



# GEORGIA

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

---

### **Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) 2021 Annual Report AGENCY USE ONLY**



Table of Contents

**Introduction** ..... 4

**2021 Total Statistical Information** ..... 6

**Allegation Types and Dispositions - 2021** ..... 6

**2021 Substantiated Allegations** ..... 7

**Staff-to-Inmate Abuse Incidents** ..... 7

**Sexual Activity:** ..... 7

**Inappropriate Actions/Touching:** ..... 7

**Staff-to-Inmate Harassment** ..... 7

**Inmate-to-Inmate Sexual Harassment** ..... 7

**Inmate-to-Inmate Sexual Abuse** ..... 8

**Rape:** ..... 8

**Inappropriate Touching:** ..... 8

**Comparison with Previous Years: 2013 – 2021** ..... 8

**Total Allegations Chart** ..... 8

**Substantiated Cases per Year Chart** ..... 9

**Allegations versus Population Chart** ..... 10

**Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART) Training:** ..... 10

**Site visits and on-site audits** ..... 10

**Conclusion** ..... 10

**Appendix A – Facility Statistics** ..... 11

**Allegation Statistics by Facility – State Prisons** ..... 11

**Allegation Statistics by Facility – Contracted Facilities** ..... 12

**Allegation statistics by Facility – TCs, PDCs, and Substance Abuse Treatment Centers** ..... 13

**GDC Overall Totals** ..... 13

**Appendix B – PREA Focused Initiatives** ..... 14

**Audits:** ..... 14

**2nd Cycle, Year 3:** ..... 14

**3rd Cycle, Year 1:** ..... 14

**3rd Cycle, Year 2:** ..... 14

**3rd Cycle, Year 3:** ..... 14

**PREA SCRIBE Module:** ..... 14

**Appendix C – Physical Plant Improvements** ..... 15



**State Prisons (SP):** ..... 15

**Transitional Centers (TC)**..... 15

**Probation Detention Centers (PDC)**..... 15

**County Prisons (CI):**..... 15

**Appendix D – Corrective Actions Taken/Recommendations**..... 16

**State Prisons (SP)**..... 16

**2021 Audit Findings/Comparison (Graph)**..... 16



**Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Annual Report - 2021**  
**Georgia Department of Corrections**

**Introduction**

The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (PREA) was signed into federal law with the purpose to provide for the analysis of incidence and effects of prison rape in federal, state and local institutions and to provide information, resources, recommendations and funding to protect individuals from prison rape. In 2012, the Bureau of Prisons published standardized guidelines promulgated by the Attorney General of the United States. PREA applies to all public and private institutions that house adult or juvenile offenders.

The Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) maintains a zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and harassment of offenders within the agency's 35 state prison facilities, 11 transitional centers, 15 centers (this includes PDC's, RSAT's and ITF's), 21 county correctional institutions and four private prisons.

All sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations are investigated. Each case is documented on an incident report and entered into GDC's PREA SCRIBE Module. The originating facility is required to complete an administrative investigation and is required to retain all investigation documents at the local level. Allegations can also be criminally investigated by our Office of Professional Standards (OPS) investigators depending on the nature of the alleged incident. The Statewide PREA Coordinator tracks the progress of the investigations with constant contact with the facility, in which the allegation was made and with the investigator that is assigned to the case, if applicable. Upon completion of each investigation, appropriate disciplinary action is taken against the perpetrator; where criminal intent is discovered, the case is presented to the District Attorney for prosecution. Case files of PREA allegations of a criminal nature are maintained for a period of at least 10 years upon completion of the investigation.

It is important to note that the Georgia Department of Corrections is continually improving the reporting and investigation methods to ensure the highest level of compliance, as well as swift corrective action when needed. The agency's zero-tolerance policy not only aims to protect all offenders under GDC jurisdiction from sexual harassment and abuse, but also protect anyone who reports or cooperates with an on-going investigation, from retaliation.

This report summarizes all 2021 PREA allegations that were generated from the facilities mentioned in paragraph two of this document. The Georgia Department of Corrections compiles and investigates PREA allegations in four major categories: 1) Staff-to-Inmate Abuse (S/I Abuse); 2) Staff-to-Inmate Harassment (S/I Harassment); 3) Inmate-to-Inmate Abuse (I/I Abuse); and 4) Inmate-to-Inmate Harassment (I/I Harassment).

Upon completion of the investigation, all allegations will be deemed one of the four dispositions:


1. Substantiated: determined the event did occur.
2. Unsubstantiated: evidence was insufficient to make a final determination as to whether the event did or not occur.
3. Unfounded: determined the event to have not occurred.
4. Not PREA: determined to not meet the definition of a PREA allegation.

NOTE: Unsubstantiated and substantiated cases can also include a "forwarded for OPS investigation" status. The GDC has redacted identifying information of victims and aggressors, as well as information that could jeopardize the security of or facilities.

### Total Allegations

During calendar year 2021, there were 1,131 PREA allegations reported at our GDC operated and contracted facilities. Of those 1,131 allegations, 558 (49%) were unsubstantiated; 401 (36%) were unfounded; 133 (12%) were deemed not PREA; 39 (3%) were substantiated; and none are pending investigation.


	Description	State Prisons	TCs	Centers	Contract	Total
Total Allegations	Unsubstantiated	481	2	17	58	558
	Unfounded	331	3	9	58	401
	Not PREA	114	0	3	16	133
	Substantiated	30	0	4	5	39
	Invest. Pending	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>1131</b>



### Total Allegations by Type


During calendar year 2021, there were 1,131 PREA allegations reported at our GDC operated and contracted facilities. Of those 1,131 allegations, 179 (16%) were Staff-to-Inmate Harassment; 166 (14%) were Staff-to-Inmate Abuse; 312 (28%) were Inmate-to-Inmate Harassment; and 474 (42%) were Inmate-to-Inmate Abuse.

	Description	State Prisons	TCs	Centers	Contract	Total
By Type	S/I Harassment	138	1	3	37	179
	S/I Abuse	116	1	9	40	166
	I/I Harassment	266	1	16	30	313
	I/I Abuse	436	2	5	30	473
	<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>1131</b>



2021 Total Statistical Information


Allegation Types and Dispositions - 2021



**2021 Substantiated Allegations**

During calendar year 2021, there were 1,131 PREA allegations reported at our GDC operated and contracted facilities. Of those 1,131 allegations, 39 were deemed substantiated; none (0%) were Staff-to-Inmate Harassment; 13 (33%) were Staff-to-Inmate Abuse; 10 (26%) were Inmate-to-Inmate Harassment; and 16 (41%) were Inmate-to-Inmate Abuse.

	Description	State Prisons	TCs	Centers	Contract	Total
<b>Substantiated Cases</b>	S/I Harassment	0	0	0	0	0
	S/I Abuse	6	0	1	3	10
	I/I Harassment	9	0	3	1	13
	I/I Abuse	15	0	0	1	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>39</b>



**Staff-to-Inmate Abuse Incidents**


In all 11 substantiated cases of Staff-to-Inmate Abuse, both administrative and criminal investigations were conducted. All criminal investigations are forwarded to the appropriate District Attorney (DA) for review/prosecution.

**Sexual Activity:**

- 100% (7 of 7) were forwarded to DA for review
- 100% (7 of 7) were terminated or resigned employment

**Inappropriate Actions/Touching:**

- 100% (4/4) were forwarded to DA for review
- 100% (1/4) were terminated or resigned from employment



**Staff-to-Inmate Harassment**

In 2021, there were no substantiated cases of Staff-to-Inmate Harassment.

**Inmate-to-Inmate Sexual Harassment**

In all 12 cases of Inmate-to-Inmate Sexual Harassment, housing changes were made where necessary, to ensure the safety of the victim.

**Inmate-to-Inmate Sexual Abuse**


In all 16 cases of Inmate-to-Inmate abuse, both an administrative and criminal investigation was conducted. Those inmates found guilty of Inmate-to-Inmate abuse were not only subject to administrative disciplinary sanctions, but those whose cases had enough evidence for prosecution were forwarded to the appropriate District Attorney for review.

**Rape:**

There are 15 substantiated abuse cases that are rape related. 100% of these have been forwarded to the DA to review for prosecution.


**Inappropriate Touching:**

In the one (1) inappropriate touching case, the aggressor was subject to administrative sanctions through the disciplinary process. Offender housing changes were made as necessary to ensure the safety of the victim.




**Comparison with Previous Years: 2013 – 2021**

**Total Allegations Chart**



\*No audits were conducted in 2013 or 2014

**Substantiated Cases per Year Chart**



**\*No audits were conducted in 2013 or 2014**

**Allegations versus Population Chart**

YEAR	Allegations		Population Type					
	Reported	Substantiated	SP	PDC	RSAT	TC	Contracted	TOTAL
2013	859	17	38,112	1,965	1,232	2,662	--	43,971
2014	1,059	8	36,497	1,800	1,273	2,648	--	42,218
2015	1,682	57	36,657	1,793	1,635	2,631	--	42,716
2016	1,997	63	36,875	1,517	1,695	2,621	--	42,708
2017	2,421	64	37,539	1,572	1,714	2,609	12,700	56,134
2018	1,671	72	38,103	1,666	1,746	2,553	12,484	56,552
2019	1,468	57	38,201	1,675	1,760	2,522	12,669	56,827
2020	1,421	39	35,982	890	1,230	2,057	11,784	51,943
2021	1,131	39	32,397	829	1,106	1,950	10,539	46,821

**NOTE:** SP= State Prisons; PDC= Probation Detention Centers; RSAT= Residential Substance Treatment Centers; TC= Transition Centers; and Contracted facilities include both county facilities and private prisons. Population totals are the total daily average for the year. Incident data was not tracked for contracted facilities prior to 2017.

**Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART) Training:**

2021 marks the ninth year since GDC adopted and implemented PREA standards for our facilities. Through extensive, repetitive SART trainings, staff can better interpret definitions for allegation types, as well as allegation dispositions. Accurate application of allegation definitions leads to better accountability. Additional training in investigative techniques is also allowing more accurate dispositions to be reached and increases the likelihood of holding guilty individuals accountable. Furthermore, improvements in these areas have created a stronger culture of reporting statewide.

**Site visits and on-site audits:**

Site visits and on-site audits have increased the awareness of PREA protocols, to include preventative measures and definition interpretations for allegation types, as well as disposition definitions. Site visits and virtual meetings by members of the PREA Unit allow easy access to central office resources for facility SART members. This makes it possible to receive near-immediate assistance when needed to answer questions regarding investigative concerns or get clarification on matters regarding the Federal PREA Standards or GDC Policy.

**Conclusion**

The Georgia Department of Corrections enforces a zero-tolerance policy to sexual harassment and sexual abuse. To ensure this policy is implemented in the most efficient manner possible the GDC continues to improve the processes of how PREA allegations are interpreted, reported, and tracked. Investigations, coupled with our prevention trainings, have greatly assisted our efforts to maintain consistent application of the standards and has created a safer environment for our offenders by reducing incidents of sexual abuse and harassment.

Overall, there was a 20% decrease in the number of allegations compared to last year while substantiated cases remained the same. This decrease in percentage is likely due to several factors: 1) Increased education in the definitions of what does and does not meet the definition of PREA; 2) A significant decrease in reporting false allegations; 3) Process improvements and prevention training; and 4) Improvements in investigative procedures. The consistency in substantiated cases indicates the likelihood that the cases that truly involve sexual abuse and sexual harassment are being accurately investigated. Our dedication to constant improvement and continual monitoring will allow the agency to continue to achieve its goal of protecting all offenders from sexual harassment and sexual abuse.



Appendix A – Facility Statistics

Allegation Statistics by Facility – State Prisons

Office of Professional Standards		Reported Allegations					Substantiated					Unsubstantiated					Unfounded					Not PREA					Pending Disposition	
PREA COMPSTAT	Year	Total	S/I Harassment	S/I Abuse	I/I Harassment	I/I Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate Harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate Harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate Harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate Harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse		
	2021																											
Security Level/ Type Facility	Facility																											
Special Mission	Arrendale SP	52	7	19	8	18	4	0	3	1	0	14	2	1	2	9	19	2	13	0	4	15	3	2	5	5	0	
	ASMP	97	10	13	31	43	0	0	0	0	0	45	2	2	15	26	39	2	10	12	15	13	6	1	4	2	0	
	GDCP	28	2	1	7	18	1	0	0	0	1	11	0	1	4	6	3	0	0	0	3	13	2	0	3	8	0	
	SMU	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	GSP	54	0	5	17	32	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	2	10	22	13	0	3	6	4	7	0	0	1	6	0	
Close	Baldwin SP	48	9	1	20	18	1	0	0	1	0	37	9	1	13	14	4	0	0	1	3	6	0	0	5	1	0	
	Hancock SP	23	9	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	18	5	4	6	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	
	Hays SP	35	12	6	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	17	5	1	3	8	14	5	3	4	2	4	2	2	0	0	0	
	Macon SP	41	12	9	8	12	1	0	1	0	0	12	4	2	1	5	24	8	3	7	6	4	0	3	0	1	0	
	Phillips SP	41	4	10	11	16	0	0	0	0	0	20	1	1	9	9	9	1	5	0	3	12	2	4	2	4	0	
	Smith SP	52	13	5	14	20	2	0	0	0	2	17	4	1	2	10	32	9	4	11	8	1	0	0	1	0	0	
	Telfair SP	18	5	2	4	7	2	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	4	3	5	2	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	
	Valdosta SP	53	8	5	17	23	1	0	0	0	1	27	5	0	11	11	23	3	5	5	10	2	0	0	1	1	0	
	Ware SP	33	8	3	9	13	3	0	0	1	2	23	5	2	6	10	5	3	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	
Medium	Autry SP	25	3	1	9	12	0	0	0	0	0	18	3	0	6	9	4	0	0	1	3	3	0	1	2	0	0	
	Burruss CTC	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Calhoun SP	12	0	4	3	5	2	0	0	1	1	5	0	3	1	1	5	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Central SP	29	1	1	10	17	1	0	0	1	0	18	1	1	7	9	10	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Coastal SP	59	8	6	14	31	3	0	0	1	2	25	4	1	7	13	21	2	5	1	13	10	2	0	5	3	0	
	Dodge SP	8	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Dooly SP	41	4	1	12	24	0	0	0	0	0	29	3	1	10	15	11	1	0	1	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	
	Emanuel WF	9	1	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	
	Helms Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Johnson SP	55	1	2	14	38	1	0	0	1	0	38	0	0	6	32	14	1	2	5	6	2	0	0	2	0	0	
	Lee SP	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Long SP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Metro RF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montgomery SP	13	5	2	6	0	2	0	0	2	0	9	5	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Pulaski SP	9	0	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rogers SP	25	4	0	4	17	3	0	0	0	3	12	1	0	1	10	8	2	0	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Rutledge SP	31	3	0	11	17	0	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	5	13	11	2	0	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Walker SP	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington SP	16	4	1	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	13	2	1	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Whitworth Facility	4	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wilcox SP	31	3	5	9	14	2	0	1	0	1	9	0	0	3	6	16	3	4	3	6	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	
Totals for State Prisons:		955	138	116	266	435	30	0	6	9	15	481	62	25	139	255	330	53	70	79	128	114	23	15	39	37	0	

Allegation Statistics by Facility – Contracted Facilities

Office of Professional Standards		Reported Allegations					Substantiated					Unsubstantiated					Unfounded					Not PREA					Pending Disposition	SANE
		Total	S/I Harassment	S/I Abuse	I/I Harassment	I/I Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate Harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate Harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate Harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse	Total	Staff/ Inmate harassment	Staff/ I/M Abuse	Inmate/ Inmate Harassment	Inmate/ Inmate Abuse		
PREA COMPSTAT	Year																											
	2021																											
Security Level/ Type Facility	Facility																											
Private Prison	Coffee	29	3	8	9	9	2	0	2	0	0	13	0	2	5	6	5	0	2	1	2	9	3	2	3	1	0	
	Jenkins	27	8	7	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	8	4	2	2	0	19	4	5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Riverbend	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Wheeler	34	6	11	5	12	2	0	1	0	1	21	5	7	3	6	11	1	3	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
County Prison	Athens/Clarke	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Bulloch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Carroll	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	Clayton	5	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Colquitt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Coweta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Decatur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Effingham	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Floyd	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
	Gwinnett	11	5	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	8	5	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	Hall	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Harris	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Jackson	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Mitchell	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Muscogee	7	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	
	Richmond	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	Screven	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Spalding	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sumter	6	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Terrell	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Totals for Private and County Prisons:		137	37	40	30	30	5	0	3	1	1	58	13	17	13	15	58	17	16	12	13	16	7	4	4	1	0	0





## Appendix B – PREA Focused Initiatives

### Audits:

GDC continues to maintain compliance in accordance with *§ 115.401 Frequency and Scope of Audits*, by contracting with a Federal PREA Auditor to audit all facilities in a 3-year cycle. Each year, one-third of GDC facilities with similar missions are audited.

**2nd Cycle, Year 3:** The third year of cycle two audits began on August 20, 2018. Federal PREA Audits were conducted for 10/34 state prisons, 4/14 state operated transitional centers (TC's) and 3/13 community confinement facilities (PDCs). Year 3 ended August 19, 2019 and resulted in certification of full compliance with the PREA Standards.

NOTE: For Cycle 2, Years 1 and 2 we had 33 state prisons. A 34<sup>th</sup> state prison was opened in Cycle 2, Year 3.

**3rd Cycle, Year 1:** The first year of cycle 3 audits began on August 20, 2019. Federal PREA Audits were conducted for 11/34 state prisons, 3/14 state operated TCs and 4/13 community confinement facilities (PDCs). Year 1 ended August 19, 2020, and resulted in the GDC operating under an assurance of compliance with the PREA Standards. The GDC was not listed as certified because we were unable to audit six (6) of our facilities due to COVID-19 restrictions. These six facilities, 5/35 state prisons, and 1/13 community confinement facilities (PDCs), were audited after COVID-19 restrictions were lifted. Since that time the GDC has been operating as certified in full compliance with the PREA Standards.

**3rd Cycle, Year 2:** The second year of cycle 3 audits began August 20, 2020. The facilities that could not be audited during COVID-19 restrictions were audited during this audit year.

NOTE: For Cycle 3, Year2 a private TC and a state-operated TC was closed.

**3rd Cycle, Year 3:** The third year of cycle 3 audits began August 20, 2021. Federal PREA Audits were conducted for 11/35 state prisons, 4/11 state operated TCs and 5/15 community confinement facilities (PDCs).

### PREA SCRIBE Module:

The PREA Allegation tracking module allowed for improved accountability and investigative integrity of reported allegations throughout 2021. This tracking method has proven to be an effective tool for PREA investigation compliance since it is a centralized location from which to retrieve investigation disposition information. The PREA Analyst will work with Office for Information Technology to develop further additions and modifications to the module to increase efficiency.

## Appendix C – Physical Plant Improvements

### Physical Plant Improvements:

In accordance with PREA Standard 115.18 the following facilities have made improvements, which will enhance their ability to prevent, detect, or deter sexual abuse:

#### State Prisons (SP):

**Dodge SP** – Improved camera systems have been added to dorms.

**Lee SP** – Installation of the new camera system was completed to eliminate blind spots.

**Washington SP** – The camera system was upgraded to provide better coverage.

#### Transitional Centers (TC) (Community Confinement):

**Charles D. Hudson TC** – In addition to completing installation of new video equipment, mirrors were also installed to help increase visibility.

#### Probation Detention Centers (PDC) (Community Confinement):

**C.H. Colwell PDC** – Camera DVRs were upgraded to eliminate blind spots.

#### County Prisons (CI):

**Terrel CI** – PREA Friendly shower curtains, a high-definition camera system, and improved lighting in dorm and common areas were installed to increase visibility and reduce blind spots.

**Appendix D – Corrective Actions Taken/Recommendations**

**Auditor Recommendations:**

In calendar year 2021, twenty (20) audits were conducted. Five (5) of the facilities audited in accordance with PREA Standard 115.88 had recommendations for improvement. The following facility made improvements in conjunction with the Federal PREA Audit recommendations:

**State Prisons (SP)**

**Burruss CTC** – 115.41 Screening for Victimization and Abusiveness, A corrective plan was developed and implemented which made 30-day reassessments easier to keep track of and complete.


**Hays SP** – 115.13 Inmate Supervision, regional overtime lists were developed, recruitment has been increased, video monitoring systems have been updated and integrated into a system of monitoring which allows better supervision of offenders.

**Rogers SP** – 115.13 Inmate Supervision, regional overtime lists were developed, recruitment has been increased, video monitoring systems have been updated and integrated into a system of monitoring which allows better supervision of offenders.

**Smith SP** – 115.13 Inmate Supervision, regional overtime lists were developed, recruitment has been increased, video monitoring systems have been updated and integrated into a system of monitoring which allows better supervision of offenders.

**Telfair SP** - 115.13 Inmate Supervision, regional overtime lists were developed, recruitment has been increased, video monitoring systems have been updated and integrated into a system of monitoring which allows better supervision of offenders.

**2021 Audit Findings/Comparison (Graph)**



The graph above shows the most common audit findings and the percentage of the facilities receiving those findings. The number after the description at the bottom indicates the related PREA Standard number for that audit finding. The graph for 2020 is included below for comparison purposes.

