.18; 115.21; 115.22; 115.31; 115.32; 115.33; 115.34; 115.35; 115.41; 115.42; 115.43; 115.52; 115.53; 115.54; 115.61; 115.62; 115.63; 115.64; 115.65; 115.66; 115.67; 115.68; 115.71; 115.72; 115.73; 115.76; 115.77; 115.78; 115.81; 115.82; 115.83; 115.86; 115.87; 115.88; 115.89; 115.401; 115.403

Number of Standards Not Met:

0

0

Summary of Corrective Action

Issue #1 - The facility does not conduct reassessments as required by the Georgia Department
of Corrections. Reassessments must be completed in SCRIBE (database) after the inmate has
been at the facility for 30 days. Staff indicated they were confused about the requirement and
understood that a reassessment was basically asking the inmate if anything has changed since
the initial assessment and when any significant event occurs.

Remediation: Staff conducting the victim/aggressor assessment need to be retrained in Georgia Department of Corrections Policy pertaining to reassessments. Staff also must complete and provide the auditor a sample of 45 reassessments.

Completion of Corrective Action: Documentation was provided indicating staff conducting reassessments have been trained to conduct actual reassessments 30 days after admission and documenting it in SCRIBE in compliance with Georgia Department of Corrections Policy. The mental health staff committed to begin the reassessments and provide the auditor with 40 reassessments NLT than February 9, 2018 and to conduct reassessments every Friday heretofore.

The facility provided on February 10, 2018, forty (41) reassessments conducted in SCRIBE.

2. Issue # 2 – Staff were unaware that they had to document on the Form 1- Placing an inmate in involuntary protective custody that there were no other placements available where the inmate could be kept safely. Although there have been no inmates placed in Protective Custody, involuntarily, during the past 12 months, staff need to be retrained in GDC Policy 208.06, PREA; Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program related to offenders at risk for sexual victimization.

Remediation: Retrain all staff who are authorized to place inmates in involuntary segregation.

Corrective Action Completed: The facility provided a training roster entitled: PREA Isolation Training, 208.06. This roster documented eleven (11) staff being trained.

3. **Issue #3-** Human resources staff were unaware they had to conduct background checks on promotions and every five years on staff who are non-security. Security staff have to be

background checked every year prior to their annual weapons certification. Too, the facility, as the parent/sponsor facility for the Coastal Transitional Center were unaware they had to complete and maintain documentation of the applicant process and background checks for staff of the Transitional Center.

Remediation: The agency PREA Coordinator recommended the following corrective action to the Warden of Coastal State Prison and the Superintendent of the Coastal Transitional Center:

- Promotions: Please run background checks on all employees that were promoted at Coastal SP and TC through January 1st, 2016 – current.
- The facility PCM will need to review the standards related to background checks (hiring and promotion decisions) and conduct a training to HR staff, asap. A training roster signed by the participants and the trainer will need to be forwarded to the auditor.
- The GDC PREA Coordinator has determined that best practice for background checks is to run
 them annually, on all employees. This will ensure that no employee is overlooked and exceeds
 the standard. These checks need to be conducted for all SP and TC employees.

Corrective Action Completed: Documentation was provided to confirm background checks are being conducted as required.

4. **Issue #4**. Outside Advocacy Organization - Inmates do not know who this is or how to access them.

Remediation: Provide inmates with the name of the organization providing outside advocacy services, provide the toll-free 24/7 contact number and mailing address and let inmates know the limits of confidentiality if they contact the organization.

Provide documentation that inmates have been made aware of the organization and how to contact them, and the limits of confidentiality; photos of posted information; and if included in the handbook or however else you want to provide it, if you do, forward to the auditor please.

Corrective Action Completed: Contact information was provided to inmates. The PREA Brochure provided to the auditor contained contact information for the organization.

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11 (a)

■ Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

□ No

•		be written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding all abuse and sexual harassment? $\ oxdot \ Yes \ oxdot \ No$				
115.11	(b)					
•	Has the	e agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ⊠ Yes □ No				
•	Is the P	REA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? $\ oxdot$ Yes $\ oxdot$ No				
•		te PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and e agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?				
115.11	(c)					
	,					
•	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA					
•	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA					
Audito	or Overa	II Compliance Determination				
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; PREA Brochures; Resident Handbook; Fifty (50) In-Service Training Reports documenting PREA Training on Day 1 In-Service; Twenty (20) Acknowledgment Statements (Staff, Inmates, Contractors); Organizational Chart; Zero Tolerance Posters located throughout the facility; PREA Information Painted on Walls.

Interviews: Warden; PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, 17 Random Staff; 23 Specialized Staff; 27 Random Inmates; 16 Special Category Inmates; 31 Inmates Informally during the on-site review.

Other: Observed posters throughout the facility; Observed PREA related information painted on walls; 31 informal interviews with residents; 20 informal interviews with staff.

Policy Review: Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, is a comprehensive PREA Policy that not only details the agency's approach to prevention, detection, reporting and responding to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment but also integrates this information in a manner that flows logically and is easily understood. The policy affirms that the Department will not tolerate any form of sexual abuse or sexual harassment of any offender. Policy states that the Department has a zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and sexual activity among inmates. It further indicates the purpose of the policy is to prevent all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and sexual activity among inmates by implementing provisions of the PREA Standards to help prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse in confinement facilities.

It appears that the Georgia Department of Corrections takes sexual safety seriously. This is based on a number of factors. The GDC appointed a Director of Compliance who is ultimately responsible for the Department's compliance with the PREA Standards, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the American Correctional Association Standards. Additionally, the Department has appointed a statewide PREA Coordinator and an Assistant Agency Statewide PREA Coordinator with sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee the Department's efforts to comply with the PREA Standards in the GDC facilities. The Statewide PREA Coordinator has responsibility for the entire state. An interview with the PREA Coordinator confirmed an Assistant PREA Coordinator has been hired.

The PREA Coordinator is one of the most knowledgeable PREA Coordinators I have had the pleasure of working with. She is not just knowledgeable of PREA, but she brings to the table experience working in adult facilities prior to her appointment. She has been responsible for ensuring that the prisons and facilities are in compliance with the PREA Standards and that they maintain compliance. To that end she serves as a resource person for the GDC facilities and programs and visits her facilities often. Those visits are working visits during which she often sits with the facility's investigators and reviews each investigation of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. A previous interview with the PREA Coordinator and the newly appointed Assistant Statewide PREA Coordinator confirmed that they have sufficient time to perform their PREA related duties. The newly hired Assistant PREA Coordinator also has a number of years of experience of institutional work. The PREA Coordinator indicated that meetings/training with facility PREA compliance managers occurs at least twice a year.

In addition to the Agency Compliance Director, Statewide PREA Coordinator and Assistant PREA Coordinator, the agency also has a PREA Analyst assigned to the PREA Unit. His job is to collect and analyze the data that is submitted to the PREA Unit, on a monthly basis, by each facility. This staff also receives the calls from inmates on the Department of Corrections PREA Hotline. In working with the PREA Auditor, the PREA Analyst assists by retrieving information on all calls to the PREA Hotline from each facility prior to the on-site audit. He also assists the auditor by securing from the Georgia Department of Corrections Technical Section, rosters of disabled inmates, identifying the inmate and his/her disability, enabling the auditor to select disabled inmates to interview during on-site visits. He

keeps statistics for each facility and cumulatively for the agency that are used by the Department in analyzing issues related to PREA.

Additionally, the Warden/Superintendent at each institution is charged with ensuring that all aspects of the agency's PREA Policy are implemented. To this end, they are required to develop a Local Procedure Directive for response to sexual allegations. The Directive reflects the institution's unique characteristics and specifies how each institution will respond to sexual allegations and the notification procedures followed for reports of sexual allegations. (Local Procedure Directive discussed in a later standard).

Wardens/Superintendents are also required to assign an Institutional PREA Compliance Manager, who also has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee the facility efforts to comply with the PREA Standards.

The PREA Compliance Manager at the Coastal State Prison is a higher- level staff; the Deputy Warden for Care and Treatment. She reports directly to the Warden and reportedly has his complete support in implementing the PREA Standards. This is confirmed by interviews with the Warden, REA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager as well as reviewed Annual Reports and the Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

This agency is committed to sexual safety. Evidence to support that is their proactive approach described by the PREA Coordinator and the fact that they are working with Just Detention International in seeing how offenders might be used to conduct PREA Classes; working with statewide advocate groups in recruiting advocates; through trauma response training, by having the Moss Group review their PREA Policy and by providing additional training for Sexual Assault Response Team Members as well as ongoing training for PREA Compliance Managers. The Agency also requires all staff to complete, in addition to their regular PREA Training, the NIC Online Training Course, "Communicating Effectively with LGBTI Inmates." Sexual Assault Team Members attend training at least semi-annually and often complete the NIC on-line Specialized Training for Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings, in addition to the specialized training for their respective fields; ie., Medical and mental health.

Interviews: The PREA Compliance Manager, Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment, related she has enough time to perform her duties as Deputy Warden and her PREA related duties. She indicated that she believes if the inmates and staff are trained in PREA, "it helps to deter abuse". The facility has a Sexual Assault Response Team *SART) and they meet at least monthly to discuss any allegations, investigations, and other PREA related issues.

An interview with the Warden indicated he is concerned about the sexual safety on inmates and takes an active role in working with inmates as evidenced in interviews with the transgender inmates at the facility, who told the auditor how supportive the Warden was with them. They related that he personally checks on them to see if they are feeling safe and comfortable in his facility.

One-hundred percent (100%) of the interviewed staff were all aware of the zero-tolerance policy and agency's zero tolerance for any form of sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual harassment or retaliation. All of them stated they are trained to and required to report all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including suspicions. Staff indicated if they failed to report there would be sanctions. Allegations and reports, regardless of the source, are required to be documented and investigated. They affirmed they receive training annually during in-service training (Day 1) and also during shift briefings periodically.

Residents, staff, contractors and volunteers are trained in the zero-tolerance policy. All 43 Interviewed residents, including the 31 interviewed informally during the site review, indicated they were aware the facility and GDC has a zero tolerance for all forms of sexual activity. Inmates said they have posters all over the facility and they received a PREA Brochure asserting the agency has a zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and retaliation for reporting or cooperating with an investigation.

Other: Zero Tolerance is reflected in multiple documents, including PREA Acknowledgment Statements for staff, contractors, volunteers and residents. Posters were observed in every building, every living unit and in areas like the barbershop, kitchen, education, the gym, medical, segregation and in the fire department and others. The facility has also attractively painted PREA related information on multiple walls throughout the facility. Posters and wall paintings observed throughout this facility continuously remind staff and inmates of the agency's zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or sexual misconduct.

The facility provided fifty (50) PREA Acknowledgment Statements confirming staff have been trained in PREA. The PREA Acknowledgement Statements for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Volunteers affirms that they have received training on the Department's Zero Tolerance Policy on Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment and that they have read to GDC Standard Operating Procedure 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. They also acknowledge that violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination or being banned from entering any correctional institution. The auditor also reviewed personnel files of twenty-four (24) newly hired staff. Each of the pulled files contained the signed PREA Acknowledgement Statements.

An additional fifty (50) PREA Acknowledgment Statements for inmates were asked for and provided to the auditor.

This standard is rated "exceeds" because of the agency's and the agency and this facility's commitment to zero tolerance and to PREA. The Department has designated a Statewide Compliance Director with overall responsibility for implementing PREA. Additionally, the Department has designated a Statewide PREA Coordinator to oversee the implementation of PREA in the GDC facilities. In addition to these proactive measures, yet another staff has been designated as the Agency's Assistant PREA Coordinator. Observations of the work of the Statewide PREA Coordinator convinced the auditor that she is "hands on" and works with her facilities by monitoring and providing technical assistance. She was very knowledgeable of what was going on in her facilities. Too, she makes herself available throughout the on-site audits to provide additional information and/or clarification when needed. An interview with the Assistant PREA Coordinator confirmed he too is knowledgeable of PREA and with his institutional experience, is resourceful in helping the facilities with compliance issues. GDC has also provided the PREA Unit the position of "analyst" who collects data from monthly reports sent to the PREA Unit. He is also a valuable resource to auditors in that he can pull PREA reports from facilities; identify inmates who have called the PREA Hotline in the past twelve months; and can provide a roster identifying the disabled inmates in the prisons. The Warden demonstrated a commitment to PREA by designating his Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment, someone with multiple years of prison experience. She is a knowledgeable PREA Compliance Manager and reports directly to the Warden. Staff and inmates are aware of the zero-tolerance policy and of the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, responding and reporting all suspicions, allegations, knowledge, or reports of sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation.

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5.	1	2	(a)

•	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies
	or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's
	obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed or
	or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other
	entities for the confinement of inmates.) $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No $oximes$ NA

115.12 (b)

•	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for
	agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards?
	(N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement
	of inmates OR the response to 115.12(a)-1 is "NO".) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	standard for the relevant review period)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 2; Two (2) contracts promulgated by the GDC for the confinement of inmates; Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; PREA Coordinator

Policy and Documents Review: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 2, requires the Department to ensure that contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities, including governmental agencies, includes in any new contract or contract renewal the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the Any new contract or contract renewal shall provide for Department contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA Standards.

Coastal State Prison does not contract for the confinement of offenders. This was confirmed through interviews with the PREA Coordinator, Superintendent, PREA Compliance Manager and the reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

The Agency PREA Coordinator provided the auditor two contracts the agency promulgated for the confinement of inmates by a county prison and a private vendor. Both contracts contained requirements for the contactor to comply with PREA and to acknowledge that the Georgia GDC has the right to monitor for compliance.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.13 (a)

•	Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the generally accepted detention and correctional practices in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any judicial findings of inadequacy in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration all components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated) in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the inmate population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the number and placement of supervisory staff in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the institution programs occurring on a particular shift in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.13	s (b)
•	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.13	s (c)
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? \boxtimes Yes \square No

• In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? ⋈ Yes □ No						
115.13 (d)						
■ Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or highe level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes □ No						
■ Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? ☐ Yes ☐ No						
■ Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? Yes □ No						
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination						
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)						
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)						
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)						
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative						
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.						
Policy and Documents Reviewed: Coastal State Prison Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 3; Reviewed Staffing Plan; Log Book pages documenting unannounced rounds						
Interviews: Warden, PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, Leader of Sexual Assault Response Team, Deputy Warden of Security, 16 Randomly selected staff; 32 Special Category Staff; 20 Informally Interviewed Staff; 27 Randomly selected inmates; 16 Special Category Inmates; and 31 Informally Interviewed Inmates.						
Other : Observations made during the site review and onsite audit of Coastal State Prison; reviewed logbooks during the site review; reviewed Duty Officer Logs.						

Policy Review: The reviewed Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 3, requires each facility to develop, document and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with the established staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring to protect inmates against sexual abuse. Facilities are also required to document and justify all deviations on the Daily Post Roster. Annually, the facility, in consultation with the Department's PREA Coordinator, assesses, determines and documents whether adjustments are needed to the established staffing plan and deployment of video monitoring systems. Additionally, policy requires unannounced rounds by supervisory staff with the intent of identifying and deterring sexual abuse and sexual harassment every week, including all shifts and of all areas. These rounds are documented in area logbooks. Duty Officers are required to conduct unannounced rounds and these rounds are required to be documented in the Duty Officer Log book.

Staffing Plan Review:

The staffing plan for the Coastal State Prison is addressed in their local operating procedure. PREA Standard 115.13, Staffing Plan. The staffing plan is predicated upon an operational capacity of 1765. There are eleven (11) Buildings, each with four (4) pods/ranges, housing inmates. Six of the buildings house four (4) pods with each pod housing 30 inmates. One four pod building houses 14, 30,15, and 15 in each of the pods. One living unit houses 54 in each of four (4) pods and another building houses 64 in each of the four pods. Another houses 40 in two pods and 48 in two pods. Medical houses 15. Lastly, one building houses 50 in three pods and 48 in another.

The staffing plan documented a total of 346 allotted positions. These include Security, Care and Treatment, Administration, Food Service and Plant Operations. There are 288 positions filled. There are 27 vacancies in security; 19 vacancies that are non-security and 12 Part Time Security vacancies.

The staffing roster indicates there is a Warden, Three Deputy Wardens, 191 Correctional Officer positions; 10 Lieutenants; and 21 Sergeants. There are 35 staff assigned to administration, 22 Behavior Health Counselors; 8 teacher/instructors;6 maintenance staff; 14 food service staff; a Chaplain.

Documentation indicated all items are covered in consideration of the staffing plan.

Unannounced rounds are part of the staffing plan. Unannounced rounds are required to be conducted weekly by supervisory staff, including Sergeants, Lieutenants, Captain, Institutional Duty Officers, and Warden. Staff are prohibited from alerting staff when they arrive at the facility for duty rounds. In addition to reviewed unannounced rounds conducted by supervisory staff while on duty, the auditor reviewed pages from the Duty Officer's Log confirming unannounced rounds being conducted.

The plan is approved by the PREA Coordinator.

The staffing plan includes the use of video monitoring however it is minimal in this facility. The plan states the facility has a total of 9 cameras that are located in the gym and T Building has 16 cameras to monitor inmate's movement and behavior.

The staffing plan asserts that in the event of "post deviations" (none for any priority one post), Coastal has a staff backlist" which Shift Supervisors would use to staff the posts.

Interviews: The Warden, in an interview advised that the minimum staffing to cover all of the priority one posts is 27 officers. He related he has the vacancies down to about 20 vacancies in security. He related there is never a time a priority one post is not staffed. He related his facility has been approved for overtime and if someone had to remain over to cover a post he would try to use overtime and could also use staff from the split shift and if needed, CERT Team members to cover posts until someone could come in. The challenge, he indicated, are hospitalized inmates requiring "round the clock" supervision by a same sex officer. The Captain related she generally has 29 correctional staff on 1st shift and 31 on the night shift with a spilt shift of about 17 She also stated the facility operates with one correctional officer for a building, consisting of four pods each but she prefers two and even with one, she stated it is adequate.

Interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, Captain (Chief of Security); and random staff confirmed the staffing at the Coastal State Prison. The agency determines the numbers of positions to be allocated and the Warden and his staff have the responsibility to deploy those staff based on their identified posts, including Priority One Posts, for which there is no deviation. Priority One posts must be manned at all times.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.1	4	(a	1

■ Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)

Yes
No
NA</p>

115.14 (b)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA</p>

115.14 (c)

- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)</p>
 □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA
- Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA</p>

•	possib	Ithful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent le? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) □ No □ NA
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Policy, Coastal State Prison Pre-Audit Questionnaire, Reviewed Description of Burrus Training Center, where youthful inmates are housed; Memo from Warden, "Youthful Offenders" dated January 8, 2018; Memo from Warden, Youthful Inmates, Dated December 5, 2017.

Interviews: Warden, Coastal State Prison, PREA Compliance Manager, PREA Coordinator, Interviews with 31 inmates (random and specialized); Interviews with

Policy Review: The Georgia Department of Corrections PREA Policy requires that youthful offenders are sight and sound separated from adults.

Document Reviews: The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that youthful offenders are not housed at Coastal State Prison. Information provided related to Mission of Burrus Correctional Training Center on the GDC website affirms that Burrus has a housing capacity for 94 offenders sentenced as adults between the ages of 14-16 years of age. The Burrus Correctional Training Center also houses "At Risk Youthful Offenders between the ages of 17-24.

The Warden provided the auditor with two memos affirming the facility does not have any youthful offenders. The second memo states that if the facility ever receives a youthful offender the youthful offender will be handled in compliance with the policy governing youthful offenders.

Interviews: The Warden, PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manger and randomly selected and specialized staff confirmed that there were no youthful offenders at this facility nor does the facility house them.

Observations: Youthful offenders were not observed during a tour of the entire facility. Nor were youthful offenders among the randomly selected or special category inmates who were interviewed.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.15	5 (a)
•	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.15	5 (b)
•	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates in non-exigent circumstances? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20,2017.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.15	i (c)
•	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.15	5 (d)
•	Does the facility implement a policy and practice that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.15	(e)
•	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.15	(f)			
•	in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consiste with security needs? ⊠ Yes □ No			
•	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; GDC Policy 226.01, Searches, 1.d; Training Module for In-Service Training for 2017;56 2017 In-Service Training Records Documenting PREA Training (to include search procedures); Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Randomly selected staff, Randomly selected inmates, Special Category Inmates.

Observations: See below; observations made during the site visit and throughout the on-site audit period.

Policy Review: Coastal State Prison houses adult male inmates and is staffed with male and female officers providing direct supervision in the living units. Female staff are prohibited from conducting searches absent "exigent" circumstances. They are allowed to conduct "frisk" searches and have been trained to use the back of their hands in conducting a "frisk" search.

Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, prohibits cross-gender strip or visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. The reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire and interviews with staff and inmates confirmed that there have been no cross-gender strip or body cavity searches during the past twelve months.

GDC Policy 226.01, Searches, 1.d., requires that strip search of females will be conducted by female correctional officers and that males will be strip searched by male correctional officers absent exigent circumstances (escapes, riot, etc.) and only if a same gender officer is not available. Cross gender searches in exigent circumstances are required to be conducted with dignity and professionalism. Search policy requires in the event of exigent circumstances searches of the opposite gender conducted under exigent circumstances must be documented on an incident report. Pulaski State Prison is an all-female facility.

Paragraph 2. Frisk or Pat Search, requires the pat search will be conducted, when possible, by an officer of the same sex. However, male offenders may be frisk or pat searched by both male and female security staff. Instructions for conducting pat searches, including using the back of the hand and edge of the hand. Although there are no females at this facility, policy prohibits male staff from conducting pat searches of female inmates absent exigent circumstances that are documented.

Policy prohibits staff from searching a transgender inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. Staff are also required by policy to search transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner.

DOC requires facilities to implement procedures enabling inmates to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Policy requires that inmates should shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing in designated areas. Interviews with staff confirmed residents can shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without being viewed by staff.

An additional measure required by policy is for staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. Notices are prominently posted advising inmates that female staff routinely work and visit inmate housing areas. Interviewed staff, randomly selected as well as specialized staff, affirmed that staff consistently announce their presence before entering the housing area. Signs are also located in each dorm and in other areas stating the male staff routinely work these areas and that video surveillance is occurring in each dorm. During the tour the auditor did not observe cameras in any restroom area or in any cell.

Documents Review:

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that there have been no cross-gender searches, either strip, body cavity or pat searches during the reporting period. The reviewed training module for annual inservice training deals with search procedures in Paragraph C., Search Procedures. The following are required as explained in the training module: 1) Staff must conduct searches in a professional and respectful manner (and never with the intent to harass or degrade the offender); 2) Male offenders may be pat searched by both male and female security staff;3) Male offenders will only be searched by male security staff, except under exigent circumstances and are documented by an Incident Report. And 4) Transgender and intersex offenders' gender designation will coincide with the prison assignment made

during classification. Pat search techniques are then discussed and the use of the back of the hand are described for the trainee.

Staff are trained to conduct cross-gender searches in exigent circumstances. The auditor reviewed fifty-six (56) 2017 In-Service Training Records documenting PREA training, that included the search training.

Interviews:

The Coastal State Prison houses adult male offenders only. One-hundred percent (100%) of the interviewed random staff affirmed that the male residents are strip-searched by male staff, unless there were emergency situations requiring it and if no other male staff were available. These searches would require the Warden's approval and would be documented. One-hundred percent (100%) of the interviewed random staff confirmed that female staff may conduct a pat search of a male inmate. All the staff indicated they have been trained to conduct cross-gender pat searches and that this training is conducted in a variety of venues including Field Training at the facility, at Basic Correctional Officer Training (new employees), in annual in-service and through reviewing GDC Policy and in-house training, including during shift briefing. The auditor asked some of the female officers to demonstrate the techniques they were trained in and all of them demonstrated the back of the hand techniques.

Staff are trained to conduct those searches in a manner designed to lessen the chances of the staff receiving an allegation from a resident. Interviewed staff reported they have been trained to conduct cross-gender pat searches. The reviewed training module (2017) for Annual In-Service, reminds staff that security staff must conduct searches in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. Staff are instructed that female staff may conduct strip and body cavity searches of male inmates only in exigent circumstances that are documented on an incident report.

Staff indicated, in their interviews, that staff of the opposite gender announce their presence saying things like "female on the floor".

Most of the inmates affirmed that staff of the opposite gender announce their presence when entering the housing unit.

Interviews with 43 inmates representing every housing unit, including segregation confirmed they have never been strip searched by a female staff. They indicated females can conduct pat searches but most commonly it is a male conducting the search .Forty-three (43) of forty-three (43) interviewed inmates, as well as a number of informally interviewed inmates during the tour confirmed they have privacy while showering.

Observations:

Housing units at Coastal State Prison are similarly designed, with a few exceptions. Most of the living units are composed of four (4) ranges capable of housing 30 inmates each. These dorms have double occupancy cells equipped with a toilet. The pods/ranges are two tiered with showers on each tier, each separated with a wall and a shower curtain providing virtually complete privacy while showering. The open bay dorms also consist of ranges (generally four ranges) each with showers and toilets separated with walls and curtains. The toilets are separated from each other with a half-wall. There are no doors on the separate toilet stalls. Almost 100 percent of the interviewed inmates said female staff do not

come in the shower/restroom area and when conducting count will ask the offender to raise his hand or stick his head out where she can count.

Signs alerting inmates that male staff work in the facility were observed at the entrance to living units.

Too, during the site visit review, staff consistently were observed making announcements that male staff were coming into the unit.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited **English proficient**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.16 (a	a)
-----------	----

.16	6 (a)
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	effectiv	ch steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret vely, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary lized vocabulary? Yes No			
•	ensure	the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have ctual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	ensure	loes the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that insure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have mited reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	ensure	the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or ow vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.16	(b)				
•	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.16	(c)				
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? ⊠ Yes □ No				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instru	ctions 1	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies and Documents Reviewed:

Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 6; Contract with Language Line Solutions; Instructions for Accessing Language Line; and PREA Brochures in English and Spanish.

Interviews: Randomly selected staff (16); Specialized Staff (32); Randomly Selected Inmates (27); Special Category Inmates (16). State ADA Coordinator.

Observations: Posting of PREA Brochures in English and Spanish; Dialing instructions for Reporting to the PREA Unit:

Policy Review:

Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 6, Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient, requires the local PREA Compliance Manager to ensure that appropriate resources are made available to ensure the facility is providing effective communication accommodations when a need for such an accommodation is known. It also prohibits the facility from relying on inmate interpreters, readers or other types of inmate assistants except in exigent circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first response duties or the investigation of the inmate's allegation. \

Document Review:

The facility has a contract with Language Line Solutions for providing telephonic interpretive services. Additionally, the instructions for accessing Language Line are posted. They facility also provided Statewide Contract Information Sheets for a variety of translation and interpretive services. These included: Sign Language and Hearing and limited English proficiency interpreting (contact information is provided). The auditor reviewed the PREA Brochures in both Spanish and English. The agency also has an Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator. An interview with her confirmed the agency has plans in place for any contingency related to translation and interpretive services.

Observation: Disabled inmates where an ID Card that identifies hearing and/or visually impaired to alert staff if there are any problems with these inmates understanding anything or needing assistance. Some of the PREA Posters were in Spanish and the PREA brochure is in Spanish as well.

Interviews:

An interview with the Agency's ADA Coordinator indicated the agency has a variety of interpretive services available and accessible to staff. These services included not only language line but also for the hearing and visually impaired as well. On sight American Sign Language is one of the services available. The Coordinator indicated if the facility had any disabled inmates needing interpretive services that they were unable to access; they are instructed to call her and she will make those arrangements. She indicated that although the Georgia Department of Administrative Services has

issued "Statewide" Contracts for interpretive services, the turn-around time may be longer than acceptable, so the facility can contact the ADA Coordinator to expedite those services.

Interviews with twenty-seven (27) random staff, indicated they would not rely on an inmate to provide interpretive services in assisting an inmate in making an allegation of sexual abuse. Most related they would rely on a bilingual staff however when asked about access to Language Line for professional interpretive services, staff were generally aware this service was available. An interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated the information has basically been limited to shift supervisors. The auditor requested that the PREA Compliance Manager refresh staff on the availability of the Language Line Services and in the other ways residents who are disabled receive intake/orientation and how they may report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Interviews with the Director of Nursing indicated she and his staff have access to Language Line Solutions when interpretive services are needed.

One offender who was legally blind stated he received an orientation and was able to hear the PREA Video and hear staff conducting the orientation and said he can see enough as well. He was knowledgeable of how to report and named multiple ways to report. Hearing impaired inmates stated they had hearing aids and were able to hear the see the PREA Video. They both stated multiple ways they could report. The mentally challenged inmate was very verbal about what he learned during orientation and said the staff explained it to him. Two LEP inmates spoke "broken: English and again, stated they received an orientation, a brochure in Spanish, and understood the PREA Video. The PREA Video was capable of "closed caption."

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	_1	7	(a)

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement

facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No

-	with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	7 (b)
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (c)
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: perform a criminal background records check? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (e)
•	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	7 (f)
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•		he agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such induct? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
115.17	(g)	
•		he agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of ally false information, grounds for termination? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	(h)	
•	harass employ substa	he agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual ment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional yer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on ntiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is ted by law.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This standard was initially rated as Does Not Meet because the Human Resources Staff do not conduct background checks upon promotion or five- year checks. Human Resources Staff stated there has been a turn- over in staff and they were simply unaware that they needed to do them since security staff were being cleared annually.

The agency's PREA Coordinator responded by sending an email to the Warden at Coastal and the Superintendent at Coastal Transitional Center with the following corrective action:

Promotions – Run background checks on all employees that were promoted at Coastal State
Prison and Transitional Center through January 16, 2016 to current. Also required was a
directive that all employees that are considered for promotion, must have a background check
conducted prior to offering the employee a promotion.

- The PREA Compliance Manager will review the standards related to background checks (hiring and promotion decisions) and conduct a training to Human Resource Staff. The training roster must be signed by the participants and the trainer will need to be forwarded to the auditor.
- The GDC PREA Coordinator also determined that the "best practice" for background checks it to run them annually on all employees to ensure no one is overlooked. This is in response to the fact that the facility was not conducting five-year checks on all non-security staff.

Policy and Documents Review: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 7, Hiring and Promotion Decisions; GDC Applicant Verification form; Form SOP IV00312, Attachment 1), to a Criminal Background Check and a Driver History Consent; "Georgia Department of Corrections, Professional Reference Check, IV003-0001, Attachment 5; Reviewed Applicant Verification Forms; Reviewed Background checks for twenty-four (24) newly hired staff and Security Staff documenting annual background checks; Reviewed twenty (20) Contractor personnel files.

Interviews: Human Resources/Personnel Manager; Human Resources Technician; Administrative Assistant; PREA Compliance Manager, Recruiter; Warden

Observations: None that were applicable to this standard.

Policy Review: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A. Prevention Planning, Paragraph 7, Hiring and Promotion Decisions, complies with the PREA Standards. DOC does not hire or promote anyone or contract for services with anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility or other institution defined in 42USC 1997; who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent; of who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the above. Too policy requires the Department to consider incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contactor who may have contact with inmates. Prior to hiring someone, the PREA Questions (as documented on the Employee Verification Form), asking prospective applicants the three PREA Questions, is required. Criminal History Record Checks are conducted on all employees prior to hire. Security Staff in Georgia are Peace Officers Standards Trained and Certified and to maintain that certification, they are required to qualify in firearms annually. Prior to being certified, each officer is required to have another background check.

Criminal History Record Checks are conducted prior to enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates. Staff also have an affirmative duty to report and disclose any such misconduct. GDC Policy 208.06 requires in Paragraph e. that material omissions regarding misconduct or the provision of materially false information will be grounds for termination.

GDC requires applicants to disclose any disciplinary history involving substantiated allegations of sexual abuse and goes on to tell the applicant that GDC requires supporting documentation must be obtained prior to the applicant being hired.

GDC policy requires applicants to disclose any disciplinary history involving substantiated allegations of sexual abuse

Document Review:

The auditor selected and reviewed the following: Background checks for twenty-four (24) Newly Hired Staff (Security and Non-Security) documenting their initial background checks. Twenty-four (24) of twenty-four (24) examined files representing newly hired staff, contained documentation of a completed background check and fingerprint checks of all "new hires". One-hundred percent (100%) of them also contained the Applicant Verification Form documenting the applicant's responses to the required PREA related questions. The PREA Questions are documented on the GDC Form, Applicant Verification. The form affirms that the GDC must adhere to the United States Department of Justice Final Rule on the "National Standards to Prevent. Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape Under the Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards. It then asserts that GDC may not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates, residents or offenders under supervision who answer 'yes" to any of the PREA related questions. These questions were: 1) have you ever engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility or other institution? 2) Have you ever been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? And 3) Have you ever been civilly or adjudicated to have engaged in the activities described? The GDC Applicant Verification form contains an acknowledgement that the applicant understands that if they do become subject to those prohibitions in their current or subsequent positions involving contact with persons in confinement or under supervision, they have an affirmative duty to report that within 24 hours. They also are acknowledging that if they become involved in such activity, they are subject to termination and if they falsely certify their eligibility for employment they are subject to termination or disqualification for employment for this falsification.

The auditor reviewed twenty (20) Contractor personnel files. Twenty (20) of twenty (20) personnel files contained background checks and nineteen (19) PREA Acknowledgment Statements.

In addition to the PREA questions asked of applicants prior to hire and completed background checks, the Coastal State Prison HR attempts to secure information from former employees related to the applicant. The form entitled, "Georgia Department of Corrections, Professional Reference Check, IV003-0001, Attachment 5. After advising the former employer about the requirements to conduct background checks, the employer is asked to answer the following: 1) Are you aware of your employee of being involved in any allegation of sexual abuse that was found to be true or resigning during a pending investigation of any allegation of sexual abuse of sexual abuse before the investigation was finished? Multiple Professional Reference Checks were reviewed by the auditor confirming the attempt by the facility to inquire about an applicant's involvement in sexual abuse or resigning during a pending investigation. There were obviously occasions in which the organization did not return the Professional Reference Checks Form. Professional references were documented when applicable. Twenty-three (23) of twenty-four (24) files contained PREA Acknowledgment Statements.

GDC Policy 208.06, Paragraph d, requires that unless prohibited by law, the Department will provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. The Department complies with the Federal Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, and all other applicable laws, rules and regulations

If the employee violates an agency policy related to PREA, the employee will be subject to termination and prosecution. The GDC maintains, in all its facilities, a bulletin board called the "Wall of Shame" and

photos of former employees who were arrested and/or terminated for violating their oath of office, brought in contraband or who engaged in sexual misconduct with an inmate.

A letter terminating an employee for violations of the agency's sexual abuse policies was provided to the auditor.

Interviews:

Three Human Resources staff assisting the auditor confirmed the process. They had no problems showing the auditor the required information; however, they could not address five-year checks and were unaware of the requirement for five-year background checks and the requirement for background checks on promotions. An interview with the Human Resources Manager informed the auditor of the hiring process. Interestingly he related the GDC now requires, as part of the hiring process, that an applicant take an on-line "integrity test" that deals with ethical issues among other things. If the applicant passes, he/she is sent for a drug test and medical verification, according to the HR Manager. He also related security staff are required to submit electronic fingerprints. He also related the GDC has a contract with Cogent to conduct these. The HR Manager was unaware that non-security staff had to have five- year background checks.

Observations: Not applicable

Corrective Action Required:

Human resources staff were unaware they had to conduct background checks on promotions and every five years on staff who are non-security. Security staff have to be background checked every year prior to their annual weapons certification. Too, the facility, as the parent/sponsor facility for the Coastal Transitional Center were unaware they had to complete and maintain documentation of the applicant process and background checks for staff of the Transitional Center.

Remediation: The agency PREA Coordinator recommended that human resources staff are retrained in GDC Policy related to background checks. She also recommended to the facility that they conduct background checks of all staff annually rather than try to determine when five-year checks are due and to conduct them when a staff is promoted.

The Coastal State Prisons Human Resource Staff were instructed to complete background checks of all Coastal Transitional Center staff and send documentation to the auditor.

Completion of Corrective Action: The Warden of Coastal State Prison issued a memo requiring that background checks of all employees are conducted annually. Multiple communications with the Human Resources Manager confirmed the facility will conduct the checks annually and that staff are trained.

On February 15, 2018 the Human Resource Manager provided 39 background checks confirming that background checks of all employees of the Coastal Transitional Center have been completed and will be conducted annually.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prisons Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A, Prevention Planning, Paragraph 8.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager

Observations: None that were applicable to this standard.

Policy Review:

Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prisons Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, A, Prevention Planning, Paragraph 8, requires all new or existing

facility designs and modifications and upgrades of technology will include consideration of how it could enhance the Department's ability to protect inmates against sexual abuse. The PREA Coordinator must be consulted in the planning process. The Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated there were no modifications to the existing facility.

Document Review: None applicable at this time.

Interviews:

An interview with the Warden confirmed that there have been no modifications or expansions to this facility during the past 12 months or since the last audit. Cameras are limited to the "T" building, medical and the perimeter and no cameras or monitoring technology has been installed since the last PREA Audit. According to the Warden and PREA Coordinator the agency has a master plan for installing cameras in Georgia prisons however this prison is a medium custody facility and higher-level facilities will be the priorities.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21 (a	11	5	.21	(a
-----------	----	---	-----	----

•	N /
a f r	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21 ((b)
a	is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
t F c r	s this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse nvestigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21 ((c)

•	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? $oximes$ Yes \oximin No
115.21	(d)
•	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? \square Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No
•	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? $\hfill \hfill \$
115.21	(e)
•	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.21	(f)
•	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.21	(g)
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
115.21	(h)

•	• If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriatened to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examinatissues in general? [N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis central available to victims per 115.21(d) above.] ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA	
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	П	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, B. Responsive Planning; in Standard Operating Procedure 103.10 Evidence Handling and Crime Scene Processing and SOP 103.06, Investigations of Allegations of Sexual Contract, Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment of Offenders; GDC Policy VH07-001 Health Services, E., Medical Services Deemed Necessary Exempt from Fee; SANE Nurse Call Roster; Medical PREA Log; Memorandum of Understanding from the Rape Crisis Center of the Coastal Empire; Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner's Protocol; SANE Call Roster/List;

Interviews: Sexual Assault Response Team Members; Director of Nursing; PREA Compliance Manger; Mental Health Staff.

Observations: None applicable to this standard.

Policy and Document Review: DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, B. Responsive Planning, describes the agency's expectations regarding the evidence protocols and forensic examinations. Facilities are required to follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. These procedures are covered, GDCs response to sexual assault follows the US Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents" dated April 2013, or the most current version. The Department requires that upon receiving a report of a recent incident of sexual abuse, or a strong suspicion that a recent serious assault may have been sexual in nature, a physical exam of the alleged victim is performed, and the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner's protocol initiated.

GDC Policy VH07-001 Health Services, E., Medical Services Deemed Necessary Exempt from Fee, requires that medical care initiated by the facility is exempt from health care fees.

The Department has promulgated a Local Procedure Directive encompassing the procedures related to responding to victims of sexual assault and the victim is provided the opportunity for a forensic exam as soon as possible. Forensic exams are provided at no cost to the victim.

Investigations are initiated when the Sexual Assault Response Team Leader is notified of an actual or allegation of sexual assault/abuse or sexual harassment. The SART initially investigates to determine if the allegation is PREA related. If there is a sexual assault, the SART leader informs the Superintendent who (or her designee) contacts the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) Investigator who will respond to conduct the criminal investigation. OPS is the office with the legal authority and responsibility to conduct investigations of incidents the victim and requiring the alleged perpetrator not to take any actions that would degrade or eliminate potential evidence and securing the area or room where the alleged assault took place and maintaining the integrity of evidence until the OPS investigator arrived. The OPS investigator may order a forensic exam. If a forensic exam is ordered, the facility's nurse or Health Services Administrator/designee uses the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner's List and contacts them to arrange the exam. The list, entitled, "SANE Nurse Call Roster" with contact information for Satilla SANE Nurse Group was posted, provided to the auditor and reviewed. The Satilla SANE Nurses consists of four (4) registered nurses and an advocate. Upon completion of the exam the "rape kit" would be turned over to the OPS investigator. If the OPS investigator has not arrived, the SART leader secures the rape kit and initiates the chain of custody following a forensic exam.

GDC Policy also requires the PREA Compliance Manager to attempt to enter into an agreement with a rape crisis center to make available a victim advocate to inmates being evaluated for the collection of forensic evidence. It also requires an administrative or criminal investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Allegations involving potentially criminal behavior will be referred to the Office of Professional Standards (OPS).

The facility has an agreement with the Rape Crisis Center of the Coastal Empire. This agency provides a hotline for inmates to call to speak to an advocate or to report a sexual assault. Advocates would respond to the hospital emergency room to accompany an inmate through the forensic exam process and any additional investigative interviews. The services were confirmed through a telephone interview with the Crisis Center.

The facility's Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) investigates allegations of sexual assault and sexual harassment. Multiple staff are educationally and professionally qualified to serve as a qualified staff advocate. A mental health professional, licensed as a professional counselor has also taken the following courses offered by the National Institute of Corrections. 1) Behavioral Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Confinement Setting; PREA 201for Medical and Mental Health Practitioners; PREA: Your Role Responding to Sexual Abuse; Medical Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Confinement Setting; Communicating Effectively with LGBTI Inmates; PREA: Audit Process and Instrument Overview; and PREA Coordinators' Roles and Responsibilities. The PREA Compliance Manager/Deputy Warden for Care and Treatment also is a licensed professional counselor.

Interviews: Interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager and SART Members confirmed that the initial investigations conducted at this facility are conducted by the Sexual Assault Response Team Members. Upon determining that a potentially criminal act has occurred, the SART refers the investigation to the Office of Professional Standards Investigators who have arrest powers and conduct

criminal investigations. An interview with an Office of Professional Standards confirmed the investigative process as well. Once the OPS investigators become involved, the role of the SART is supportive. Interviews with the Director of Nursing confirmed that if an inmate alleges sexual assault he will be brought to medical for initial assessment. If there were serious injuries, including profuse bleeding, the inmate will be taken to the hospital for treatment and the forensic exam will be conducted at the hospital. Medical will send a rape kit along with the transporting officer. If there are no serious injuries, the inmate will be examined at the facility. The Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners will respond to the facility where the exam will be conducted.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Mu	ust Be Answered b	v the Auditor to Com	plete the Report

115.22	2 (a)
•	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.22	? (b)
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? \boxtimes Yes \square No Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? \boxtimes Yes \square No Does the agency document all such referrals? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.22	2 (c)
•	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).] \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.22	? (d)
	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy Review: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act; GDC Standard Operating Procedure, IK01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment;

Document Review: Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reviewed 34 Investigation Packages; PREA Investigation Summary; Notification of Results of Investigation; Referrals to Mental Health; PREA Initial Notification Form; GDC 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist; GDC Incident Report; NIC Certificates.

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Facility-Based Investigator; Randomly selected and special category staff; informally interviewed staff during the audit; randomly selected inmates; special category inmates (see narrative for breakdown of interviewed staff and inmates).

Discussion of Policy and Documents: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, requires that an administrative or criminal investigation is to be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Allegations that involve potentially criminal behavior will be referred for investigation to the Office of Professional Standards. If an investigation was referred to an outside entity, that entity is required to have in place a policy governing the conduct of such investigations. The local Sexual Assault Response Team is responsible for the initial inquiry and subsequent administrative investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment with limitations. In cases where allegations are made against staff members and the SART inquiry deems the allegation is unfounded or unsubstantiated by evidence of facility documentation, video monitoring systems, witness statement or other investigative means, the case can be closed at the facility level. No interviews may be conducted with a staff member nor a statement collected from the accused staff without first consulting the Regional SAC. All allegations with penetration and those with immediate and clear evidence of physical contact, are required to be reported to the Regional SAC and the Department's PREA Coordinator immediately upon receipt of the allegations. If a sexual assault is alleged and cannot be cleared at the local level, the Regional SAC determines the appropriate response upon notification. If the response is to open an official investigation, the Regional SC will dispatch an agent or investigator who has received special training in sexual abuse investigations. Evidence, direct and circumstantial,

will be collected and preserved. Evidence includes any electronic monitoring data; interviews with witnesses; prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. When the criminal investigation pertaining to an employee is over it is turned over to the Office of Professional Standards to conduct any necessary compelled administrative interviews. The credibility of a victim, suspect or witness is to be assessed on an individual basis and not determined by the person's status as offender or staff member. Offenders alleging sexual abuse will not be required to submit to a polygraph or other truth telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of the allegation. After each SART investigation all SART investigations are referred to the OPS for an administrative review.

GDC Standard Operating Procedure, IK01-0006, Investigation of Allegations of Sexual Contact, Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment, thoroughly describes the expectations for reporting allegations including initial notifications, general guidelines for investigations and investigative reports. This policy asserts that allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse and sexual harassment filed by sentenced offenders against departmental employees, contactors, vendors or volunteers be reported, fully investigated and otherwise treated in a confidential and serious manner. Staff are required to cooperate with the investigation and GDC policy is to ensure that investigations are conducted in such a manner as to avoid threats, intimidation or future misconduct. Policy requires "as soon as an incident of, sexual contact, sexual abuse or sexual harassment (including rumors, inmate talk, kissing etc.) comes to the attention of a staff member, the staff member is required to immediately inform the Warden/Superintendent, and/or the Institutional Duty Officer, and/or the Office of Professional Standards Unit verbally and follow up with a written report. Failure to report allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse or sexual harassment may result in disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal.

This policy also affirms the "Internal Investigations Unit" (now Office of Professional Standards) will investigate allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse, sexual harassment by employees, contractors, volunteers, or vendors. The investigations may include video or audio recorded interviews and written statements from victims, alleged perpetrator and any witnesses as well as all other parties with knowledge of any alleged incident; as well as known documents, photos or physical evidence.

Policy requires investigations to continue whether the alleged victim refuses to cooperate with the investigator and whether another investigation is being conducted and also even if the employee resigns during an investigation. The time limit for completing investigations is 45 days from the assignment of the case.

Facility-based investigations are conducted by a team of staff including a staff whose primary responsibility is to investigate, a staff whose primary role is mental health/staff advocate, and a medical staff. Upon receiving the complaint, the investigator initiates the investigation process.

The reviewed investigation packages consistently contained the following:

- 1) PREA Investigation Summary
- 2) Notification of Results of Investigation
- 3) Referrals to Mental Health
- 4) GDC 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist
- 5) Notes Confirming Retaliation Monitoring on the Retaliation Monitoring Forms
- 6) GDC Incident Report
- 7) Counseling Witness Statement

The 34 reviewed investigation packages documented allegations being reported in a number of ways. These included Fifteen (15) calls/emails to the hotline identifying the callers; Seven (7) anonymous reports to the PREA Hotline; Four (4) came via statements; One (1) by a letter to Victim Services; and One (1) made to the Tip Line and Four (4) to Staff. It was interesting to the auditor that about four (4) inmates chose to make their report to the Lieutenant, who also serves as the facility-based investigator. This indicated that reports made in a variety of ways are investigated just as any other report. The anonymous reports demonstrated efforts to identify the caller for information and included interviewing other inmates in a particular dormitory.

The agency's investigation policy is provided via the agency website and third parties are provided information on how to report any PREA related allegation or complaint on line. Third parties may also report via the Fraud and Abuse Hotline, with contact information provided on the website as well.

Discussion of Interviews:

An interview with the Warden confirmed he expects and requires staff to report all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. He related the Sexual Assault Response Team will initiate the investigation and once it becomes apparent the incident is potentially criminal, he related the case is referred to the Office of Professional Standards PREA Investigator.

An interview with the facility- based investigator confirmed he has completed the on-line NIC Specialized Training: PREA: Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in Confinement Settings. He described the SART's investigative process and responsibilities and indicated that when a sexual assault is substantiated, the case if referred to Office of Professional Standards (OPS) investigators. The lieutenant also related if the incident involves a staff member, OPS takes over the investigation. In describing the investigative process, he explained he would look in SCRIBE to see the history of both victim and alleged abuser. He stated he will talk with the victim, making them comfortable, to ask about the who, what, when, where and asks about any witnesses. The same is done with the alleged abuser. He related he would talk with all the witnesses, secure statements and take pictures. Pictures of evidence collected in one of the investigations was included in the investigation package along with the chain of custody documents. After this is done, the investigator related SART meets to discuss the investigation, pointing out that mental health would have already met with them.

The auditor conducted previous interviews with an Office of Professional Standards (OPS) investigator and an on-site interview with a facility based Sexual Assault Response Team Investigator. The OPS Investigator, who has had extensive investigating experience as a former law enforcement officer and Chief of Police. The Office of Professional Standards investigators have arrest powers and handle those cases that appear to be criminal in nature. He related that once an allegation is made, the Regional Officer Staff is notified, after which it goes to the Special Agent In-Charge who assigns the case to a Special Agent and notifies OPS Investigations. He described his role in ensuring the scene is secured, interviewing the victim, staff, witnesses, reviewing videos and getting medical records. He related if an employee involved in an allegation of sexual abuse resigned or terminated his/her employment prior to the conclusion of an investigation, the investigation would continue. Too, if an inmate who is an allege abuser is transferred to another facility or terminated of otherwise discharged from the program, the investigation, according to the investigators would continue.

Randomly selected staff, staff informally interviewed during the tour, and specialized staff stated consistently they were required to report all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, including

suspicions, reports, knowledge or allegations. They said they are required to report immediately to their immediate supervisor and when asked about having to document the report they indicated they would be required to complete a written statemen or an incident report completed prior to the end of their shift. Also, when asked, they confirmed they also would accept any report from any source and treat it seriously, reporting it just as any other report or allegation. Staff were aware that the SART will initially investigate all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Forty-three (43) interviewed residents, including those randomly selected, specialized as well as inmates informally interviewed during the site review and during the on-site audit period.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.31	(a)
--------	-----

	· /
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	commi	he agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to unicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, ender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
•	releva	he agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with nt laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? \Box No	
115.31	(b)		
•	Is such	n training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ No	
•		employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male as to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.31	(c)		
•		all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? \Box No	
•	all emp	he agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that ployees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and dures? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
•	-	rs in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide her information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.31	(d)		
•		he agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that yees understand the training they have received? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
	- 4 ! 4	tan Ossandi Ossandian as Datamaka dian Namatka	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Document Review: Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education; Reviewed (56) 2017 In-Service Training Records documenting PREA Training; Reviewed the Training Lesson Plan for PREA for Annual-In-Service; Reviewed 24 personnel files containing PREA Acknowledgment Statements.

Interviews: Field Training Officer; (16) Randomly selected staff, (32) Special category staff; (23) Informally interviewed staff during the on-site review and during the on-site audit.

Observations: None applicable for this audit.

Discussion of Policies and Documents:

Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, requires annual training that includes the following: The Department's zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting and response policies and procedures, inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse, how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates, how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual transgender, intersex or gender non-conforming inmates; how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. New employees receive PREA Training during Pre-Service Orientation. Staff also receive annual in-service training that includes a segment on PREA. In-service training considers the gender of the inmate population.

The facility provided the training curriculum/lesson plan for annual in-service 2017, covering the topics required by the PREA Standards and more.

The auditor reviewed 56, 2017 Annual In-Service Training Records documenting staff completing Day 1 of annual in-service training. Day 1 is the day for PREA training. Twenty-three (23) of four (24) reviewed personnel files contained PREA Acknowledgment Statements also indicating staff were trained and that they understood the agency's zero tolerance policy and PREA. These statements affirm the employee has received training on the Department's Zero Tolerance Policy on Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment and that they have read the GDC Standard Operating Procedure 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. They also affirm they understand that any violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination, or that they will be banned from entering any GDC institution. Penalties for engaging in sexual contact with an offender commit sexual assault, which is a felony punishable by imprisonment of not less than one nor more, than 25 years, a fine of \$100,000 or both.

All staff are required to have completed the National Institute of Corrections On-Line Training entitled: Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Inmates. Every interviewed staff (42) related that in addition to annual in-service and Basic Correctional Officers Training they took the on-line NIC training "Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Inmates.

Some staff have taken the initiative to go beyond the required training. For example: a mental health staff completed the following NIC training: 1) PREA for Community Confinement; 2) PREA: Your Role

Responding to Sexual Abuse; Medical Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Confinement Setting; PREA 201 for Medical and Mental Health Practitioners; Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders; Behavioral Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Confinement Setting.

PREA Compliance Managers attend training at least twice a year. The Sexual Assault Response Team receives training on their roles in responding to allegations of sexual abuse at least twice or more a year. Specialized training is completed by SART members and medical staff.

PREA Related posters are prolific and posted in numerous locations throughout this> PREA related information is painted on the walls to prevent destruction.

Interviews:

Interviews with sixteen (16) random staff and thirty-two (32) special category staff confirmed they receive PREA Training annually during annual in-service training. They also stated that as newly hired employees they received PREA Training at the facility prior to Basic Correctional Officers Training and also at Basic Correctional Officers Training. The auditor interviewed ten (10) cadets (Correctional Officers in facility-based training awaiting Basic Correctional Officers Training). Every one of the ten cadets stated they have already been initially trained in PREA and were able to articulate what it is; zero tolerance and how to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff, both during formal and informal interviews, easily discussed their understanding of zero tolerance, their responsibility to accept and report all allegations, regardless of how they received them and to report them immediately to their shift supervisor, their roles and responsibilities as first responders, and actions to take if an inmate told them they were at risk for imminent sexual abuse. They also said they receive it during shift briefings and through emails and communications from the PREA Compliance Manager. Staff stated that security staff attend Basic Correctional Officer Training, for newly hired Correctional Officers and that there is a block of instruction on PREA. Twenty-three (23) staff who were informally interviewed during the site review were aware of the zero- tolerance policy and how to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. They also related they receive PREA training annually during annual in-service training.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

■ Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?

Yes □ No

115.32 (b)

 Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and

		ctors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with es)? $oxedsymbol{\boxtimes}$ Yes $oxedsymbol{\square}$ No
115.32	2 (c)	
•		the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors stand the training they have received? $oximes$ Yes \oximes No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 3, Volunteer and Contractor Training; memo from the GDC Transitional Services Coordinator; twenty-five (25) PREA Acknowledgement Statements.

Interviews: Volunteer Coordinator; Contracted Employees, Warden; PREA Compliance Manager

Observations: There were no volunteer activities during the on-site audit period.

Discussion of Policies and Documents that were reviewed: DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 3, Volunteer and Contractor Training, requires all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates to be trained on their responsibilities under the Department's PREA policies and procedures. This training is based on the services being provided and the level of contact with inmates, however all volunteers and contractors are required to be notified of the Department's zero-tolerance policy and informed how to report such incidents. Participation must be documented and indicates understanding the training they received.

A memo from the GDC Transitional Services Coordinator explained to Wardens that volunteer who participate in the volunteer training at Tift receive initial PREA training and have a background check completed. Documentation of the training is submitted to the Deputy Warden of Care and Treatment. In the training, the Coordinator, asserted volunteer training includes: 1) zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 2) How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual and sexual

harassment prevention, detection, reporting and response policies and procedures; 3) Inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement; 4) The right of inmates to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement; 6) The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims;7) How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse; 8) How to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates; and 9) How to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates. The trainer indicated they use the Power Point presentation provided by the agency PREA Coordinator. Regional Training is now being provided

The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with the residents. All volunteers and contractors who have contact with offenders are notified of the Department's Zero Tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed on how to report such incidents. Documentation of that training is on the Contractor/Volunteer Acknowledgment Statement.

The auditor reviewed twenty-five PREA Acknowledgement Statements. The GDC Acknowledgment Statements are for supervised visitors/contractors/volunteers. It acknowledges that they understand the agency has a zero-tolerance policy prohibiting visitors, contractors, and volunteers from having sexual contact of any nature with offenders. They agree not to engage in sexual contact with any offender while visiting a correctional institution and it they witnessed another having sexual contact with an offender or if someone reported it to the contractor/volunteer he/she agrees to report it to a corrections employee. They acknowledge, as well, the disciplinary action, including the possibility for criminal prosecution, if they violate the agreement. The Acknowledgment Statement for Unsupervised Contractors and Volunteers acknowledges training on the zero-tolerance policy and that they have read the agency's PREA Policy (208.06). They acknowledge they are not to engage in any behavior of a sexual nature with an offender and to report to a nearby supervisor if they witness such contact or if someone reports such conduct to the them. They acknowledge the potential disciplinary actions and/or consequences for violating policy.

Additionally, the auditor reviewed seven (7) volunteer packages including the signed PREA Acknowledgement Statement; an Employee Standards of Conduct Acknowledgment Statement; documentation of a completed background check; and the Training Agenda Form including Item #4, PREA.

Discussion of Interviews:

An interview with the Volunteer Coordinator, indicated that potential volunteers must be processed and certified through one of the regional offices, Leesburg, Atlanta and Reidsville. The Volunteer Coordinator enters a training request date on the agency volunteer training website and the volunteer has a completed background check conducted by the regional office followed by their training. The Statewide Volunteer Coordinator conducts the training of all volunteers, according to the Chaplain. The purpose of this is to ensure the information provided to potential volunteers is consistent. Upon completing the background check and training the volunteer is issued an identification badge that enables the volunteer to enter the facility. The information is then sent back to the facility Volunteer Coordinator. To renew the badge, which is required annually, the volunteer must undergo another background check. The auditor interviewed a volunteer who provided religious services. The volunteer

confirmed the application process; background check process; and acknowledged his orientation training that included zero tolerance, how to report and the obligation to report to the nearest correctional officer any allegations or reports or observations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

ΑII	II Yes/No Questions Must be Ans	wered by the Aud	ditor to Complete t	ne Keport
111	15 33 (a)			

115.33	(a)
•	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.33	(b)
•	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.33	(c)
•	Have all inmates received such education? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.33	(d)
•	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those

who are deaf? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•		he agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those revisually impaired? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
•		he agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re otherwise disabled? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No	
•		he agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those ave limited reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.33	(e)		
•		he agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? \Box No	
115.33 (f)			
•	continu	ition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is uously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or written formats? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 4, Offender Education; GDC PREA pamphlet; Forty (50) Prison Rape Elimination Act Orientation Video Acknowledgment Statements and forty (50) Orientation Checklists; PREA Acknowledgement Sheet (Acknowledging receipt of the PREA Brochure during intake); Posters throughout the facility; PREA related information painted on walls; PREA Acknowledgment sheet (documenting receipt of PREA Brochure/Pamphlet on

Interviews: One (1) Staff conducting intake; One (1) staff conducting orientation (inmate education); PREA Compliance Manager; Twenty-seven (27) randomly selected inmates from every housing unit; Sixteen (16) special category inmates; and Inmates Informally Interviewed.

Policy and Documents Findings: DOC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 4, Offender Education, requires notification of the GDC Zero-Tolerance Policy for Sexual Abuse and Harassment and information on how to report an allegation at the receiving facility. This is required to be provided to every resident upon arrival at the facility. It also requires that in addition to verbal notification, offenders are required to be provided a GDC PREA pamphlet.

Within 15 days of arrival, the policy, requires inmates receive PREA education. The education must be conducted by assigned staff members to all inmates and includes the gender appropriate "Speaking Up" video on sexual abuse.

The initial notification and the education are documented in writing by signature of the inmate.

In the case of exigent circumstances, the training may be delayed, but no more than 30 days, until such time is appropriate for delivery (i.e. Tier Program, medical issues etc.). This education is documented in the same manner as for offenders who participated during the regularly scheduled orientation.

The PREA Education must include: 1) The Department's zero-tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 2) Definitions of sexually abusive behavior and sexual harassment; 3) Prevention strategies the offender can take to minimize his/her risk of sexual victimization while in Department Custody; 4) Methods of reporting; 5) Treatment options and programs available to offender victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 6) Monitoring, discipline, and prosecution of sexual perpetrators: 7) and Notice that male and female routinely work and visit housing area.

PREA Education is required to be provided in formats, accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as those with limited reading skills.

Education, according to GDC policy requires the facility to maintain documentation of offender participation in education sessions in the offender's institutional file. In each housing unit, policy requires that the following are posted in each housing unit: a) Notice of Male and Female Staff routinely working and visiting housing areas; b) A poster reflecting the Department's zero-tolerance (must be posted in common areas, as well, throughout the facility, including entry, visitation, and staff areas.

Residents confirm their orientation on several documents

- 1) Acknowledgment of having received the PREA Orientation (to include the PREA Video on sexual assault and sexual harassment.
- 2) Offender Orientation Checklist (documenting Sexual Abuse and Harassment and Viewed the PREA Video)

The inmate signs a PREA Acknowledgment and initials the Orientation Checklist affirming they viewed the PREA Video, they understood it and that they had the opportunity to ask questions. By signing the Video Acnowledgment, inmates affirm that they have viewed and understood the video on PREA. The form beiefly tells them if they need to make a report to dial "PREA" (7732)or report to a staff member. It also tells the inmate to speak to a case manager or other staff if they have further questions. Inmates acknowledge on the Offender Orientation Checklist the following: 1) Classification, Disciplinary and Grievance Process; 2) Inmate Handbook; 3) Review of Rules, Regulations and Departmental Procedures; 4) How to access counselors, sick call etc.; and 5) PREA Video. Inmates also

acknowledge, by signature, that they received the formal orientaiton and were given the opportunity to ask questions and that they understand they will be accountable for any violations.

Residents are provided PREA information on a continuous basis through posters reflecting the Department's zero tolerance for sexual abuse and harassment and contact information for inmate reporting of sexual abuse allegations. Too, the facility has PREA information painted on the walls in vivid colors and continuously in view of inmates and staff.

During the intake process inmates are exposed to the streaming PREA video and are given a PREA brochure and told about the zero- tolerance policy and how to report allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation. After receiving the PREA related brochure, the inmates signs the PREA Acknowledgment Sheet documenting receipt of the brochure.

Fifty (50) Prison Rape Elimination Act Orientation Video Acknowledgment Statements and fifty (50) Orientation Checklists, confirming once again that the inmate received the PREA education, were reviewed. The Acknowledgment Statements documented that the inmate viewed the PREA Video and that the inmate understands how to report. The auditor reviewed fifty (50) Counseling Orientation Checkslists confirming receipt of the PREA Information including receipt of the inmate handbook. The handbook is located on the inmate's tablet and KIOSK and accessible to the inmate at any time.

Interviews: The staff responsible for providing the initial PREA information to inmates upon arrival at the facility and upon transfer from another facility or program is an intake Correctional Officer. The intake staff as well as the ID staff stated arriving inmates view a streaming PREA Video while they await processing into the prison. In an interview, staff related they give the inmates the PREA brochure and allows them to ask questions. he PREA brochure contains information about the agency's zero tolerance policy and provides inmates multiple ways to report. This information is provided on admission and just prior to the victimization/aggressor assessment. Inmates sign a PREA Acknowledgement Sheet documenting receipt of the PREA brochure.

The Georgia Department of Corrections, Coastal State Prison Behavioral Counselor, stated, in an interview, that orientation is conducted on Wednesday for inmates arriving on Tues and on Friday for inmates arriving on Thursday. Staff related inmates watch the PREA Video and are not allowed to be doing paperwork or other activities while viewing the video (which all of the inmates said they have seen multiple times in multiple facilities in the Georgia Corrections System. The counselor related she goes over the PREA brochure with the inmates and reads to them about Zero Tolerance and how to report it if they see it or know about it.

Most of the 43 interviewed inmates stated they received information about the facility's rules against sexual abuse on admission. Some of the inmates had been incarcerated for 10-30 years. These indicated they have seen the PREA Video many times as they transferred around the system. Inmates stated they were given a PREA "pamphlet" when at intake when they arrived and told there is zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report it. Most of them confirmed they watched a PREA Video that was playing while they were awaiting processing. The interviewed inmates stated staff explained "things" to them and that they were provided PREA Information through the video, the PREA brochure, and through posters throughout the facility. They also stated the counselor explained information to them instead of telling them just to read it.

Interviews with two hard of hearing inmates and one visually impaired inmate and one limited English proficient inmate confirmed they had fully understood their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that they understood the PREA information they were given during intake and orientation

Observations: The auditor observed the intake process on the third day of the PREA Audit. A PREA Video was streaming on the TV monitor in the intake area where inmates sit while waiting to be processed. They were also given a PREA brochure and signed the PREA Acknowledgment Sheet. Informal interviews with inmates sitting or standing in the intake area indicated they were given a pamphlet about PREA and told how to report it. The auditor did not observe the orientation process however inmates described the information they received and how they received it.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.34 (a)

•	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.34	l (b)
•	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

115.34 (c)

 Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does

		nduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \square No \square NA
115.34	l (d)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 5. Specialized Training Investigations; Certificates documenting specialized training provided by the National Institute of Corrections: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings.

Interviews: Facility-Based Investigator; Office of Professional Standards Investigator

Observations: N/A

Discussion of Policies and Documents: DOC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 5. Specialized Training Investigations, requires the Office of Professional Standards to ensure all investigators are appropriately trained in conducting investigations in confinement settings. That training includes techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity Warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. The Department is required to maintain documentation of that training.

In GDC Facilities, the Sexual Assault Response Team is charged with conducting the initial investigation into issues related to PREA. Their role is to determine if the allegation is indeed PREA related. If the allegation appears to be criminal in nature, the Office of Professional Standards investigators will conduct the investigation with support from the SART.

The facility investigator completed the online NIC course: PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings. A certificate was provided to confirm that training.

Discussion of interviews: The facility-based investigator is a Lieutenant. He indicated during an interview that he has completed the NIC Specialized Training for conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. He related he had also completed the online training entitled: Communicating Effectively with LGBTI Inmates, also provided on-line by the National Institute of Corrections. Further training, he said is secured through trainings for Sexual Assault Response Teams, which covers investigations as well. The Lieutenant was very knowledgeable of the investigative process and described the steps he would take in conducting the investigations. Interestingly, some of the interviewed inmates stated they trusted the investigator and in reviewing the investigation reports, the auditor saw that four (4) allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment were reported to the investigator by the inmate.

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.35	(a)		
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.35 (b)			
•	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
115.35	(c)		
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have		

⊠ Yes □ No

received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere?

115.35 (d)

•	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training
	mandated for employees by §115.31? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency
	also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Pre-Audit Questionnaire, Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 6, Specialized Training: Medical and Mental Health Care; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; National Institute of Corrections Certificates documenting specialized training: Medical Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in Confinement Settings; (6) Behavioral Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings;

Interviews: Director of Nursing, PREA Compliance Manager, Warden, Chief Counselor, Licensed Professional Counselor

Observations: None applicable to this standard.

Discussions of Policy and Documents: The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented 100% of the mental health and medical staff completing the required specialized training. Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, C. Training and Education, Paragraph 6, Specialized Training: Medical and Mental Health Care, requires the GDC medical and mental health staff and GCHG staff are trained using the NIC Specialized Training PREA Medical and MH Standards curriculum. Certificates of Completion are required to be printed and maintained in the employee training file. Staff also must complete GDC's annual PREA in-service training.

An interview with the Director of Nursing indicated the following constitutes the medical staffing at Coastal State Prison:

- Medical Director
- Health Services Administrator
- One (1) Director of Nursing
- Physician
- Clinical Practitioner
- Director f Nursing
- One (1) Assistant Director of Nursing
- Twelve (12) RNs
- Two (2) Mental Health RN
- Three CNAs
- Radiology Tech
- Nurse Pharmacy Tech

Medical staff are on-site 24/7 and there is an infirmary with 14 beds.

The nurses at this facility do not conduct forensic examinations. The agency has contracts with Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners who would come to the facility to conduct the exam. The facility provided the List of SANEs, which documents the contact information for the SANES. If an inmate required care beyond the scope of the Prison Medical Unit, the inmate will be transported to a hospital in Savannah, Georgia, most likely Memorial Hospital.

The auditor requested and received a list of mental health staff at the facility as well as the NIC Certificates to document the specialized training. That information was provided expeditiously and confirmed the staff completed the specialized training.

Certificates documenting completion of the Specialized Training provided by the National Institute of Corrections were provided when requested.

Discussion of Interviews:

The Director of Nursing (DON) indicated that all medical staff have completed the on-line NIC Specialized Training, Medical/Healthcare for Victims of Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings. Additionally; staff, according to the Director of Nursing, attend specialized training in the Nursing Protocols every quarter. Staff also complete the annual in-service training provided by the Georgia Department of Corrections. Staff also complete the NIC on-line training, Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders, according to the DON.

An interview with a Licensed Professional Counselor indicated mental health staff complete the on-line NIC Specialized Training as well as Mental Health Specialized Training provided by the GDC at Forsyth, the GDC Training Academy, as well as training in Sexual Assault Trauma. To maintain her license. she indicated she has to complete 35 Continuing Education Units. She also stated she completes the annual in-service PREA training and that all mental health staff have to complete the NIC on-line training, Communicating Effectively and Professionally with LGBTI Offenders. Certificates documenting the specialized training were asked for and provided.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41	(a)
•	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(b)
•	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(c)
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ☑ Yes □ No
115.41	(d)
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(e)
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(f)
•	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(g)
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•		the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Request? \Box No
•		the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual ? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	inform	the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional ation that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? \Box No
115.41	(h)	
•	comple	e case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing ete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), or (d)(9) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(i)	
•	respor	be agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of asses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive ation is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? \square Yes \square No
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 1. Screening for victimization and abusiveness; GDC Policy 208.06, Attachment 4; Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program in paragraph 9; (47) Victim/Aggressor Instruments; Victim/Aggressor Reassessment Instrument; Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Licensed Professional Mental Health Counselor; Master of Social Work (MSW) mental health staff who conducts victim/aggressor assessments; Mental Health Counselor who conducts

mental health; ID Staff and Classifications Staff who make housing assignments; Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; Interviewed inmates (43)

Testing Processes/Observation: Observed the victim/aggressor assessment process conducted with incoming inmates; Observed the mental health assessment process during intake.

Policy and Documents Review: Department of Corrections Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 1. Screening for victimization and abusiveness, requires all inmates be assessed during intake screening and upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates.

This instrument, the Victim/Aggressor Classification Instrument, is administered by a counselor, within 72 hours of arrival at the facility. Information from the screening will be used to inform housing, bed assignment, work, education and program assignments. Policy requires that outcome of the screening is documented in SCRIBE.

The Offender PREA Classification Details considers all the following sexual victim factors:

- Offender is a former victim of institutional rape or sexual assault
- Offender is 25 years old or younger or 60 years or older
- Offender is small in physical stature
- Offender has a developmental disability/mental illness/physical disability
- Offender's first incarceration
- Offender is perceived to be gay/lesbian/bisexual transgender/intersex or gender non-conforming
- Offender has a history of prior sexual victimization
- Offender's own perception is that of being vulnerable
- Offender has a criminal history that is exclusively non-violent
- Offender has a conviction(s) for sex offense against adult and/or child?

If question #1 is answered yes, the offender will be classified as a Victim regardless of the other questions. This generates the PREA Victim icon on the SCRIBE Offender Page. If three (3) or more of questions (2-10) are checked, the offender will be classified as a Potential Victim. This will generate the PREA Potential Victim icon on the SCRIBE offender page.

The Offender PREA Classification Detail considers the following Sexual Aggressor Factors:

- Offender has a past history of institutional (prison or jail) sexually aggressive behavior
- Offender has a history of sexual abuse or sexual assault toward others (adult or child)
- Offender's current offense is sexual abuse/sexual assault toward others (adult or child)
- Offender has a prior conviction(s) for violent offenses

If questions #1 is answered yes, the inmate will be classified as a Sexual Aggressor regardless of the other questions. This will generate the PREA Aggressor icon on the SCRIBE Offender page. If two (2) or more of questions (2-4) are checked, the offender will be classified as a Potential Aggressor. This will generate the PREA Potential Aggressor icon on the SCRIBE Offender page.

GDC Policy 208.06, Attachment 4 also states in situations where the instrument classifies the offender as both Victim and Aggressor counselors are instructed to thoroughly review the offender's history to determine which rating will drive the offender's housing, programming, etc. This also is required to be

documented in the offender SCRIBE case notes, with an alert note indicating which the controlling rating is.

Staff are required to encourage residents to respond to the questions in order to better protect them, but staff are prohibited from disciplining them for not answering any of the questions. The screening process considers minimally, the following criteria to assess inmate's risk of sexual victimization: Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; the age of the inmate; the physical build of the inmate; whether the inmate has been previously incarcerated; whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent; whether the inmate has prior conviction for sex offenses against an adult or child; whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming; whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; the inmate's own perception of vulnerability and whether the inmate is detained soley for civil immigration purposes. It also considers prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse, as known by the Department, Other factors considered are: physical appearance, demeanor, special situations or special needs, social inadequacy and developmental disabilities.

The auditor reviewed 47 Victim/Aggressor Assessments. These were documented and put into SCRIBE as required. The instrument used was the GDC's Victim/Aggressor Survey.

Policy requires offenders whose risk screening indicates a risk for victimization or abusiveness is required to be reassessed when warranted and within 30 days of arrival at the facility based up on any additional information and when warranted due to a referral, report or incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

Policy requires that any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness, including the information entered into the comment section of the Intake Screening Form, is limited to a need-to-know basis for staff, only for the purpose of treatment and security and management decisions, such as housing and cell assignments, as well as work, education and programming assignments.

The information from the risk screening is required to be used to determine housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive.

Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program in paragraph 9, requires the Warden to designate a safe dorm or safe beds for offenders identified as highly vulnerable to sexual abuse. The location of these safe beds must be identified in the Local Procedure Directive, Attachment 9 and the Staffing Plan. The facility has designated a dorm to serve as a safe dorm, housing potential or actual victim of sexual assault. The Coastal State Prison will make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each offender.

In making housing assignments for transgender or intersex offenders, the Department will consider on a case-by -case basis, whether a placement would ensure the offender's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. Also, in compliance with the PREA Standards, placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex offender will be reassessed at least twice a year to review any threats to safety experienced by the offender.

Policy also requires that offenders who are at high risk for sexual victimization will not be placed in involuntary segregation unless an assessment of all available alternatives have been made, and

determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the offender may be held in involuntary segregation no more than 24 hours while completing the assessment. The placement, including the concern for the offender's safety must be noted in SCRIBE case notes documenting the concern for the offender's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Inmates would receive services in accordance with SOP 209-06, Administrative Segregation. The facility will assign residents to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. The assignment will not ordinarily exceed thirty days.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with three (3) staff (assigned to mental health) who conduct the risk screening indicated that once a resident arrives, the victimization/aggressor assessment is conducted during the intake process. Staff stated that when the inmate gets off the bus staff have 72 hours to conduct the assessment but that they do so immediately because of housing concerns. They immediately enter it into SCRIBE where it is then accessible to ID Staff, who make housing assignments. Staff also related that while the questions are being asked, the assessment staff are looking into SCRIBE to verify information being provided by the offender. She also related that staff do not do a reassessment using the victim/aggressor instrument again after 30 days but that they do do another one anytime an offender comes back from a doctor's appointment or other absence from the facility for a period of time. Another staff conducting the assessment affirmed the assessments are completed the same day the offender is admitted to the facility. This staff identified the things the assessment considers, including size, history of abuse or victimization, mental disability, first time in prison, age, history of violent crime, how they present, sexual identity and feelings related to vulnerability. Lastly, a staff person, who is a mental health staff (Masters of Social Work), related that every inmate is assessed on admission, that the standard assessment tool is used, and that it the responses are documented in SCRIBE. Information is corroborated through looking into SCRIBE. She indicated that the results are documented on a hard copy initially and later put it into SCRIBE and if the offender scores out as a potential victim or aggressor a "flag" icon appears on the inmate's' SCRIBE page. If the assessment has not been put into SCRIBE and an offender scores out as either victim or aggressor, the MH Counselor conducting the assessment lets classification know so they will know how to house the offender. Staff also explained that every inmate gets a mental health assessment unless a recent one was done at another facility.

One staff meets the inmates as they arrive and are being processed into the prison. Inmates are called into a private office (with windows for observation into the office) where they are met by a mental health counselor who introduces themselves; explains the process and then professionally asks the inmate the questions. The office at Intake where the assessment is administered affords privacy as every inmate is called into the office. The office has a window in the door and two windows along the wall, enabling viewing.

Inmates are taken into a room in intake to afford a degree of privacy where the staff asks relevant PREA Questions. The remaining PREA issues on the instrument are assessed by review of the information on the inmate contained in SCRIBE. The purpose of going into SCRIBE is to get accurate information.

Staff use the GDC Form PREA Sexual Victim/Sexual Aggressor Classification Screening and the questions are asked orally. The staff stated they cannot require an inmate to answer any of the questions on the assessment nor can residents be disciplined for not doing so. The screening form considers things such as: 1) Prior victimization, 2) Weight, 3) Age, 4) Body type, 5) Disability, 6) Mental

issues, 7) First incarceration or not, 8) Criminal history that is non-violent, 9) Sexual offenses, 10) Sexual abuse against adults, children etc., 11) Current offense, and 12) Prior convictions for violence. Staff also related that instead of stature the department instruments populate information in the system to assign a score for body mass index.

If an inmate endorses the 1st question regarding being a victim previously in an institutional setting, the resident is identified as a Risk for Victimization. If a resident endorses the first question on the abusive scale he is designated as at Risk for Abusiveness. She also informed the auditor the scores that would result in a designation of being a potential victim or abuser.

Reassessments, according to staff, are not routinely completed. The Mental Health Director indicated the facility's understanding of the policy is that a reassessment will be conducted only when a significant event occurs, including a PREA incident. The GDC assessment instrument is not used to document reassessments.

After consulting with the agency's PREA Coordinator and Assistant PREA Coordinator the interpretation is that a reassessment is required for all inmates not later than 30 days following admission. Staff were advised of this during the exit conference and a corrective action plan developed. The facility provided samples (30) of reassessments confirming that reassessments are now being done in compliance with policy.

The majority of the 43 inmates who were interviewed, stated they were asked the questions from the assessment including: 1) were you in jail or prison previously? 2) were you sexually abused previously 3) do you identify yourself as gay, bisexual or transgender? and 4) do you feel like you will be a victim of sexual abuse while in this facility? These responses indicated they were administered the Victim/Aggressor assessment.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.4	2 (a	١
-------	------	---

•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of

keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk

of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(b)
•	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(c)
•	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(d)
•	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(e)
•	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(f)
•	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.42	(g)
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a

consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing:

		n, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of dentification or status? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ No
•	conser bisexua transge	placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a set that decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, al, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: ender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such cation or status? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	conser bisexua interse	placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a not decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, al, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: x inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification us? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy 208.6, D. Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 2. Use of Screening Information; Reviewed assessments (20); Reviewed reassessment (20); Memo from Warden designating safe housing; Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Mental Health Licensed Professional Counselor; ID Staff; Classification Staff; Warden; Deputy Warden

Testing of Processes: Observed the assessment process being conducted with newly admitted inmates; Observed the ID process for ensuring victims and aggressors are not housed in the same cells.

Policy and Documents Review: DOC Policy 208.6, D. Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, Paragraph 2. Use of Screening Information, requires that information from the risk screening is used to inform housing, bed, work, education and program assignments, the goal of which is to keep separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk for being sexually abusive. Wardens and Superintendents are required to designate a safe dorm (s) for

those inmates (residents) identified as vulnerable to sexual abuse. Facilities will make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate. In the event the facility had a transgender inmate, the Department requires the facility to consider on a case by case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. Placement and program assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate is to be reassessed at least twice a year.

Policy also requires that inmates at high risk for sexual victimization will not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives have been made and there is no alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be made immediately the offender may be held in involuntary segregation for no more than 24 hours while completing the assessment. The placement and justifications for placement in involuntary segregation must be noted in SCRIBE. While in any involuntary segregation, the offender will have access to programs as described in GDC SOP 209.06, Administrative Segregation which also provides for reassessments as well and the offender will be kept in involuntary segregated housing for protection only until a suitable and safe alternative is identified

A memo from the Warden to all staff, in May 1, 2017, designated Dorm G-2 as a "safe dorm" for inmates who have been identified as being at risk of possible sexual victimization. This same memo, designates J-2, Administrative Segregation Unit to house alleged perpetrator during initial investigation period. Too, based on investigation findings and/or recommendations, Inmate Affairs and the PREA Coordinator, inmates may be transferred to alternate facilities.

Discussion of Interviews: Mental Health Staff and the ID Officer described the housing assignment process to ensure victims are not housed in cells with potential aggressors. ID maintains a board of all inmates housed at the prison. An ID card is placed on each cell assignment. Color coded dots document whether an inmate has been identified as a victim/potential victim or a predator/potential predator or aggressor. The classification committee meets to decide housing changes, programs and work assignments and uses the victim aggressor information as well as the inmate's history on SCRIBE to determine these.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.43 (a)

made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means separation from likely abusers? \boxtimes Yes \square No	,
---	---

•	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in
	involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?
	⊠ Yes □ No

115.43 (b)

■ Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? ☑ Yes □ No	
■ Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? ⊠ Yes □ No	
■ Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? ⊠ Yes □ No	
■ Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? ⊠ Yes □ No	
If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The opportunities that have been limited? ⋈ Yes □ No	ıe
If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The duration of the limitation? ⊠ Yes □ No	ne
If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The reasons for such limitations? ☑ Yes □ No	ıe
115.43 (c)	
 Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? ☑ Yes □ No 	
■ Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.43 (d)	
• If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? ⋈ Yes □ No	
• If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? ⋈ Yes □ No	n
115.43 (e)	
• In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? ☑ Yes ☐ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination	

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Document Review: Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Georgia GDC Policy, 208.06, IV.d.3 (a-d) Administrative Segregation; Reviewed (11) Investigation Packages; Pulaski Coordinated Response Plan; Warden's Email confirming there were no inmates placed in involuntary segregation during the past 12 months; Memo from the Warden attesting that there have been no inmates involuntarily placed in Protective Custody as the result of being a victim or at risk of imminent sexual abuse in the past 12 months.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager/Deputy Warden; Staff supervising segregation; Counselor for the Closed Unit; Randomly selected staff; Licensed Mental Health Staff; PREA Compliance Manager; and Special Category Inmates who disclosed victimization.

Discussion of Policy and Documents: The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented the facility did not place any inmate in involuntary segregation/protective custody during the past twelve months. The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that there were no inmates at risk of sexual victimization who were assigned to involuntary segregated housing in the past 12 months for longer than 30 days while awaiting alternate placement.

Georgia GDC Policy, 208.06, IV.d.3 (a-d) Administrative Segregation, requires that offenders at high risk for sexual victimization are not placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the offender may be held in involuntary segregation no more than 24 hours while completing the assessment. This placement, including the concern for the inmate's safety is noted in SCRIBE case notes documenting the concern for the offender's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. The inmate will be assigned to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation can be arranged. Assignment does not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days.

Inmates are assigned to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and such an assignment does not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. If the facility uses involuntary segregation to keep an inmate safe, the facility documents the basis for their concerns for the inmate's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be

arranged. Reviews are conducted every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.

The auditor reviewed thirty-four (34) investigation packages. None of the packages documented any inmate being placed in involuntary segregated housing for protection. Inmates were separated but not placed in involuntary segregated housing.

Discussion of Interviews: If an inmate was placed in segregated housing for protection staff related they would have access to programs, privileges, education or work opportunities and if restricted the facility documents what has been restricted, the duration of the limitation and the reasons for the limitations. Specifically, the staff supervising segregation stated they inmate would receive education, if they were in education, from the teachers; they would be escorted to testing; receive an hour a day of recreation; have access to sick call, to attend appointments, to have visitation, and access to mental health, with reviews conducted by mental health every 7 days. Inmates would also have their Tablets with them in Protective Custody, enabling them to access education, to email family and friends on their approved visitors list, and have access to the law library. This was indicated through an interview with the staff supervising segregation.

Interviews with the Warden and PREA Compliance Staff indicated that inmates are not automatically placed in protective custody/ administrative segregation. The Warden indicated the alleged perpetrator would be placed in segregation pending investigation but, if possible, the alleged victim would be housed in a less restrictive environment. They related the inmate, if possible, would be placed in the safe dorm if there was room and if there were no issues regarding safety from other inmates.

REPORTING

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.51 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

 Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?

 ✓ Yes

 ✓ No

115.51 (b)

■ Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?

✓ Yes

✓ No

•		private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual and sexual harassment to agency officials? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		hat private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? $\hfill\Box$ No
•	contac	mates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to st relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland ty? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.51	(c)	
•		staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, mously, and from third parties? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	⊠ Yes	staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\ \square$ No
115.51	(d)	
•		he agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual sment of inmates? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
	otiono 1	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This standard is rated exceeds because the Georgia Department of Corrections(GDC) provides not only multiple ways to report but allows residents of the Coastal State Prison to have personal Tablets enabling them to report allegations of sexual abuse with privacy and anytime they decided to without anyone knowing. They can do this by emailing the PREA Unit with one click and sending an email to family or others and requests to staff.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, E. Reporting, 1. Inmate Reporting; The GDC policy (208.06, 2. Offender Grievances); Standard Operating Procedure 227.02, Statewide Grievance Procedures; brochure entitled, "Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), Reporting is the First Step; PREA related posters; "Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) How to Prevent it; How to report it"; GDC Policy IIA23-0001, Consular Notification; Thirty-four (34) Investigation Packages.

Interviews: Forty-three (43) inmates, both randomly selected and special category; Sixteen (16) randomly selected staff representing a cross section of positions.

Observations: Kiosks in each dormitory; Phones in each dorm with dialing instructions; Testing a PREA Phone, Inmates with Tablets; Multiple Posters related to PREA, including how to and to whom to report allegations of sexual abuse; mobile phone and kiosk for inmates in segregation.

Discussion of Policy and Documents: Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, E. Reporting, 1. Inmate Reporting, provides multiple ways for inmates to report. These include making reports in writing, verbally, through the inmate PREA Hotline and by mail to the Department Ombudsman Office. Inmates are encouraged to report allegations immediately and directly to staff at all levels. Reports are required to be promptly documented. The Department has provided inmates a sexual abuse hotline enabling inmates to report via telephone without the use of the inmate's pin number. If an inmate wishes to remain anonymous or report to an outside entity, he may do so in writing to the State Board of Pardons and Paroles, Office of Victim Services (address provided). Additionally, the resident is provided contract information, including dialing instructions for reporting via the GDC Tip Line. The instructions tell the resident the Tip Line is for anonymous reporting of staff and inmate suspicions and illegal activity. This information is posted next the phones providing dialing instructions. The auditor observed the dialing instructions next to the phone for reporting sexual abuse.

Staff have been instructed and trained to accept reports made both verbally and in writing from third parties and promptly document them. Inmates may file grievances as well. Once a grievance is received and determined to be PREA related, the grievance process ceases, and an investigation begins. Third Party reports may be made to the Ombudsman's Office or in writing to the State Board of Pardons and Paroles, Office of Victim Services (address provided). Interviews with staff, both random and specialized confirmed staff are required and trained to accept all reports, regardless of how they are made and regardless of the source, to notify their supervisor and write either an incident report or a statement as directed by the supervisor to document receipt of verbal reports, third party reports, anonymous reports etc.

The GDC policy (208.06, 2. Offender Grievances), requires that the facility allow offenders a full and fair opportunity to file grievances regarding sexual abuse to as to preserve their ability to seek judicial redress after exhausting administrative remedies. The procedures governing grievances are addressed in Standard Operating Procedure 227.02, Statewide Grievance Procedures. All grievances received are to be forwarded to the local SART for handling in accordance with the local response protocol.

Inmates also have access to outside confidential support services including those identified in the PREA Brochure given to inmates during the admission process and posted throughout the prison. The following ways to report are provided: Call PREA, 7732; to any staff member; to the Statewide PREA Coordinator, to the Ombudsman, to the Director of Victim Services. The addresses to the Statewide PREA Coordinator, Ombudsman, and Director of Victim Services are provided and the phone number to the Ombudsman is given.

GDC Policy IIA23-0001, Consular Notification affirms it is the policy of GDC that the Consulate General of an inmate's native country be kept informed as the inmate's cusdoty status or occurrences to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. Inmates will be provided information on how to access Foreign Counsular Offices in the United States. This information is available for download at http://www.state.gov/s/cpr/ris/fco This policy prescribes the GDC's responsibility for notification and that the inmate be informed of such notification. Foreign National inmates are allowed visitation with representatives from the Consulate General of his/her native country. The visit must be scheduled at least 24 hours in advance unless the Warden approves a shorter time period.

Thirty-four reviewed investigation packages confirmed that fifteen (15) allegations were made via the Hotline. In these situations, the inmate provided identifying information. Seven (7) calls to the hotline were anonymous. The remainder were made through written statements, telling a staff, writing the Victim Services, and calling the TIP Line.

Inmates have access to visitation, to make phone calls, to visitation with their legal counsel if they have one, phone calls to their legal counsel, to communicate via legal correspondence, to drop a note to any staff, file request forms to see medical, their counselors or others.

Inmates have multiple ways to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment internally and externally. They may report by calling the PREA Hotline, to the Ombudsman, to the State Board of Pardons and Parole, Victim Services, to the PREA Coordinator, to staff, friends, family and inmates, via the grievance process, the DOC Tip Line, to the outside Rape Crisis Center/Outside Advocacy Organization, the Director of Victim Services and by telling a trusted staff.

The Georgia Department of Corrections has not only provided multiple ways to report but have also given inmates tools with which to report. These tools include a phone for reporting, a KIOSK for reporting to the GDC PREA Unit and to familiy and friends on their approved visitors list, access to filing a grievance via the KIOSK, phones with instructions for dialing to report an allegation of sexual abuse, grievance forms, request forms to contact medical and the administration and a TABLET enabling inmates to email, file a grievance, and to email the GDC PREA Unit with one click.

Discussion of Observation and Testing Processes: The GDC has installed a KIOSK in each dorm. On the KIOSK, according to staff and interviewed inmates, the inmate can access the resident handbook, notify the GDC PREA Unit, email facility members and/or friends on their approved visitors list and access video visitation. In addition to the KIOSK, the department issues a TABLET to each inmate enabling him to participate in educational programming but also from the TABLET, the inmate can email the PREA Unit with one touch, and email requests to staff and/or friends on their approved visitation lists. A mobile phone and kiosk were observed in the segregation unit.

Phones were observed on the walls of each dorm. Posted at the phones were instruction for dialing the PREA Hotline. The auditor tested a PREA Phone to see if an inmate could contact the PREA Unit with the posted instructions. The phone worked as stated and the auditor was able to leave a message that was later confirmed by the PREA Unit Operations Analyst.

Multiple PREA related posters were observed posted throughout the facility keeping PREA information continuously available to inmates. Zero Tolerance Posters, located throughout the facility, as well as other PREA related posters, explain that residents have the right to report, stressing the facility wants to keep the resident safe and that an investigation will be conducted for reported incidents and the perpetrator will be held accountable. Multiple ways to report are listed on the poster. These include:

- Call the PREA Hotline 7732
- Report to any staff, volunteer, contractor or medical staff
- Submit a grievance or sick call slip
- Report to the PREA Coordinator or PREA Compliance Manager
- Tell a family member, friend, legal counsel or anyone else outside the facility
- Submit a report on someone else's behalf or someone at the facility can report for you (the resident)
- Victim Support Services for emotional support and to report (contact information provided)

Inmates are provided the brochure entitled, "Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) How to Prevent it; How to report it". This brochure advises inmates that reporting is the first step. The hotline number is provided. The brochure tells inmates they may report allegations to any staff member or write to any of the following: Statewide PREA Coordinator (Address provided); the Ombudsman (Address and phone number provided) or to the Director of Victim's Services (Address provided). Reviewed investigation packets indicated inmates were well aware of how to use the PREA Hotline for reporting. Inmates confirmed receiving the PREA Pamphlets.

Discussion of Interviews:

Interviews with 43 inmates confirmed that they understand and are aware of how to report sexual assault/abuse or sexual harassment. The majority of those interviewed named 2-3 ways to report. They most often mentioned they would report using the phone (hotline) or tell as staff. They did acknowledge they have access to the KIOSK for reporting and that they have tablets that they can use to email family and friends on their approved list as well as sending an email to the GDC PREA Unit.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.52 (a)

•	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not
	have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This
	does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not
	ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter o
	explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual
	abuse. □ Yes ⋈ No □ NA

115.52 (b)

•	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	? (c)
•	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	? (d)
•	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per $115.52(d)(3)$ when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	? (e)
•	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
■ PREA Au	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA dit Report

•	f the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	(f)
•	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a nmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of mminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion hereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which mmediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	(g)
•	f the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does in does on the square of the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \square Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	Overall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

	Does Not Meet Standard	(Requires Corrective Action)
--	-------------------------------	------------------------------

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: The Coastal State Prison Pre-Audit Questionnaire; GDC Policy, 227.02, Statewide Grievance Process; Page 5 of the Statewide Grievance Policy, Paragraph 4.; Paragraph F. Emergency Grievances Procedure; DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, F. Reporting, Paragraph 2, Inmate Grievances, Thirty-four (34) investigation packages for investigations from 2017; reviewed forty (40) grievances.

Interviews: Grievance Officer; Randomly selected staff; Randomly selected inmates; PREA Compliance Manager, Warden, PREA Compliance Manager.

Observations: Not applicable for this standard.

Discussion of Policies and Documents:

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented there were no grievances alleging either sexual abuse or sexual harassment during the past twelve (12) months; therefore, there were no grievances requiring a final decision within 90 days (115.52 (d)-3 nor were there any grievances involving extensions because a decision was not reached within 90 days. If a grievance alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment it is turned over to the Sexual Assault Response Team for investigation and ceases being processed as a grievance.

GDC Policy explains the agency and facility grievance process. Upon entering the GDC, each offender is required to receive an oral explanation of the grievance procedure and receive a copy of the Resident Handbook, which includes instructions about the procedure.

GDC Policy, 227.02, Statewide Grievance Process, specifies the areas where grievance forms may be accessed. It also affirms that offenders are not prohibited form assisting other offenders from filling out any forms related to the process. Policy provides that an offender may file a grievance on behalf of another inmate if the allegation involves sexual abuse. The Policy and local operating procedures allow another inmate to file a grievance on behalf of another inmate.

Too, the following procedures pertain to reporting allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment via the grievance process: 1) Page 5 of the Statewide Grievance Policy, Paragraph 4., Asserts that the offender is not required to attempt an informal resolution before filing a grievance; 2) Inmates may submit the grievance without having to submit it to the staff who is the subject of the complaint 3) Inmates may seek assistance from third parties and third parties can file grievances on behalf of the inmate 4) If a third party files a request on behalf of an inmate, the victim must agree to have the request filed 5) If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his behalf, GDC will document the inmate's decision as part of the SART or Internal Investigation report. Staff will also assist

offenders who need special help (because of such things as language barriers, illiteracy, or physical or mental disability) filling out the grievance forms if requested by the inmate.

Emergency Grievance procedures, as discussed in policy, requires that emergency grievances must be immediately referred to the Grievance Coordinator (or Duty Officer if after hours), such as allegations of sexual abuse and other PREA Concerns. The Grievance Officer/Duty Officer must determine if the Grievance fits the definition of an emergency grievance. If it does, the Grievance Officer/Duty Officer must immediately take whatever action necessary to protect the health, safety or welfare of the offender, and provide an initial response within 48 hours. This information is required to be documented and DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, F. Reporting, Paragraph 2, Inmate Grievances, requires the facility to allow inmates a full and fair opportunity to file grievances regarding sexual abuse to preserve their ability to seek judicial redress after exhausting administrative remedies.

In situations where an inmate uses the grievance process to report an allegation of sexual abuse, the Department does not require the inmate to attempt to resolve the incident informally before filing a grievance the offender must be given a written response to his Emergency Grievance within 5 calendar days.

In doing due diligence to determine if any of the regularly filed grievances met the criteria for an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the auditor randomly pulled and reviewed forty (40) grievances filed in the facility's grievance files to determine if any were PREA related and if so to determine if they were referred as an emergency grievance. After examining each of the forty (40) reviewed grievances, the auditor found no PREA related grievances.

The auditor reviewed thirty-four (34) investigation packages for investigations from 2017 and none of the reports made were through the grievance process.

Discussion of Interviews:

An interview with the grievance officer confirmed that an inmate may file a grievance alleging sexual abuse and that upon receipt of such a grievance, the staff is required to immediately refer the grievance to the Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) for investigation. Three interviewed members of the SART confirmed that process. None of the SART could recall any grievances alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment during the past twelve (12) months. Staff were aware that inmates could report sexual abuse or sexual harassment through the grievance process. They indicated that if they received a grievance they would turn it over to the inmate's counselor. Interviewed inmates, when asked, indicated, they could file a grievance to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment however most preferred the option of telling a staff or calling the PREA Hotline or emailing the GDC PREA Unit on their tablet or on the KIOSK.

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.53 (a)

•	service includi	he facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support es related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, ng toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or risis organizations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	addres	he facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing sees and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, or national immigrant services agencies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		he facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations gencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.53	3 (b)	
•	comm	he facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such unications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to ities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.53	3 (c)	
•	agreer	he agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other ments with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential anal support services related to sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		he agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter ch agreements? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
nstru	ctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.6, PREA, Description of Services between the Coastal State Prison and the Rape Crisis Center of the Coastal Empire; Coastal State Prison Pre-Audit Questionnaire; GDC Policy IIA234-0001, Consular Notification.

Interviews: PREA Compliance Manager, PREA Coordinator, Mental Health Licensed Professional Counselor, Forty-three (43) interviewed inmates; Staff Advocate at the Rape Crisis Center of the Coastal Empire.

Discussion of Policies and Documents Review:

GDC Procedures require the facility attempt to enter into an agreement with a rape crisis center to make available a victim advocate to inmates being evaluated for the collection of forensic evidence. Victim advocates from the community used by the facility will be pre-approved through the appropriate screening process and subject to the same requirements of contractors and volunteer who have contact with inmates. Advocates serve as emotional and general support, navigating the inmate through the treatment and evidence collection process.

GDC Prisons are often located in areas with limited or non-existent resources, including outside confidential support services. In response to that need the facility asked Just Detention International to help develop and secure these services for a number of prisons experiencing that issue. Just Detention International, according to interviews with the Agency's PREA Coordinator, brought together the PREA Compliance Staff and Rape Crisis Centers and Outside Advocacy Organizations throughout the state to attempt to pair specific prisons up with an outside agency. In response to the lack of resources, the GDC trained a staff advocate(s) to accompany inmates during forensic exams if requested. The Victim Advocate serves as a member of the Sexual Assault Response Team. Documentation was provided to confirm the advocate completed the Specialized Training provided by the National Institute of Corrections. The SART Leader is a Master's Level Licensed Professional Counselor and serves as the SART advocate.

Inmates also have access to the GDC Ombudsman and GDC Tip Line. Contact information, including phone numbers and mailing addresses are provided, posted and accessible to inmates.

GDC Policy IIA23-0001, Consular Notification; affirms it is the policy of GDC that the Consulate General of an inmate's native country be kept informed as the inmate's cusdoty status or occurrences to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. Inmates will be provided information on how to access Foreign Counsular Offices in the United States. This information is available for download at http://www.state.gov/s/cpr/ris/fco This policy prescribes the GDC's responsibility for notification and that the inmate be informed of such notification. Foreign National inmates are allowed visitation with representatives from the Consulate General of his/her native country.

Discussion of Interviews:

Inmates were not aware of the outside advocacy services nor were they aware of how to contact them.

Corrective Action Required: Remediation: Provide inmates with the name of the organization providing outside advocacy services, provide the toll-free 24/7 contact number and mailing address and let inmates know the limits of confidentiality if they contact the organization.

Provide documentation that inmates have been made aware of the organization and how to contact them, and the limits of confidentiality; photos of posted information; and if included in the handbook or however else you want to provide it, if you do, forward to the auditor please.

The facility provided documentation of the remediation on January 15, 2018. The contact information for the outside advocacy has been incorporated on the PREA Brochure provided to all inmates on admission. Brochures are posted throughout the facility.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

 ✓ Yes

 ✓ No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?

 ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, PREA; The Pulaski State Prison Pre-Audit Questionnaire; GDC Policy, 227.02, Statewide Grievance Process; The Department's Website contains a section entitled: "How do I report sexual abuse or sexual harassment?"; Thirty-four (34) Reviewed Investigation Packages; The brochure entitled, "Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Prison Rape Elimination Act – How to Prevent It and How to Report It"; Reviewed PREA Related Brochures: PREA Related Posters

Interviews: Forty-three (43) inmates, randomly selected and special category; Sixteen (16) Randomly Selected Staff; PREA Compliance Manager

Observations: Review of the Agency's Website

Discussion of Policy and Documents: The Georgia Department of Corrections and the Pulaski State Prison provide multiple way for inmates to access third parties who may make reports on behalf of an inmate. GDC provides contact information enabling Third Party reports may be made to the GDC Ombudsman's Office, to the GDC TIP Line and to the agency's PREA Coordinator. Information is provided to inmates that allows them to call or write the Ombudsman's Office. They are also informed they may report in writing to the State Board of Pardons and Paroles, Office of Victim Services. This information is provided in the brochure given to inmates during admissions/orientation. The brochure entitled, "Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Prison Rape Elimination Act – How to Prevent It and How to Report It" provides the phone number and mailing address for the Ombudsman and the mailing address for reporting to the Director of Victim Services. A PREA hotline is also available for third party reports and an inmate's pin is not required to place a call using the "hotline". The auditor tested a phone and found it operational. Dialing instructions are posted at the phone.

The Department's Website contains a section entitled: "How do I report sexual abuse or sexual harassment?". These are provided as ways to make third party reports: Call the PREA Confidential Reporting Line (1-888-992-7849); email PREA.report@gdc.gov; Send correspondence to the Georgia DOC, Office of Professional Standards/PREA Unit; contact the Ombudsman and Inmate Affairs Office (numbers and email provided and Contact the Office of Victim Services (phone number and email address provided). Anyone wishing to make a report can do so anonymously however there is a request that as much detail as possible be provided. The agency also has a TIP Line accessible to third parties.

Others, including family members, friends and other residents, may make a report for a resident. They may also assist a resident in filing a grievance or file one for her.

The auditor reviewed thirty-four (34) of the incident and investigation reports for 2017. The majority of those reports were made via the PREA Hotline; written statements, and telling a staff.

Discussion of Interviews: Staff were asked to name the ways inmates could report allegations of sexual abuse. Most of the staff named third parties as ways for reporting. They understood third parties could be friends, relatives, and other inmates. They also indicated, in their interviews, that they would accept a report from any source, including third parties. They also stated they would treat it like any other allegation. They would report it immediately to their immediate supervisor and document the report either on a statement or an incident report.

When inmates were asked to name multiple ways to report internally and externally, one of the ways they mentioned was through third parties. They did not all refer to them as third parties but most mentioned that family members or relatives could report for them. Too, they acknowledged that other inmates could report for them as well.

Inmates have access to email through their issued TABLET or through the KIOSK. They can email anyone on their approved visitors list; they can video visit via the KIOSK, and send an email to the GDC PREA Unit.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

ΑII

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.61 (a)
■ Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
■ Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? ☑ Yes □ No
115.61 (b)
■ Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? Yes □ No
115.61 (c)
 Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☑ Yes □ No
■ Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ✓ Yes ✓ No
115.61 (d)
If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ☑ Yes ☐ No
115.61 (e)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Document Review: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, F. Official Response Following and Inmate Report, 1. Staff and Department Reporting Duties; the reviewed Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement; and thirty-four (34)investigation reports for 2017;

Interviews: PREA Coordinator; PREA Compliance Manager; SART Leader; Mental Health Provider; Sixteen (16) randomly selected staff; Director of Nurses; Investigator; and Warden.

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, F. Official Response Following and Inmate Report, 1. Staff and Department Reporting Duties, requires staff who witness or receive a report of sexual assault, sexual harassment, or who learn of rumors or allegations of such conduct, must report information concerning incidents or possible incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the supervisor on duty and write a statement, in accordance with the Employee Standards of Conduct. The highest-ranking supervisor on duty who receives a report of sexual assault or sexual harassment, is required to report it to the appointing authority or his/her designee immediately. The supervisor in charge is required to notify the PREA Compliance Manager and/or SART Leader as designated by the Local Procedure Directive. Appointing authorities or his/her designee may make an initial inquiry to determine if a report of sexual assault, sexual harassment, is a rumor or an allegation. Allegations of sexual assault and sexual harassment are major incidents and are required to be reported in compliance with policy. Once reported, an evaluation by the SART Leader/Team of whether a full response protocol is needed will be made. Appointing authorities or designee(s) are required to report all allegations of sexual assault with penetration to the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) Special Agent In-Charge and the Department's PREA Coordinator immediately upon receipt of the allegation. OPS will determine the appropriate response. Staff, failing to comply with the reporting requirements of DOC Policy, may be banned from correctional facilities or will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination. If an alleged victim is under the age of 18, the Department reports the allegation to the Department of Family and Children Services, Child Protection Services Section. Staff

are not to disclose any information concerning sexual abuse, sexual harassment or sexual misconduct of an offender, including the names of the alleged victims or perpetrators, except to report the information as required by policy, or the law, or to discuss such information as a necessary part of performing their job.

This facility does not house youthful offenders; however, policy requires if the victim was under the age of 18, the Field Operations Manager, in conjunction with the Director of Investigations, or designee, is required to report the allegation to the Department of Family and Children Services, Child Protective Services Section. Also, if the victim is considered a vulnerable adult under Georgia Law, the Director of Investigations or designee, will make notification to the appropriate outside law enforcement agency.

The reviewed Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement affirms staff's understanding they are to report anything they witness or that is reported to them. Multiple examples of their acknowledgement statements were provided.

In the prevention mode, policy requires that staff be aware of and attempt to detect to attempt to prevent sexual abuse, sexual harassment or sexual misconduct, through offender communications, comments to staff members, offender interactions, changes in offender behavior, and isolated or vulnerable areas of the institution.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviewed staff stated they are expected and required to report any allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. They stated they would report it verbally to their immediate supervisor. Always, when asked if they would have to document those reports they said they had to do an incident report or a statement within 24 hours. When asked if they could leave the shift prior to a written statement or report, they said they could not leave until the report is done. They said they would want to do it immediately if possible. When asked if they would report something they suspected, as a result of recognizing, for example, that an inmate's demeanor etc. indicate he is not acting as he usually does, they said they would and that they are required to report anything. They said they would take reports from other inmates, by family members, dropped notes, or verbally made to them and in any way the report came to them. When asked what would happen if they failed to report, most of them related they would be disciplined and may be terminated.

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	15	.62 ((a)

When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?

⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act- PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 2., Facility Protection Duties; SOP 209.06, Administrative Segregation; the Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Memo from the Warden asserting that there have been no inmates placed in involuntary segregation or protective custody or for being at risk of imminent sexual abuse.

Interviews: Warden; Grievance Officer; PREA Compliance Manager; Sixteen (16) randomly selected staff; Thirty-two (32) Special Category Staff; Forty-three (43) Inmates.

Discussion of Policy and Documents: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act- PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 2., Facility Protection Duties, requires that upon learning of a sexual abuse, staff are to separate the alleged victim and abuser and ensure the alleged victim has been placed in safe housing which may be protective custody in accordance with SOP 209.06, Administrative Segregation. If the inmate victim is placed in administrative segregation, a note is paced in SCRIBE indicating the reason for the placement. If the offender remains in Administrative Segregation for 72 hours, ensure that the Sexual Assault Response Team has again evaluated the victim within 72 hours. Again, a note is to be entered SCRIBE indicating the reason for continued placement. The care and treatment member of SART is responsible for documenting the reasons in SCRIBE. If the alleged perpetrator is an offender and if the alleged perpetrator has been placed in Administrative Segregation in accordance with SOP 209.06. Administrative Segregation, again, a case note documenting the reason for placement is completed and documented in SCRIBE. If the offender remains in Administrative Segregation for 72 hours, the SART evaluates the offender again within 72 hours and if continued placement is required, the reasons are documented in SCRIBE. The care and treatment staff from the SART is responsible for the documentation. If the alleged perpetrator is a staff member, the staff member and alleged victim are separated during the investigation period. The staff member may be reassigned to other duties or other work area; transferred to another institution, suspended with pay pending investigation or temporarily banning the individual from the institution, whichever option the appointing authority deems appropriate. Staff are instructed, if applicable, they are to consult with the SART, Regional Director, the Department's PREA Coordinator or the Regional SAC within 72 hours of the reported incident to determine how long the alleged victim or perpetrator should remain segregated from the general population and document the final decision in the offender's file with specific reasons for returning the offenders to the general population or keeping the offenders segregated and ensure the SART has evaluated the victim within 24 hours of the report. Once a determination has been made that there is sufficient evidence of sexual assault, staff ensure closure of the matter by serving notice of adverse

action or banning the staff member, making housing and classification changes if the perpetrator is an offender, and update the victim's offender file with incident information.

The Warden identified safe housing for inmates. The safe housing for victims or potential victims is E-7 A/B.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented there have been no incidents in which an inmate was at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse during the past twelve months.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, random and special category staff, inmates, and reviewed incident reports for the past 12 months confirmed there were no residents at risk of imminent sexual abuse in the past 12 months.

Staff consistently stated they would take immediate action, upon learning that a resident was at risk. Staff stated they would keep the resident with them, notify their immediate supervisor and keep the resident with them until the supervisor decided about where to house the resident.

An interview with the Grievance Officer confirmed there were no grievances alleging imminent sexual abuse during the past twelve months. The auditor reviewed forty (40) grievances. None of the reviewed grievances alleged that an inmate was at risk of imminent sexual abuse.

None of the 41 interviewed residents stated they had ever been at risk of imminent sexual abuse or placed in involuntary segregation or protective custody for being a victim or at risk.

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.63 (a)

•	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another
	facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or
	appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? $oximes$ Yes \odots No

115.63 (b)

•	Is such notification	provided as	soon as possik	ole, but no late	er than 72 hours	s after receiving the
	allegation? ⊠ Yes	□ No				

115.63 (c)

■ Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.63 (d)

■ Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?

✓ Yes

✓ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, 3. Reporting to other Confinement Facilities; Pre-Audit Questionnaire:

Interviews: Warden; Deputy Warden; PREA Compliance Manager, SART Members

Discussion of Policy and Reviewed Documents: DOC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, 3. Reporting to other Confinement Facilities, requires that in cases where there is an allegation that sexually abusive behavior occurred at another Department facility, the Warden/designee of the victim's current facility is required to provide notification to the Warden of the identified institution and the Department's PREA Coordinator. In cases alleging sexual abuse by staff at another institution, the Warden of the inmate's current facility refers the matter directly to the Office of Professional Standards Special Agent In-Charge. For the non-Department secure facilities, the Warden/Superintendent will notify the appropriate office of the facility where the abuse allegedly occurred. For non-Department facilities, the Warden/designee(s) contacts the appropriate office of that correctional Department. This notification must be provided as soon as possible but not later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. Notification is documented. The facility head or Department office receiving the notification is required to ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with the PREA Standards.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire and interviews with the Warden, Deputy Warden, and PREA Compliance Manager confirmed there were no allegations received from other facilities that an inmate was sexual abused or sexually harassed while at Pulaski State Prison.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and the Warden confirmed they are aware of the policy requiring reporting to other facilities upon receiving an allegation of sexual abuse that occurred in another facility. They also indicated if they received an allegation from another facility that an inmate, while assigned to Coastal State Prison, was sexually abused at this prison, they would initiate an investigation and cooperate with any investigation.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.64 (a)
 Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☑ Yes □ No
■ Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? Yes No
■ Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?
■ Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?
115.64 (b)
• If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ⋈ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Ins

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Review: Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6; local protocol, "PREA Reporting Process"; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; SANE's List; PREA Medical Log; Eleven (11) Investigations 2-162017; Mem from Warden Designating SART Members; Certificates of Completion, "Evaluation and Treatment of Sexual Assault".

Interviews: Three (3) SART Members; Sixteen (16) randomly selected staff; Director of Nursing; Investigator; PREA Compliance Manager. Informal Interviews with 16 staff selected during the site review.

Discussion of Policy and Documents: Georgia DOC Policy, 208.6, describes, in detail, actions to take upon learning that a resident has been the victim of sexual abuse. Actions described included the expectations for non-security first responders. Policy and local operating procedures require that upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first security staff to respond to the report is to respond in the following manner: 1) Separate the alleged victim and abuser 2) Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence, in compliance with SOP IK01-0005, Crime Scene Preservation; 3) If the abuse occurred within 72 hours request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating; 4) If the abuse occurred within 72 hours ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking or eating; 5) If the first responder is not a security staff, the responder is required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and notify security staff immediately.

The Sexual Assault Response Team will be notified and will implement the local protocol.

The local protocol, "PREA Reporting Process" describes the actions taken by the First Responders, notification of the OIC/Duty Officer, Warden's Notification, the actions of the Sexual Assault Response Team Leader, medical involvement and mental health involvement. SART conducts the initial investigation. Duties of each SART member are identified and include duties for the SART Team Leader-Security, the Counselor, and Health Services. Lastly the SART Investigation Process is detailed. This document serves as the facility's coordinated response plan.

Following the initial first response from the staff first becoming aware of an incident or allegation of sexual abuse, staff would contact the Sexual Assault Response Team who would take over once on the scene. They would then be responsible for ensuring the potential crime scene is protected and secured, and notify the Office of Professional Standards investigators would advise the SART and then come on sight if needed to collect evidence and assume the investigation.

Discussion of Interviews:

All staff, including the non-security staff, are potential first responders. All the interviewed staff, including medical and other non-security staff (counselors, Warden, PREA Compliance Manager etc.) described the actions they would take in response to a sexual assault. Consistently they reported they would first separate the victim from the alleged aggressor and keep the victim safe. They would report the incident to their immediate supervisor, treat the room or area as a crime scene, ensuring no one comes in or out and request the victim not take any actions that would jeopardize collection of evidence, including showering, bathing, changing clothing, brushing teeth, using the restroom and requiring the alleged perpetrator to not take any actions to degrade or eliminate potential evidence and ensure the resident victim gets to medical or medical comes to him. Non-custody staff have been trained in first responding. They described the steps they would take in response to being informed a

resident had been sexually assaulted. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners will come to the facility to conduct the Forensic Exam. The facility has a list of SANEs who are to be called in response to a sexual assault. The list contains the contact information for all SANEs.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.65 (a)

■ Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?

Yes
No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 5, Coordinated Response; local protocol, "PREA Reporting Process"; GDC Sexual Abuse Response Checklist (GDC 208.06, Attachment 6); Thirty-four (34) reviewed investigation packages, PREA Medical Log.

Interviews: Sixteen (16) random staff; staff informally interviewed; Director of Nursing

Policy and Documents Review: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph 5, Coordinated Response, requires each facility to develop a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership. The plan must be kept current and include names and phone numbers of coordinating parties. The facility provided the Coastal State Prison's Coordinated Response Plan in a document entitled: PREA Reporting Process.

The local protocol, "PREA Reporting Process" describes the actions taken by the First Responders, notification of the OIC/Duty Officer, Warden's Notification, the actions of the Sexual Assault Response Team Leader, medical involvement and mental health involvement. SART conducts the initial investigation. Duties of each SART member are identified and include duties for the SART Team Leader-Security, the Counselor, and Health Services. Lastly the SART Investigation Process is detailed. This document serves as the facility's coordinated response plan. The plan went out to all staff from the Warden.

The facility also uses the GDC Sexual Abuse Response Checklist (GDC 208.06, Attachment 6) to coordinate the actions and responses of first responders.

Following the initial first response from the staff first becoming aware of an incident or allegation of sexual abuse, staff would contact the Sexual Assault Response Team who would take over once on the scene. They would then be responsible for ensuring the potential crime scene is protected and secured, and notify the Office of Professional Standards investigators would advise the SART and then come on sight if needed to collect evidence and assume the investigation.

The SART Leader arranges for immediate medical examination. Medical conducts an initial assessment to determine if the inmate needs immediate medical intervention and to treat these. Medical staff contact the SANE if needed. Again, specific duties of each of the SART members are described. These include the specific responsibilities for the SART Team Leader, Counselor and Health Services.

The plan also is specific in the steps to be taken by each specific member of the SART; Team Leader, Medical Team Member and counselor/advocate.

The Office of Professional Standards investigator will continue the investigation following GDC Policy.

A review of all the investigation reports for 2017 documented the staff's responses upon being notified of an allegation of sexual abuse.

Staff have been trained in first responding. These included both custody staff and non-custody staff. Training rosters documented ninety-one (91) staff being trained in the coordinated response plan.

Discussion of Interviews: All the interviewed staff articulated their roles in responding to an allegation of sexual assault. Staff named each step without hesitation, indicating they understood their roles as first responders. These included security and non-security potential first responders.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)

 Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining

	abuser	nent or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual restrom contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a sination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? Yes No
115.66	(b)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	ance or sions. The et the si	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
		Georgia is a right to work state. The Georgia Department of Corrections employees are of a union. The Department is not involved in any form of collective bargaining.
		arden; Statewide PREA Coordinator; PREA Compliance Manager; PREA Coordinator as Designee (previously).
Discus	ssion o	f interviews:
Interviews with the Statewide PREA Coordinator, Warden, PREA Compliance Manager and previous interviews with the PREA Coordinator serving as the Agency Head's Designee confirmed that Georgia is a Right to Work State and employees are all non-union and none involved in any form of collective bargaining. The Warden can remove any staff member from contact with inmates following an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.		
Stand	dard 1	115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

115.67 (a)

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

•	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.67	(b)
•	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.67	' (c)
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unforor at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reass of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
•	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indiccontinuing need? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No	cates a
115.67	(d)	
•	n the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ⊠ Yes □ No	
115.67	(e)	
•	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliat the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No	on, does
115.67	(f)	
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.	
Audito	Overall Compliance Determination	
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	9
	□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instruc	tions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; Thirty-four (34) Investigation Packages; 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist (GDC Form)

Interviews: Retaliation Monitor; Warden; PREA Compliance Manager

Discussion of Policy and Documents Review:

DOC Policy 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, affirms the agency has a zero tolerance for any form of retaliation and is committed to

protecting inmates or staff who report sexual abuse and sexual misconduct or sexual harassment from retaliation. Policy requires that anyone who retaliates against a staff member or an offender who has reported an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in good faith is subject to disciplinary action. Policy requires a staff be identified to monitor for retaliation. Additionally, policy provides multiple protection measures including: housing changes for inmates, transfers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims and emotional support for inmates or staff who fear retaliation. Monitoring is required to be conducted for at least 90 days following a report of abuse. Monitoring will include monitoring the conduct and treatment of inmates and staff to see any changes to indicate possible retaliation and to remedy any retaliation. Monitoring includes: review of inmate disciplinary reports, housing or program changes, negative performance reviews or reassignments of staff etc. Monitoring may continue beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates the need for it. Periodic status checks of inmates will be conducted. The obligation for monitoring terminates if the allegation is unfounded. Policy requires that monitoring is documented on the GDC Form 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist. The checklist is completed for each inmate being monitored.

The auditor reviewed thirty-four (34) investigation packages. Packages consistently contained the GDC Retaliation Monitoring Sheets.

Discussion of Interviews:

The auditor interviewed the facility's Retaliation Monitor. She told the auditor she would meet with the offender as soon as she learned that an allegation has been made. She related that she will meet with the inmate and explain who she is and what she does as retaliation monitor. She states she advises the inmate if he feels he is being retaliated against in any manner to contact her. She also stated she tells the inmate she will be meeting with him every 30 days up to 90 and beyond if needed.

The retaliation monitor indicated and documented on numerous reviewed investigation packages that if the victim was an inmate she would monitor a number of things including the following: 1) Offender Disciplinary Report(s) History Review; 2) Offender Housing Unit Placement Reviews; 3) Offender Transfer(s) Placement Reviews; 4) Offender Work Performance Review; 5) Offender Schedule Review; and 6) Offender Case Note(s) Review. Personal contact is made at 30 days, 60 days and 90 days. These checks are documented on the 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist (GDC Form) In addition to initialing each item checked the monitor documents by signature, title and date the 30, 60 and 90- day checks. The Retaliation Monitor also documents the inmate's comments after contacting him on the GDC Monitoring Form, documenting 30,60 and 90 -day checks. The auditor reviewed 33 investigations conducted in 2017. The GDC 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist was documented in all the applicable cases. There were no cases in which a staff member was involved in the need for retaliation monitoring.

The Warden related that he has a zero tolerance for any form of retaliation and any staff or inmate involved in any retaliatory behavior would be disciplined and if it was a staff involved in retaliation the presumptive discipline would be termination.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)

Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, 3. Protective Custody; Memo from Warden Stating there were no inmates housed in involuntary segregation because of sexual assault or sexual harassment.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; Mental Health Staff; Randomly Selected and Special Category Inmates

Discussion of Policy and Documents:

Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, D. Screening for Risk of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness, 3. Protective Custody, prohibits placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives have been made and a determination made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the inmate may be held in involuntary segregation for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment. This placement, including concern for the inmate's safety, must be documented in the inmate/offender database, SCRIBE, documenting concern for the inmate's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Inmates who are placed in involuntary segregation are housed there only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and the assignment, ordinarily, shall not exceed 30 days. Reviews are required to be conducted every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. Inmates in involuntary segregation will receive services in accordance with SOP HN09-0001, Administrative Segregation.

Discussion of Interviews:

Interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and staff, including the staff supervising segregation and the counselor for segregation indicated that involuntary protective custody or the use of administrative segregation to house and protect a victim of sexual abuse would be a last resort and lessor options would be tried, if the inmate could be safely housed and there. The Warden designated a safe dorm, a dorm housing primarily medically challenged inmates. The Warden indicated the perpetrator would be placed in segregation if necessary to protect the inmate; the victim would be placed there during the investigation but would be removed as soon as the investigation is concluded and the inmate can be safely placed elsewhere. The Warden issued a memo stating there have been no inmate victims placed in involuntary segregation during the past twelve (12) months.

If a victim was placed in involuntary segregation for protection, interviewed staff stated the inmate would receive programming, visits from medical and mental health, recreation and any mandated education while in protective custody and if any of those services were not provided, the reasons would be documented in the logbook.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.71 (a)
----------	----

•	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.71	(b)
• • • •	(~)

115.71 (c)

■ Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ⊠ Yes □ No

Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?

⊠ Yes □ No

•	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(d)
•	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(e)
•	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(f)
•	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(g)
•	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(h)
•	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(i)
•	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(j)
•	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? ☑ Yes □ No

	. ()		
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.	
115.7	1 (I)		
•			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, G. Investigations; Thirty-four (34) Investigation Packets, PREA Investigation Summary; Sexual Abuse Incident Review Checklist; Notification of Results of Investigation; Referrals to Medical and Mental Health (including the statements made by medical and counseling staff); PREA Initial Notification Form; Forms documenting SART receiving grievances alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment; GDC 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist; GDC Incident Report; Memo from Warden designating SART members; Reviewed NIC Certificates; Coordinated Response Plan; Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; Warden; Facility-Based Investigator, SART Members

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: Georgia Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, G. Investigations, describes the investigative process. Appointing authorities or his/her designee may make the initial investigation inquiring to determine if a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is a rumor or an allegation. The Local Sexual Assault Response Team is responsible for initially inquiring and subsequent investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment with limitations. In cases where allegations are made against staff and the SART deems the allegation is unfounded or unsubstantiated by evidence of facility documentation, video monitoring systems, witness statements, or other investigative means, the case can be closed at the facility level. The Appointing Authority or

115 71 (k)

designee(s) are required to report all allegations of sexual abuse with penetration and those with immediate and clear evidence of physical contact, to the OPS Special Agent In-Charge and the Department's PREA Coordinator immediately upon receipt of the allegation. If an investigation cannot be cleared at the local level, the Special Agent In-Charge determines whether to open an official investigation and if so, dispatches an investigator who has received special training in sexual abuse investigations. When criminal investigations involving staff are completed, the investigation is turned over to the Office of Professional Standards to conduct any necessary compelled administrative reviews. After each SART investigation, all substantiated cases are referred to the OPS Criminal Investigations Division while all unsubstantiated SART investigations are referred to the Office of Professional Standards for an administrative review. The Department follows a uniform protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecution. Investigations are required to be prompt and thorough, including those reported by third parties or anonymously. Administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse. Reports are documented and include descriptions of physical and testimonial evidence, reasoning behind the credibility of assessments and investigative facts and findings. Criminal investigations are documented in written reports that contain thorough descriptions of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and copies of all documentary evidence when feasible. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal are referred for prosecution. The departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the Department does not provide a basis for termination of the investigation.

The Warden provided the auditor with a memo designating the members of the prisons' Sexual Assault Response Team. The team consists of a lead member who initiates the investigation, medical staff, and mental health staff who may serve as a facility-based advocate.

A review of 34 investigations conducted in 2017 indicated there were a total of 34 reports or allegations of either sexual abuse or sexual assault.

The investigation packages consistently contained the following:

- PREA Investigation Summary
- Witness Statements
- Notification of Results of Investigation
- Referrals to Mental Health (including the statements ma0000000[de by medical and counseling staff)
- Mental Health Confidentiality Forms
- Mental Health Case Notes
- PREA Initial Notification Form
- GDC 90 Day Offender Sexual Abuse Review Checklist
- GDC Incident Reports

There were 34 allegations made in 2017. Fifteen were made in the following ways:

- 15 through the PREA Hotline
- 7 Anonymous through the PREA Hotline
- 6 Made to staff
- 4 Via statements

- 1 Via the TIP Line
- 1 Via mailed letter to Victim Services

Results of those investigation were:

• Unfounded Six (6)

Unsubstantiated Twenty-four (24)

Substantiated Two (2)
 Turned Over to Office of Professional Standards One (1)

Turned Over to Chatham County Sheriff's Office One (1)

Discussion of Interviews: An interview with a facility-based investigator confirmed the Sexual Assault Response Team will conduct an initial investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This investigator was knowledgeable of the investigative process. Inmates stated they had confidence in the Lieutenant and thought he was fair and would take care of things. In fact, several inmates reported to him.

He described a thorough investigative process. When an allegation is made the investigator related he goes into the offender database to see both offender's histories. Then, he related he makes the victim as comfortable as possible and only ask the who, what, when, where and if there were any witnesses. He does the same for the alleged perpetrator; talks with witnesses and takes statements; take photos, where indicated, then meets with the SART where they discuss the evidence. He related Mental Health would have already talked with the alleged victim.

If an allegation of sexual assault is substantiated the Warden will call in the Office of Professional Standards investigators. If the allegation involves a staff member, the investigator related the OPS takes it over from there.

He related that investigations continue even if a staff terminates employment prior to the investigation being over and also continues if the inmate moves to another facility or leaves this facility.

When the SART reviews the evidence, a decision is made based upon the preponderance of the evidence.

The investigation packaged, he related, would include:

- Incident Report
- Witness Statements
- Notifications
- Investigative Summary
- Retaliation Sheets
- Incident Review

Interviews with facility staff, both those randomly selected and special category, confirmed they all knew the SART conducts sexual abuse investigations in this facility.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.72 (a)

Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ⋈ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: The Georgia Department of Corrections Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Section G. 14; Thirty-four (34) Investigation Packages.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; Facility-Based Investigator; SART Members.

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: The Georgia Department of Corrections Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Section G. 14, requires that there shall be no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.

The Lieutenant is a facility-based investigator, along with his counterparts on the Sexual Assault Response Team. He has completed the NIC On-Line Training, PREA" Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings".

Discussion of Interviews: The SART Investigator related that the standard of investigation used to substantiate an allegation of sexual abuse is the preponderance of the evidence.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

•	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.73	(b)
•	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.73	(c)
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.73	(d)
•	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No

• D	oes the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? $oximes$ Yes \odots No
115.73 (f	
	uditor is not required to audit this provision. Overall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Þ	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act – PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, G.15; Reviewed 34 investigation packages; Reviewed GDC Notification Form, Attachment 5, GDC 208.6; Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; Sexual Assault Response Team Leader

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Discussion of Policy and Documents Review: Following an investigation into an allegation of sexual abuse, within 30 days, the facility is required, by policy, (208.6), to notify the inmate of the results of the investigation as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act – PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, G.15, requires that following the close of an investigation into an offender's allegation that he/she suffered sexual abuse in a Department facility, the facility is required to inform the offender as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. Policy requires the notification be completed by a member of the local SART unless the appointing authority delegates to another designee under certain circumstances. Notifications are required to be documented. If an inmate is released from the Department's custody the Department's obligation to "notify" the inmate of the outcome of the investigation is terminated. Notifications are required to comply with the PREA Standards and DOC Policies.

If an outside entity conducts the investigation the agency/facility will request the relevant information from the agency conducting the investigation to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation.

A member of the SART is required to notify the resident when a staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the

staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The agency would also notify the resident when the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

The notification form would document, for the resident, if the investigation was determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, unfounded or referred to OPS. If the allegation is determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded, the resident is notified of any of the following if applicable:

- Staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit
- Staff member is no longer employed at the facility
- Staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse with the facility
- Staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- The alleged abuser (offender) has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- The alleged abuser (offender) has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- Other: Include explanation of why "other:" was checked.

The auditor reviewed 34 investigation packages. Notifications were provide as required.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the SART Leader and other members of the SART confirmed the SART would be responsible for notifying a resident of the outcome of an investigation. Notification is documented on the GDC Notification Form, Attachment 5, GDC 208.6. The Warden, in an interview, confirmed the notification process.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.76 (a)

• Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?

⊠ Yes □ No

115.76 (b)

	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? $\ oxed{\boxtimes}\ {\sf Yes}\ oxed{\square}$ No				
115.76 ((c)				
ł C	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.76 ((d)				
- /	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or				
r	resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ⊠ Yes □ No				
r	 Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ⋈ Yes □ No 				
Auditor	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
[Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
[Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
[□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative					

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, H. Discipline, 1. Disciplinary Sanction for Staff; GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers; Reviewed Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reviewed Thirty-four (34) Incident reports and investigation reports.

Interviews: PREA Compliance Manager; Warden; Sixteen (16) Randomly Selected Staff

Discussion of Policy and Document Review: Department of Corrections Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, H. Discipline, 1. Disciplinary Sanction for Staff, requires that staff who engage in sexual misconduct with an offender are banned from correctional institutions or subject to disciplinary action,

up to and including, termination, whichever is appropriate. Staff may also be referred for criminal prosecution when appropriate.

The presumptive disciplinary sanction for sexual touching is termination. Violations of Department policy related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than engaging in sexual abuse) will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

Terminations for violations of the Department sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies or resignations by staff that would have been terminated if not for their resignation are reported to law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal. These cases are also reported to the Georgia Peace Officers Standards and Training Council (POST).

Substantiated cases of nonconsensual sexual contact between offenders or sexual contact between a staff member and an offender will be referred for criminal prosecution. This was confirmed through interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager, Warden, Deputy Warden of Security, and the Director of Mental Health.

Staff, as a part of their PREA training sign a GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers contains a warning that any violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination, or that they will be banned from entering any correctional institution. Furthermore, it assets that staff understand that in accordance with Georgia Law, O.C.G.A. 16-6-5.1, certain correctional staff members who engage in sexual contact with an offender commit sexual assault, a felony punishable by imprisonment of not less than one nor more than 25 years, a fine of \$100,000.00 or both. Staff acknowledge that an offender cannot consent to sexual activity. The auditor reviewed multiple PREA Acknowledgment Statements signed by employees and contractors.

The auditor reviewed 34 Investigation Packages. None of the reviewed packages contained allegations of sexual activity/misconduct between an inmate and a GDC Staff member. There were no allegations of inappropriate comments and harassment by staff.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with administrative staff indicated that the agency has a zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. If a staff was involved in an allegation of sexual abuse the staff would be placed on no-contact with that resident or placed on administrative leave. If the allegations were substantiated, the staff would be banned from all GDC facilities and the presumptive disciplinary action is termination.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77 (a)

Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?

⊠ Yes □ No

•	agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	•	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing ? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No		
115.77	' (b)			
•	• In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? ⋈ Yes □ No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: DOC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph #2. Contractors and Volunteers; GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reviewed thirty-four (34) Incident Reports and Investigation Packages.

Interviews: PREA Compliance Manager; Warden; SART Leader; Volunteer Coordinator.

Discussion of Policies and Reviewed Documents: DOC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Paragraph #2. Contractors and Volunteers, requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse will be prohibited from contact with inmates and will be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal and to relevant licensing bodies.

The facility is required to take appropriate remedial measures and to consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of Department sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

Contractors and Volunteers, as a part of their PREA training sign a GDC Sexual Assault/Sexual Misconduct Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Education Acknowledgment Statement for Employees and Unsupervised Contractors and Unsupervised Volunteers contains a warning that any violation of the policy will result in disciplinary action, including termination, or that they will be banned from entering any correctional institution. Furthermore, it assets that staff understand that in accordance with Georgia Law, O.C.G.A. 16-6-5.1, certain correctional staff members who engage in sexual contact with an offender commit sexual assault, a felony punishable by imprisonment of not less than one nor more than 25 years, a fine of \$100,000.00 or both. Staff acknowledge that an offender cannot consent to sexual activity.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented that there were no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment against any contractor or volunteer during the past 12 months. This was confirmed as well through interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and SART Leader. Thirty-four (34) investigation packages documenting allegations made during 2017 were reviewed. None of the reviewed investigation packages contained any allegations against a contractor or a volunteer.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager; SART Leader and Warden indicated that they have not had any allegations made against a volunteer of a contractor in the past twelve (12) months. The Warden affirmed, in an interview, that if they did have a volunteer or contractor who was alleged to have violated an agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment, they would be prohibited from coming into the prison and would have no contact at all with any inmate. She also stated that an investigation would be conducted and if the allegations were substantiated the volunteer or contractor would be referred for prosecution.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.78 (a)

■ Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.78 (b)

Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.78 (c)

•	process	determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary is consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or navior? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.78	(d)			
•	If the faunderly	acility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct ring reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require ending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to mming and other benefits? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.78	(e)			
•		he agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the ember did not consent to such contact? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.78	(f)			
•	upon a inciden	purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an tor lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate gation? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.78	(g)			
•	to be se	ne agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates exual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) \Box No \Box NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instru	ctions fo	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		
complia	ance or r	non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's		

compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, H. Discipline, Paragraph 3. Disciplinary Sanctions for Offenders, Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Reviewed Incident Reports; Reviewed Investigation Reports.

Interviews: Warden; PREA Compliance Manager; SART Leader; SART Members; Staff Supervising Segregation

Discussion of Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy prohibits all consensual sexual activity between offenders and offenders may be subject to disciplinary action for such activity. Consensual sexual activity between offenders does not constitute sexual abuse, but is considered a disciplinary issue. Paragraph b. requires that offenders are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the offender engaged in offender-on-offender sexual abuse or a criminal finding of guilt for offender-on-offender sexual abuse. The sanctions that may be imposed are prescribed in Standard Operating Procedures 209.01, Offender Discipline.

Policy requires that the disciplinary process consider whether an offender's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, will be imposed. And if the facility offers therapy, counseling or other interventions to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, the facility is required to consider whether to offer the offending offender to participate in such interactions as a condition of access to programming or other benefits.

Policy affirms that an offender may be disciplined for sexual contact with a staff member only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

Reports made in good faith upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute false reporting or lying, even if the investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation. However, following an administrative finding of malicious intent on behalf of the offender making the report, then the offender will be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process in accordance with SOP 209.01, Offender Discipline.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire documented there were no inmates subject to disciplinary action during the past twelve (12) months. The allegations made by inmates, according to the Sexual Assault Response Team were unsubstantiated or unfounded therefore disciplinary sanctions could not be imposed.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews did confirm that an inmate who violated a sexual abuse policy would be charged with a crime by the Office of Professional Services Investigator, who has arrest powers, and referred to the prosecutor for prosecution for the offense. If the violation was less than sexual abuse it would be treated as a rule violation and the inmate would be provided a "due process" hearing. Prior to sanctions being imposed the officers are required to take into account past history as well as any mental or developmental issues. Sanctions may include an increase in the inmate's security level, disciplinary segregation, loss of store, phone, visitation, receiving packages from family and others.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115 91: Modical and montal health coronings: history of covual

abuse			
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
115.81 (a)			
• If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ☑ Yes ☐ No			
115.81 (b)			
• If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA			
115.81 (c)			
If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ☑ Yes ☐ No			
115.81 (d)			

Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?

115.81 (e)

Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, I., Medical and Mental Health Care; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Victim/Aggressor Assessment; Referrals to Mental Health; Mental Health Case Notes

Interviews: Health Services Administrator, Director of Nursing; Mental Health Counselors; Staff Conducting Victim/Aggressor Assessments

Discussion of Reviewed Policy and Documents: GDC Medical Policies are specific and voluminous regarding health care. Health Care services are provided through a contract. The GDC Policy, 208.06, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program I, Medical and Mental Health Care requires that the GDC provide prompt and appropriate medical and mental health services in compliance with 28 CFR 115 and in accordance with the GDC Standard Operating Procedures. The auditor reviewed 30 referrals to mental health for inmates alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment, including prior victimization. The referral process is expedited by the fact the mental health staff conduct the victim/aggressor assessments during the intake process. Prior to the provision of services, based on referrals, documentation, including Informed Consent/Confidentiality Forms, are explained and signed by inmates. Case notes were provided documenting the inmates who were referred were offered follow-up mental health services. Two of the reviewed referrals and follow-up case notes documented that the inmate refused the services indicating they did not need counseling for their reported or prior victimization.

If the screening process indicates an offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. Reviewed investigation files consistently had documented referrals to mental health at Central State Prison.

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire and interviews with staff confirmed there were no inmates who disclosed prior abusiveness. Staff were aware that if they had made a disclosure the same procedures for referral would occur.

Care is taken to protect reported information. Information reported by offenders related to prior victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and

management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education and program assignments or as otherwise required by Federal, State or local law.

Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they obtain and document informed consent from offenders before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting. None of the interviewed inmates reported prior victimization.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with medical and counseling staff, as well as staff responsible for intake screening and screening for risk of victimization and/or abusiveness, indicated inmates are screened for prior victimization. Policy requires, and staff, stated in interviews, if the screening indicates that an offender has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff ensure the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening.

Interviews with assessment staff confirmed that if an inmate discloses previous sexual abuse, the inmate is offered a referral to mental health for a follow-up, if needed. The inmate has the right to refuse the referral and follow-up. Referrals were provided documenting that inmates disclosing or alleging victimization are offered a follow-up. During the victim/aggressor assessment at intake, the mental health staff are there. They conduct the assessment and offer further follow-up if requested.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.82 (a)	11	5.	82 ((a)
------------	----	----	------	-----

•	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medica
	treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by
	medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?

115.82 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?

 Yes □ No

115.82 (c)

•	emerge	ency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with sionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? Yes No	
115.82	? (d)		
•	the vict	atment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether tim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? \Box No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program; PREA Medical Logs; Coordinated Response Plan; Lists of SANEs; 34 Reviewed Investigation Packages.

Interviews: Director of Nursing; Assistant Director of Nursing; Mental Health Staff; Mental Health Counselor; Interviews with Randomly Selected Staff; Security and Non-Security First Responders and Interviews with Inmates who reported prior sexual abuse.

Discussion of Reviewed Policies and Documents:

GDC Policy, 208.6, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program requires the facility to provide prompt and appropriate medical and mental health services in compliance with this standard. It requires the SART to arrange for immediate medical examination of the alleged victim, followed by a mental health evaluation within 24 hours. One of the SART Members is the health services administrator. Medical Staff are required to contact the appropriate Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner, who will respond as soon as possible, but within 72 hours of the time the alleged assault occurred to collect forensic evidence. The facility provided the agency's procedures for SANE Nurse Evaluation/Forensic Collection. This document provides detailed procedures beginning with the initial report of sexual abuse or assault. Medical staff are charged with conducting an initial assessment of the offender to determine if there is evidence of physical trauma requiring immediate medical intervention in accordance with good clinical judgment. Medical staff

immediately initiate all necessary urgent/emergent treatment for bleeding, wounds and other traumas. They then complete the Nursing Protocol Assessment form for alleged sexual assault. Facility clinicians document physical examinations in the progress notes. When medically indicated, medical staff are required to arrange transfer the offender (if no SANE's is available on site) to the designated emergency facility for continued treatment and collection of forensic evidence. If an alleged assault occurred within 72 hours of the reported incident and the offender does not require transport to the emergency room, the designated facility SANE Nurse (from the list of SANE Nurses) shall be immediately notified and an appointment scheduled for the collection of forensic evidence. The facility provided the auditor with a list of SANEs who can be called to come to the facility to conduct the Sexual Assault Forensic Exam. This will occur only if there has been penetration, including oral penetration, reported by the patient. Otherwise no rape kit will be collected. If the sexual assault occurred more than 72 hours previously, the decision on whether the evaluation is done by a local hospital, by the SANE Nurse, or facility staff will be made on a case by case basis. The decision is made by the Health Authority in consultation with the facility investigator and in accordance with GDC PREA Policy requires that If the facility does not have a designated SANE Nurse, the offender is sent to the designated emergency room for collection of forensic evidence.

The facility provided the Medical PREA Log maintained by medical staff. This document logs the date of the incident, reported within 72 hours, Transport to ER, Inmate consent signed, SANE notified, Time notified, Date Exam scheduled, Date exam completed, time SANE arrived, Sane Conducting the Exam, Company Chain of Command for Rape Kit, and Date the rape kit is accepted by security.

The Coastal State Prison has mental health staff, including licensed professional counselors and Masters level Social Work Staff, who can serve as a victim advocate in the absence of an outside advocate or who can accompany the resident during any forensic exam, if requested.

Discussion of Interviews:

Interviews with health care staff indicated their responsibility if an inmate is sexually assaulted is to treat any emergency once an inmate is brought to medical following a sexual assault. After conducting an initial exam or assessment for any emergent injuries the Sexual Assault Nursing Protocol is initiated. The Satilla Sexual Assault Response Team is contacted and typically they arrive within 6-8 hours to conduct the examination. The rape kit is turned over to security and the chain of evidence is documented on a log chain of custody.

Interviews with staff confirmed that, as first responders, they would separate the victim from the perpetrator and get the victim to medical for treatment and an examination. Coastal State Prison has medical staff on duty 24/7.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.83 (a)

	r medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all een victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile No
115.83 (b)	
 Does the evaluation treatment plans, and 	and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or acilities, or their release from custody? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.83 (c)	
	vide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with of care? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\oxed{\square}$ No
115.83 (d)	
 Are inmate victims of 	f sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy e facility.) $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No \oxtimes NA
115.83 (e)	
receive timely and co	from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims emprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancyces? (N/A if all-male facility.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.83 (f)	
 Are inmate victims of 	f sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted lly appropriate? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.83 (g)	
	es provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
115.83 (h)	
` ,	
inmate-on-inmate ab	on, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known users within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment priate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)
Auditor Overall Compliand	e Determination
☐ Exceeds Sta	ndard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies and Documents Reviewed: Procedure for Sane Nurse Evaluation/Forensic Collection; facility specific coordinated response plan (Local Procedure Directive); Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Interviews: Director of Nursing

Policy and Document Review: The agency's "Procedure for Sane Nurse Evaluation/Forensic Collection" provides specific actions required when an inmate alleges sexual abuse/assault. It also requires that following a SANE Examination, the facility provider or designee is responsible for ordering prophylactic treatment for STIs. A follow up visit by a clinician is required three working days following the exam. The facility has a facility specific coordinated response plan (Local Procedure Directive). This plan requires each victim receive a mental health evaluation within 24 hours.

GDC Policy requires that the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident on resident abusers within 60 days of becoming aware of such history and offer treatment as appropriate.

GDC Policy requires that victims of sexual abuse are provided health care services, including the forensic exam at no cost to the victim. This is confirmed through review of the GDC PREA Policy as well as interviews with medical staff.

Thirty-four (34) reviewed investigation packages. The auditor requested, and the facility provided documentation to confirm the inmates as well as a sample of those reporting prior sexual victimization during intake were referred to mental health as required. Inmates have the option to refuse the follow-up and if so

Discussion of Interviews: The Director of Nursing explained medical's role in responding to an allegation of sexual abuse as well as their role following a forensic examination.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

	,
115.86	6 (a)
•	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.86	6 (b)
•	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No
115.86	6 (c)
•	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.86	6 (d)
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
•	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.86	5 (e)
•	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Document Review: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program J. Data Collection and Review, 1. Monthly Sexual Abuse and Sexual Assault Program Review; GDC Incident Review Forms (34); Investigation Packages (34); Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Warden, Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manger; SART Leader, Facility-Based Investigator; Medical staff.

Discussion of Policies and Documents: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program J. Data Collection and Review, 1. Monthly Sexual Abuse and Sexual Assault Program Review, affirms and requires that each facility meet once per month to review and assess the facility's PREA prevention, detection, and response efforts. During that meeting, policy requires an incident review to be conducted for each sexual abuse allegation that has been concluded within the past 30 days. This review is to be conducted on all abuse allegations deemed to be substantiated and unsubstantiated. Reviews of unfounded allegations are not necessary.

This policy requires that the members of the incident review team consist of the PREA Compliance Manager, SART and representatives from upper level management, line supervisors and other staff members, as designated by the Warden of the facility. The Warden provided a memo designating the members of the SART for the Prison.

Team members consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse; whether the allegation was motivated by the perpetrator's or victim's race, ethnicity, gender identity, gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender or intersex identification, status or perceived status, or gang affiliation, or was motivated by other group dynamics at the facility; to examine the area where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area enabled the abuse; to assess the adequacy of staffing levels in the area during different shifts; assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff and prepare a report of findings, including, but not limited to,

determinations regarding all of the above and any recommendations for improvements, and submit the report to the Warden or PREA Compliance Manager.

The reviews are conducted at the conclusion of the investigation, as required. Interviews with team members confirmed the reviews are conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation and that the team would consider, what motivated the incident (identification, status, gang related etc.), where it happened, blind spots, the presence of cameras, staffing and other items included on the Incident Review Checklist (Sexual Abuse Incident Review Checklist).

The auditor reviewed thirty-four (34) investigation packages. Incident reviews are documented as required.

Discussion of Interviews: Interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager/Deputy Warden for Care and Treatment, Warden, Medical and Mental Health Staff and other members of the Sexual Assault Response Team, confirmed the facility does have a process for conducting incident reviews following an investigation.

The PREA Compliance Manager related and reviewed investigations contained Sexual Abuse Incident Review Checklist that is used to guide the team in their review. The forms included the following: 1) Did the allegation or investigation indicate a need to change policy or practice to prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; 2) Did the allegation or investigation indicate a motivation by race, ethnicity, gender identify, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status or perceived status, or gang affiliation, or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility; 3) An examination of the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred was assessed to determine whether physical barriers of the area may enable abuse; 4) In the area where the incident allegedly occurred was there adequate staffing levels in that area during different shifts; and 5) In the area where the incident allegedly occurred should monitoring technology be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff. The form documents any recommendations for improvement (corrective actions) as well as any reasons for not implementing them. It also documents the Warden/Superintendent Review and is signed by either the Warden or Designee.

Standard 115.87: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.87	(a)
---	---	---	-----	-----

■ Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?

⊠ Yes □ No

115.87 (b)

■ Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?

☑ Yes □ No

115.87 (c)

■ Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? Yes □ No			
115.87 (d)			
 ■ Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.87 (e)			
 Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)			
115.87 (f)			
 Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA 			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, J.3; Pre-Audit Questionnaire; Georgia Department of Corrections Annual Report; Inmate Report from PREA Unit Analyst.

Interviews: Warden, PREA Compliance Manager; SART Leader

Policy and Document Review: The Georgia Department of Corrections collects accurate and uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions and aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. The incident-based data collected is based on the most recent version of the Survey of

Sexual Violence conducted by the US Department of Justice. The department maintains, reviews and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files and sexual abuse incident reviews. Information is also secured from every facility, including private facilities with whom, DOC contracts for the confinement of inmates. Upon request, DOC provides data from the previous calendar year to the US Department of Justice no later than June 30th.

GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, J.3, requires each facility to submit to the Department's PREA Analyst, each month, a report, using the electronic spreadsheet provided from the PREA Coordinator's office. The form is submitted by email the fifth calendar day of the month following the reporting month. It requires that allegations occurring within the month will be included on this report along with the appropriate disposition. The monthly report is to be completed in accordance with the Facility PREA Log User Guide.

The auditor reviewed the most recent Georgia Department of Corrections Annual Report. The Agency issues annual PREA reports and posts them on the GDC Website. The auditor reviewed the 2016 Georgia Department of Corrections Prison Rape Elimination Annual Report. The report was detailed and comprehensive. The report indicated that the Georgia DOC has 34 prisons, 13 transitional centers, 9 probation detention centers, 5 substance abuse and integrated treatment facilities and 4 private prisons. Data is collected from each of the facilities and aggregated. Georgia DOC compiles and investigates PREA allegations in 4 major categories including 1) Staff on inmate Abuse, 2) Staff on Inmate Harassment, 3) Inmate on Inmate Abuse, and 4) Inmate on Inmate Harassment. The report provided data regarding the total number of allegations from all facilities and then it breaks the allegations down into those that were substantiated, unsubstantiated and unfounded. A chart then breaks down the data by facility. The 2016 report indicated there was a 18.7% increase in allegations reported and this was attributed to better reporting. An increase in substantiated cases was noted and attributed to better trained investigators. The report concluded with a breakdown of PREA related initiatives in each of the Georgia Department of Corrections facilities. Statistics are provided for each GDC facility.

The GDC PREA Unit has a dedicated staff person, an analyst, who collects and analyzes the data. Based on the data reviewed the GDC can track allegations and investigations and findings from each facility and assess the need for any corrective actions. The PREA Compliance Manager related the facility sends a monthly PREA report (208.06, Attachment 2), to the Agency's PREA Analyst. This report, according to the compliance manager, consists of the numbers of PREA Cases, victims and predators, statistics on allegations of sexual abuse, assaults, grievances filed, the results of investigations and a response to the question, "was the investigation or allegations sent to the OPS investigators.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 (a)

•	and im	the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess aprove the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, ses, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	and impraction	the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess aprove the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, sees, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? \Box No			
•	and im	the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess a prove the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, ses, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective is for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.88	3 (b)				
•	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.88	3 (c)				
•	■ Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ⊠ Yes □ No				
115.88 (d)					
•	■ Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☑ Yes □ No				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative					

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: Investigation Packages (34); Incident Reviews (25); Georgia Department of Corrections 2016 Annual Report; Agency Website.

Interviews: PREA Compliance Manager; Members of Incident Review Team; Previous interview with the Agency's Statewide PREA Coordinator.

Policy and Document Review: The Georgia Department of Corrections requires each facility to conduct incident reviews after each sexual abuse allegation investigation if the allegations are founded or unsubstantiated. The purpose of this is to determine what the motivation for the incident was and to assess whether there is a need for corrective actions including additional staff training, staffing changes or requests for additional video monitoring technology or other actions to help prevent similar incidents in the future. The auditor reviewed eleven (11) investigation packages. One-hundred percent (100%) of the investigation packages contained Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews that were conducted well within the required time frames.

Likewise, the agency reviews data collected to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices and training, including identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis and preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility and the GDC. The department has a dedicated staff person whose job it is to collect and analyze the data.

The reviewed annual report for 2016 affirms the agency is continuously improving the reporting and investigation methods to ensure the highest level of compliance, as well as swift corrective action when needed. The report also states the Georgia DOC continues to improve the processes of how PREA allegations are reported, investigated and tracked. The development, testing and implementation of a PREA allegation tracking method allowed for further breakdowns of allegations, along with detailed reporting from all GDC facilities, as compared to last year.

The reviewed 2016 annual report identified initiatives at each GDC facility to improve and enhance the facility and agency's approach to prevention, detection, responding and reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Annual reports are posted on the Georgia Department of Corrections website.

Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.89 (a)			

Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?

 ∑ Yes □ No

115.89 (b)

■ Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?

Yes
No

113.09 (C)	
■ Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? No	ì
115.89 (d)	
■ Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? Yes □ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination	
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Documents Reviewed: GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act -PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, VI. Record Retention of Forms Relevant to this Policy; Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

Interviews: Previous Interview with Agency's Statewide PREA Coordinator; PREA Compliance Manager

Policy and Document Review: Georgia Department of Corrections makes all aggregated sexual abuse data from all facilities under its direct control and private facilities with whom it contracts, readily available to the public through the Georgia GDC Website. GDC Policy requires all reports are securely retained and maintained for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless the Federal, State or local laws require otherwise.

GDC Policy 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act -PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, VI. Record Retention of Forms Relevant to this Policy, requires that the retention of PREA related documents and investigations will be securely retained and made in accordance with this policy and policy in VI.1, Sexual abuse data, files and related documentation requires they are retained at least 10 years from the date of the initial report.

11E 00 (a)

alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years or 10 years from the date of the initial report, whichever is greater. Administrative investigation data files and related documentation is to be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years; or 10 years from the date of the initial report, whichever is greater			
AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION			
Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits			
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
115.401 (a)			
■ During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period thereafter, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (N/A before August 20, 2016.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA			
115.401 (b)			
■ During each one-year period starting on August 20, 2013, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited? ✓ Yes □ No			
115.401 (h)			
 ■ Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.401 (i)			
■ Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? Yes □ No			
115.401 (m)			

Criminal investigation data, files and related documentation is required to be retained for as long as the

• Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy and Document Review: GDC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, K. Audits; Notices of PREA Audit;

GDC Policy, 208.06, Prison Rape Elimination Act-PREA, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, K. Audits, asserts that the Department will conduct audits pursuant to 28 C.F.R/114.401-405. Each facility operated by the Department will be audited every three years or on a schedule determined by the PREA Coordinator.

The agency also contracts with county and private facilities. Policy requires that county facilities and privately operated on behalf of the Department (housing state offenders) must meet the same audit requirements. These entities are responsible for scheduling and funding their audits. All audits are required to be certified by the Department of Justice and each facility will bear the burden of demonstrating compliance with the federal standards. A copy of the final report will be submitted to the Department's PREA Coordinator upon completion of the audit and must be conducted every three years.

The auditor was provided complete and unfettered access to all areas of the facility. Staff appeared forthcoming and credible. Space in an office was provided for the auditor to conduct interviews with complete privacy. When additional documentation was requested it was provided expeditiously.

The auditor received information on the flash drive prior to the on-site audit. The flash drive primarily contained policies and examples of forms used by the GDC, subsequently the auditor requested and received completed documentation and samples of documentation as requested. The facility promptly provided whatever was asked for by the auditor and following the on-site audit, as information was

requested the PREA Compliance Manager and the PREA Coordinator provided it, and again, expeditiously.

The PREA Notice was observed posted in virtually every area of the facility. The notice contained contact information for the auditor. The auditor did not receive any correspondence as a result of the notice posting. During the tour of the facility the auditor informally talked with inmates and staff. None of the residents requested to talk with the auditor in private. Interviews were conducted in complete privacy and every resident chosen for interviews participated in the interviews. The audit was free to move about the facility at will, providing the opportunity for any resident to communicate with the auditor, if they needed to.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)

Yes
No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The GDC PREA Coordinator ensures that all PREA Reports are published on the agency's website within 90 days of the completion of the report. Reports for all facilities for all reporting periods are posted on the agency's website and easily accessible to the public.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

- ☐ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission. Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned. See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Robert Lanier	February 22 <u>, 2018</u>
Auditor Signature	Date

 $^{^{1} \}mbox{ See additional instructions here: } \underline{\mbox{https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110} \; .$

² See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.